



doc. nr. ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS N 443		
date	1992-01-17	total pages
item nr.		supersedes document

Secretariat:

Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut (NNI)

Kalfjeslaan 2 P.O. box 5059

2600 GB Delft

Netherlands

telephone:

+31 15 690 390

telefax:

+ 31-15 690 190

telex:

38144 nni ni

telegrams:

Normalisatie Delft

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS

Title: ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Group on Functional

Standardization

Secretariat: NNI (Netherlands)

Title

: UK Comments on Document SC18 N3173 (=SGFS N383), Request

for SGFS Member Comment on Standardization of Profile

Test Specifications

Source

: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC28

Status

.

Note

10



1991-10-23

Mr. Peter J.J. Bessems Secretariat, JTC 1/SGFS Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut (NNI) P.O. Box 5059 2600 GB Delft The Netherlands

Dear Mr. Bessems:

Enclosed please find the following document:

SC 18 N 3207

UK Comments on Document SC 18 N 3173 (SGFS N 383), Request for SGFS Member Comment on Standardization of Profile Test Specifications

This document was received as a result of my circulating SGFS N 383 to JTC 1/SC 18. I will forward any additional comments to you as they are received.

Sincerely,

Bernadette St. John

Blunaditte 1

Secretariat, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 18

encl: SC 18 N 3207

cc: T. Frost, Acting Chairman, ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 18



ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 18
TEXT AND OFFICE SYSTEMS
SECRETARIAT: USA (ANSI)

TITLE: UK Comments on Document SC 18 N 3173, Request for SGFS Member

Comment on Standardization of Profile Test Specifications

SOURCE: UK National Body

STATUS: National Body Contribution

REQUESTED ACTION: These comments will be forwarded to the JTC 1/SGFS

DISTRIBUTION: P and L Members



UK comments on SC 18 N 3173 (JTC 1/SGFS N 383) - Standardization of profile test specifications

The production of test suites is dependent on where the resources lie to produce them. It is not possible to define any hard principles. However, the SGFS has a role to play in attempting to ensure that the mechanisms used for the development of test suites are reusable by different profiles and base standards.

The SGFS role should be based on the development of procedures which encourage harmonization. The SGFS should not attempt to develop such mechanisms itself.

The experience of developing test specifications for ODA provides some useful principles which could be developed for other areas.

Developed at the same time, or shortly after, the base ODA standard were:

- the rules and a proforma for the definition of profiles
- a conformance testing methodology
- an implementation testing methodology
- a test suite notation.

The above process has encouraged the development of a set of harmonized profiles and provides the mechanisms for the efficient development and re-use of test suites.

JSH/JSH 91-09-16