### **JTC 1 N 468**

July 25, 1989









**Draft Technical Report ISO/IEC TR 10 000-2** 

reference number date

1989-04-21

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SG-FS N 126

supersedes document

JTC 1/SG-FS N 53

THIS DOCUMENT IS STILL UNDER STUDY AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES.

vork item number	

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SG-FS

Information Processing Systems -

**Special Group on Functional** 

Standardization

Secretariat

NNI

Circulated to P- and O-members of the JTC, technical committees and organizations in liaison for:

- discussion at
- comments by
- voting by
- (P-members only)

Title

Information Processing Systems - International Standardized Profiles -Part 2: Taxonomy of Profiles

Reference language version:

**K** English

☐ French

Introductory note

SOURCE: Editor -Taxonomy: ISO/IEC JTC1 Special Group on Functional Standardization, Working Group


on Taxonomy

STATUS: This Draft Technical Report 10 000-2 is a progression of the Proposed Draft TR 10 000-3 (JTC 1/

SG-FS N 53).

Its progression is based on resolution 4 of the Copenhagen meeting of JTC 1/SG-FS and its FSTG (January 30 to February 3, 1989), as documented in JTC 1/SG-F5 N 124.

It is submitted to JTC 1 P- members for voting.



#### **TAXONOMY OF PROFILES** Part 2.

### CONTENTS

1	Scope

- 2 References
- **Abbreviations** 3
- The Taxonomy: Principles 4
  - 4.1 General
  - 4.2 Main elements of the Taxonomy for OSI Profiles
  - 4.3 The Group concept
  - 4.4 Profile classes for OSI
    - 4.4.1 Transport Profiles
      - 4.4.1.1 Principles
      - 4.4.1.2 Connection-mode Transport Service: Profile class T
      - 4.4.1.3 Connectionless-mode Transport Service: Profile class U
      - 4.4.1.4 Transport Profile identifier
      - 4.4.1.5 Interworking between Transport Profile Groups
    - 4.4.2 Relays
      - 4.4.2.1 Principles
      - 4.4.2.2 Relay Profile identifier
    - 4.4.3 Application Profiles for OSI
      - 4.4.3.1 Principles
      - 4.4.3.2 Application Profile identifier
    - 4.4.4 Interchange Format and Representation Profiles
      - 4.4.4.1 Principles
      - 4.4.4.2 Interchange Format and Representation Profile identifier
      - 4.4.4.3 Principles for the Office Document Format Taxonomy

#### 5 **Taxonomy of Profiles**

- 5.1 Transport Profiles
  - 5.1.1 Taxonomy of subnetworks
  - 5.1.2 Transport Groups
- 5.2 Relay Profiles
  - 5.2.1 Relaying the Network Internal Layer Service as defined in ISO/IEC 10028
  - 5.2.2 Relaying within the Network Layer Protocol
  - Relaying the MAC Service 5.2.3
  - 5.2.4 CO/CL Interworking
- 5.3 Application Profiles
  - 5.3.1 File Transfer, Access and Management
  - 5.3.2 Message Handling
  - 5.3.3 Virtual Terminal
  - 5.3.4 Transaction Processing
  - 5.3.5 Remote Database Access
  - 5.3.6 OSI Mana 5.3.7 Directory **OSI Management**
- 5.4 Interchange Format and Representation Profiles
  - 5.4.1 Office Document Format
  - 5.4.2 Computer Graphics Metafile Interchange Format
  - 5.4.3 SGML Document Interchange Format
  - 5.4.4 Directory Data Definitions /

#### 1 Scope

The purpose of the 'Taxonomy of Profiles' is to provide a full classification for Profiles which may be or have been submitted for ratification as International Standardized Profiles (ISPs).

Part 1 of this Technical Report defines the concept of Profiles, as documented in ISPs, and gives guidance to organizations making proposals for Draft ISPs, on the nature and content of the documents they are producing.

This Technical Report is intended to be applied to Profiles in the area of competence of JTC 1, and within this, priority consideration has been given to Profiles in the OSI area, i.e. those which specify OSI base standards, and those which are expected to be used in conjunction with them. In addition, as a lower priority, it is also applicable to Profiles specifying the use of other JTC 1 base standards. However, it is recognized that the scope of the concept of Profiles may ultimately be wider than that of JTC 1.

The existence of a Profile classification in Part 2 of the Technical Report does not reflect a judgement by the SG-FS Taxonomy Group that a Profile is required for such capability. It merely provides a capability to identify uniquely such a function and to enable evaluation of PDISPs.

Since Profiles will be proposed according to the needs of OSI User Groups and according to the progress of international base standardization, the Taxonomy will be periodically updated in order to reflect the progress reached. It is also recognized that there will be proposals for the extension of the Taxonomy to cover functions which were not identified during preparation of this Technical Report. These extensions may be identified by a variety of proposers and involve simple extensions to the existing Taxonomy or the addition of new functional areas not currently covered by the Technical Report. The inclusion of such extensions is administered following the procedures, currently under elaboration in JTC 1/SG-FS.

A distinction has been made between a Profile and an ISP documenting one or more Profiles. The Taxonomy is only concerned with Profiles, but further information is given in the "Directory of ISPs and Profiles contained therein" as to which ISP contains the documentation of a Profile.

This "Directory" is published as an JTC1/SG-FS document (JTC 1/SG-FS N 100) and will be updated on a regular basis. For each Profile in the Taxonomy, it will also provide additional information, including such about the status of the identified Profiles.

#### 2 References

ISO 8073	Information Processing Systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Connection oriented transport protocol specification
ISO 8073/ADD 2	Information Processing Systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Connection oriented transport protocol specification - Addendum 2: Class four operation over connectionless-mode network service
ISO 8473	Information Processing Systems - Data Communications - Protocol for providing the Connectionless-mode Network Service
ISO 8602	Information Processing Systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Protocol for providing the Connectionless-mode Transport Service
ISO/IEC TR 10 000-1	Information Processing Systems - International Standardized Profiles Part 1: Taxonomy Framework
ISO/IEC 10021	Information Processing Systems - Text Communication - MOTIS

ISO/IEC 10028 Information Processing Systems - Telecommunications and Information Exchange between Systems -Definition of the relaying functions of a Network Layer intermediate system ISO/IEC TR 10029 Information Processing Systems - Telecommunications and Information Exchange between Systems -Operation of an X.25 interworking unit Transport Protocol Specification for Open Systems Interconnection CCITT X.224 (1988) for CCITT Applications CCITT X.400 (1984) Recommendations X.400-X.430: Data Communication Networks: Message Handling Systems CCITT X.400 (1988) Recommendations X.400-X.430: Data Communication Networks: Message Handling Systems

Directory of ISPs and Profiles contained therein

### 3 Abbreviations

QoS

**Quality of Service** 

JTC 1/SG-FS N 100

ADI	Profile sub-class: Directory
AFT	Profile sub-class: File Transfer, Access and Management
AMH	Profile sub-class: Message Handling
AOM	Profile sub-class: OSI Management
ARD	Profile sub-class: Remote Database Access
ATP	Profile sub-class: Transaction Processing
AVT	Profile sub-class: Virtual Terminal
CL	Connectionless-mode
CL-NS	Connectionless-mode Network Service
CL-TS	Connectionless-mode Transport Service
co	Connection-mode
CO-NS	Connection-mode Network Service
CO-TS	Connection-mode Transport Service
CSDN	Circuit Switched Data Network
CSMA/CD	Carrier Sense, Multiple Access / Collision Detection
D.:P	Directory Access Protocol
DSA	Directory Service Agent
DSP	Directory Service Protocol
DUA	Directory User Agent
FCG	Profile sub-class: Computer Graphics Metafile Interchange Format
FDDI	Fibre Distributed Data Interface
FDI	Profile sub-class: Directory Data Definitions
FC	Profile sub-class: Office Document Format
<b>FS</b> G	Profile sub-class: SGML Interchange Format
ISDN	Integrated Services Digital Network
ISP	International Standardized Profile
LAN	Local Area Network
MAC	Media Access Control
MTA	Message Transfer Agent
MS	Message Store
MTS	Message Transfer System
PDISP	Proposed Draft ISP
PSDN	Packet Switched Data Network
PSTN	Public Switched Telephonic Network
PVC	Permanent Virtual Circuit

SG-FS JTC 1/Special Group on Functional Standardization

UA User Agent VC Virtual Call

### 4 The Taxonomy: Principles

#### 4.1 General

Profiles are primarily arranged into classes, each class representing a category of functionality of reasonable independence from other classes. Part 1 of this Technical Report provides some further information about the principles used in this primary classification.

Within each class, a class-specific subdivision will be used.

Profile identifiers have been introduced such that each Profile is identified by a character string commencing with one letter (indicating the primary class of the Profile), and continuing with as many further letters or digits as are necessary to reflect its position within the hierarchic structure of the class. The syntax of all but the first letter is subject to individual definitions for each class (see below).

### 4.2 Main elements of the Taxonomy for OSI Profiles

In order to decouple representation of information or objects from communication protocols, and application-related protocol from subnetwork types, OSI and OSI-related Profiles are divided into the following classes:

- T Transport Profiles providing connection-mode Transport Service
- U Transport Profiles providing connectionless-mode Transport Service
- A Application Profiles requiring connection-mode Transport Service
- **B** Application Profiles requiring connectionless-mode Transport Service
- F Interchange format and representation Profiles
- R Relay Profiles

Other classes may be required.

Transport Profiles of classes T and U specify how the two modes of OSI Transport Service are provided over the two modes of OSI Network Service, and over specific subnetwork types, such as individual types of LANs, PSDNs, etc. In this way they isolate the A/B-Profiles and F-Profiles from network technology.

Application Profiles of classes A and B specify communications protocol support for particular application types over the two modes of OSI Transport Service, respectively.

F-Profiles specify the characteristics and representation of various types of information interchanged by A- and B-Profiles.

R-Profiles specify Relay functionality needed to enable systems using different T- or U-Profiles to interwork. Relays between T- and U-Profiles are not provided.

Within each of these classes, sub-classes of Profiles are identified which, again, may require further subdivision such that the granularity of the Taxonomy meets the requirements outlined in Part 1 of this Technical Report. This leads to a hierarchical structure of Profile (sub-)classes which is given in full in clause 5 of this document.

For the identification of sub-classes and a further subdivision within a given class, a class-dependent methodology is applied. This is explained in the subsequent class-individual sections.

#### 4.3 The Group concept

Part 1 of this Technical Report identifies a basic concept which will be used in this Taxonomy:

A Group is a set of T- or U-Profiles that are compatible in the sense that a system implementing one Profile from the Group and another system implementing a Profile from the same Group can be expected to interwork, according to OSI, to some minimum level which is determined by the mandatory features of the Profiles in the Group.

Interworking according to OSI means end-to-end operation across a single subnetwork, or across multiple subnetworks linked by means of Network (or lower) Layer relays.

An example of a Group is the set of T-Profiles that provide the Connection-mode Transport Service, using Class 4 Transport Protocol over the Connectionless-mode Network Service, provided by ISO 8473. This Group has members which correspond to different subnetwork technologies but interworking between systems conforming to them is made possible by LAN bridges and/or Network Layer relays.

#### 4.4 Profile classes for OSI

### 4.4.1 Transport Profiles

#### 4.4.1.1 Principles

Transport Profiles define the use of standards from OSI layers 1 to 4, to provide the OSI Transport Service.

A primary distinction is made between Transport Profiles, based on the mode of Transport Service offered:

connection-mode Transport Service: Profile class T connectionless-mode Transport Service: Profile class U

For the Transport Profile classification within each class, the following methodology is applied:

a) As a <u>first level</u> distinction the Group concept (see clause 4.3) is used in the following way:

A lower layer Group is a collection of Profiles which:

- support the same combination of modes of Transport and Network Service;
- support the same Transport Protocol Class(es);

The notion of a Group is incorporated in the classification.

- b) The <u>second level</u> distinction between Profiles, i.e. within a Group, is made according to the subnetwork type supported.
  (See clause 5.1.1 for examples of subnetwork types).
- Further subdivisions are made according to the characteristics of a particular subnetwork, e.g., switched vs leased line.
   (See clause 5.1.1 for examples of such characteristics).

# 4.4.1.2 Connection-mode Transport Service: Profile class T

Based on functional standardization already under way in organizations represented in the Taxonomy Group and on standards already developed in ISO, the following lower layer Groups are identified as being of value. They are characterized as follows:

a) Connection-mode Transport Service over Connectionless-mode Network Service:

#### Group TA

The Connection-mode Transport Service (CO-TS) is provided over the Connectionless-mode Network Service (CL-NS) by requiring the use of the Class 4 Transport Protocol as defined in ISO 8073/ADD2.

NOTE: A system of Group TA claiming conformance to ISO 8073 also has to implement the mandatory operation of ISO 8073.

# b) Connection-mode Transport Service over Connection-mode Network Service

The Connection-mode Transport Service (CO-TS) is provided over the Connection-mode Network Service (CO-NS).

Profiles of this characteristic are further grouped according to their required support of Transport Protocol class(es):

mandatory 1) transport protocol classes

Group TB:

0 and 2 and 4<sup>2)</sup>

Group TC:

0 and 2 2)

Group TD:

0

Group TE:

E: 2

# 4.4.1.3 Connectionless-mode Transport Service: Profile class U

# a) Connectionless-mode Transport Service over Connectionless-mode Network Service:

#### **Group UA**

The Connectionless-mode Transport Service (CL-TS) is provided using the ISO 8602 Connectionless-mode Transport Protocol. This Group supports the mandatory operation of ISO 8602, over Connectionless-mode Network Service.

<sup>1) &#</sup>x27;mandatory' means those Transport Protocol classes made mandatory by the base standard, ISO 8073, plus any class required for Group membership

<sup>2)</sup> The class negotiation rules to be employed are those in CCITT Recommendation X.224 (1988).

#### b) Connectionless-mode Transport Service over Connection-mode Network Service:

#### **Group UB**

The Connectionless-mode Transport Service (CL-TS) is provided using the ISO 8602 Connectionless-mode Transport Protocol. This Group supports the option of ISO 8602 that operates over Connection-mode Network Service.

NOTE: A system of Group UB claiming conformance to ISO 8602 also has to implement the mandatory operation of ISO 8602.

### 4.4.1.4 Transport Profile identifier

The identifier for a Profile in the lower layers is of the form:

**YXabcde** 

where:

Y = class designator, indicating the Transport Service mode: T for Connection-mode

U for Connectionless-mode

X = one letter indicating the lower-layer Group within the class, as defined in 4.4.1.2 and 4.4.1.3 above.

abcde = the structured numerical identifier indicating the subnetwork type supported in this Profile. It is possible that a further level of identifier may become necessary. In general, when referencing a Profile, only that level of identifier which is necessary for uniqueness needs to be used.

The identifier structure is not meant to capture the variety of details and options of OSI layer 1 such as attachment speeds and connectors. However, it is recognized that this issue must be covered by the appropriate Profile specification.

#### 4.4.1.5 Interworking between Transport Profile Groups

The following tables 1 and 2 show the interworking capabilities between Profiles in Profile classes T and U. Successful establishment of a Transport Connection is dependent upon successful negotiation of parameters, some of which are not considered in the following tables.

No interworking is possible between Groups in class T and U because of the different mode of Transport Service provided.

Entries in the tables have the following meaning:

Full: Full OSI interworking (an OSI relay may be required)

Restricted: Interworking capabilities are restricted in the sense that the choice of

Transport Protocol classes may be restricted by the static capability of the responder. Successful interworking is dependent on the satisfactory out-

come of class negotiation.

Special: Non-OSI relay required for interworking (see also clause 4.4.2.1)

Special 1: Interworking between Profiles of Group TA and Groups TB, TC, TD, TE

is currently being discussed within JTC 1/SC 6 with the objective of

producing a Technical Report.

Special 2: Interworking between these Profile types is not contemplated in any

JTC 1 work.

Table 1 - Interworking amongst Groups in class T

Table 1- Intervolving amongst Groups in diese						
Responder in Group	Network Service mode	Initiator in Group				
		TA	ТВ	тс	TD	TE
TA	CL	full	special 1	special 1	special 1	special 1
ТВ	co	special 1	full	full	full	full
TC	со	special 1	restricted	full	full	full
TD	со	special 1	restricted	restricted	full	special 2
TE	co	special 1	restricted	restricted	special 2	full

Table 2 - Interworking amongst Groups in class U

	Initiator in Group		
Responder in Group	UA	UB	
UA	full	special 2	
UB	special 2	full	

### 4.4.2 Relays

### 4.4.2.1 Principles

Relay Profiles define the use of standards from OSI layers 1-4, to provide relaying functions between OSI Transport Profiles.

No relays exist between different Profiles of different Transport Profile classes (T, U).

Relays may operate at various layers up to layer 4. However, relays operating at layer 4 are not OSI relays and hence some restrictions or limitations may be expected in their operation. Many proposals for such relays have significant architectural issues associated with them relating to integrity, security, QoS, etc., and the fact that an identifier has been allocated to them does not indicate that such issues have been resolved.

### 4.4.2.2 Relay Profile identifier

The identifier for a Relay Profile is of the form

Rtp.q

where

R = relay function

t = relay type identifier

This identifier will cover

- the layer at which the relay operates
- the service mode being supported
- the type of relay

p, q = subnetwork identifier

p and q may each take the value of the abcde-structured numerical identifier defined for Transport Profiles. The fully qualified structure need only be used where necessary (e.g., for circumstances where a distinction must be made between LANs).

Rtp.q represents a relay of type t between subnetwork type p and subnetwork type q.

A relay Rtp.q is considered to provide the same functionality as Rtq.p unless otherwise stated.

#### 4.4.3 Application Profiles for OSI

#### 4.4.3.1 Principles

Application Profiles define the use of standards from OSI layers 5 to 7, to provide for the structured transfer of information between end systems.

Currently, only Application Layer services defined by JTC1/SC18 and SC21 are included.

Each Application Profile is a complete definition of the upper layer protocols, though it may share one or more common definitions of some part of its content with other Application-Profiles

In analogy with the primary distinction made between Transport Profiles, a primary distinction is made between Application Profiles, based on the mode of Transport Service they require:

Profile class A: Application Profiles requiring Connection-mode Transport Service, i.e.,

using T-Profiles

Profile class B: Application Profiles requiring Connectionless-mode Transport Service,

i.e., using U-Profiles

A further distinction is based on Application categories, as they are currently identified as main projects in JTC 1/SC 18 and SC 21.

#### 4.4.3.2 Application Profile identifier

The identifier for a Profile in the Application class is of the form:

**CXYabc** 

#### where:

C = Application Profile class designator:

A for Profiles requiring Connection-mode Transport Service B for Profiles requiring Connectionless-mode Transport Service

XY = two letters corresponding to the names of the primary subdivisions. These subdivisions are taken from the main categories of application functions and OSI management, as identified as main projects in JTC1.

abc = the structured numerical identifier for the member(s) of the subdivision. It is possible that a further level of subdivision may become necessary. Only that level of identifier will be used which is necessary for uniqueness. This level may vary among application functions.

# 4.4.4 Interchange Format and Representation Profiles

### 4.4.4.1 Principles

Interchange Format and Representation Profiles define the structure and/or content of the information being interchanged by Application Profiles. Hence, the main feature which distinguishes them from Application Profiles is the absence of a transfer function.

Currently, only interchange formats defined by JTC1/SC18 and SC21 are included.

# 4.4.4.2 Interchange Format and Representation Profile identifier

The identifier for a Profile in the Interchange Format and Representation class is of the form:

**FXYabc** 

where:

= Interchange Format

XY = two letters corresponding to the names of the primary subdivisions.

abc = the structured numerical identifier for the member(s) of the subdivision. It is possible that a further level of subdivision may become necessary. Only that level of identifier will be used which is necessary for uniqueness. This level may vary among the primary subdivisions.

### 4.4.4.3 Principles for the Office Document Format Taxonomy

The structure of the Office Document Format (FOD) Profiles in section 5.4.1 consists of three levels of subdivision a, b and c.

- Level a reflects the document structures (logical and/or layout) specified in the Profile. They
  relate to the intended use of the documents: modification requires logical information, for
  presentation only layout information has to be provided, processing (editing, formatting)
  and presentation of the document requires logical and layout information.
- Level b mainly reflects the hierarchically increasing complexity and functionality of the document architecture, i.e. of the logical and layout features of the Profile.
- In general, level c reflects the content architectures supported by the Profile: Character Content or Mixed Mode, i.e. character, raster graphics, geometric graphics.

NOTE: The FOD Profile structure is for further study.

### 5 Taxonomy of Profiles

The inclusion of a Profile in this clause is purely for the purpose of assigning a unique, meaningful identifier. It should not be assumed that the inclusion of a Profile in this section implies that a requirement for that Profile has been identified by a national body or an OSI User Group. For such information, see the "Directory of ISPs and Profiles contained therein".

#### **5.1** Transport Profiles

### 5.1.1 Taxonomy of subnetworks

The following Taxonomy of subnetworks is used in all Transport Profile Groups, unless otherwise stated.

<u>a b c d e</u>	Subnetwork Type
1 11 111 112 12 121 121 1221 1221 123 123	PACKET SWITCHED DATA NETWORK (PSDN) Permanent Access to a PSDN Virtual Call (VC) Permanent Virtual Circuit (PVC) Switched Access to a PSDN PSTN Case Virtual Call (VC) CSDN Case Virtual Call (VC) ISDN Case Virtual Call (VC)
2 2 1 2 2	DIGITAL DATA CIRCUIT  Leased (Permanent) Service  Dial-up (CSDN)
3 3 1 3 2	ANALOGUE TELEPHONE CIRCUIT Leased (Permanent) Service Dial-up (PSTN)
4 41 411 4111 4112 42 421 421 4211 4221 4221 4221 4222 4222 4222	INTEGRATED SERVICES DIGITAL NETWORK (ISDN) Circuit-switched bearer service at S or T reference point B-channel Semi-permanent access Demand access Packet-switched bearer service at S or T reference point using D-channel Virtual Call (VC) Permanent Virtual Circuit (PVC) using B-channel Semi-permanent access Virtual Call (VC) Permanent Virtual Circuit (PVC) Demand access Virtual Call (VC)
5	LOCAL AREA NETWORKS
5 1	CSMA/CD
5 2	Token Bus

<u>a b c d e</u>

Subnetwork Type

Token Ring

FDDI

NOTE: It may be necessary to extend the ISDN part of the subnetwork Taxonomy (4 xxx) to cover access at the U reference point.

### 5.1.2 Transport Groups

#### TA Group TA: CO-TS over CL-NS

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy within this Group see clause 5.1.1, with the exception that in Group TA, subnetworks of type ISDN (TA 4 xxxx) are for further study.

TB Group TB: CO-TS over CO-NS:

with mandatory Transport Protocol Classes:

0 and 2 and 4

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy within this Group see clause 5.1.1.

TC Group TC: CO-TS over CO-NS:

with mandatory Transport Protocol Classes:

0 and 2

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy within this Group see clause 5.1.1.

TD Group TD: CO-TS over CO-NS:

with mandatory Transport Protocol Class: 0

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy within this Group see clause 5.1.1.

TE Group TE: CO-TS over CO-NS:

with mandatory Transport Protocol Class: 2

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy within this Group see clause 5.1.1.

UA Group UA: CL-TS over CL-NS

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy within this Group see clause 5.1.1.

UB Group UB: CL-TS over CO-NS

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy within this Group see clause 5.1.1.

### 5.2 Relay Profiles

### 5.2.1 Relaying the Network Internal Layer Service as defined in ISO/IEC 10028

#### RA <u>Connectionless-mode Network Service</u>

#### RB <u>Connection-mode Network Service</u>

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy see clause 5.1.1.

### 5.2.2 Relaying within the Network Layer Protocol

#### RC X.25 Protocol Relaying

This type of relay is as defined in ISO/IEC TR 10029.

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy see clause 5.1.1.

Only the following subnetwork type identifiers are valid: 11n, 21n, 31n, 4111, 421n, 4221n, 5n.

### 5.2.3 Relaying the MAC Service

### RD MAC Service using the Spanning Tree routing algorithm

### RE MAC Service using source routing

For the detailed subnetwork Taxonomy see clause 5.1.1.

Only subnetwork type identifiers of the form 5n are valid for use with RD relays. Only subnetwork type identifiers of the form 53 and 54 are valid for use with RE relays.

### 5.2.4 CO/CL Interworking

# RZ Relaying between Connectionless-mode Network Service and Connection-mode Network Service

The final position in the Taxonomy and the substructure of this relay type cannot be determined until JTC 1/SC 6 has developed its Technical Report.

### 5.3 Application Profiles

# 5.3.1 File Transfer, Access and Management

### AFT File Transfer, Access and Management

<u>a b</u>	Substructure
1	FILE TRANSFER SERVICE
11	Simple (Unstructured)
12	Positional (Flat)
1 3	Full (Hierarchical)
2	FILE ACCESS SERVICE
2 2	Positional (Flat)
23	Full (Hierarchical)
3	FILE MANAGEMENT SERVICE

### 5.3.2 Message Handling

#### AMH Message Handling

<u>a b c</u>	Substructure
1	Interpersonal Messaging
11	UA + MTA (P1 and P2)
111	UA + MTA (P1 and P2, normal mode)
112	UA + MTA (P1 and P2, X.410-1984 mode)
113	UA + MTA (P1 and P2, 1984)
12	MTS Access (P3 and P2)
13	MS Access (P7 and P2)

NOTE 1: All except AMH 113 refer to X.400 (1988) recommendations and their JTC 1 equivalents on MOTIS, ISO/IEC 10021.

NOTE 2: AMH 113 exceptionally requires the visibility of more than Transport Service

NOTE 3: A modified AMH taxonomy has been proposed which is documented in JTC 1/SG-FS N 127.

#### 5.3.3 Virtual Terminal

#### **AVT** Virtual Terminal

<u>a b</u>	Substructure
1	BASIC CLASS (A-mode)
11	A-mode Default
12	TELNET
13	Line Scroll
14	Paged
15	CCITT X.3 PAD Interworking
16	Transparent
17	Enhanced Line Scroll
18	Enhanced Paged
2	BASIC CLASS (S-mode)
2 1	S-mode Default

a b Substructure

2 2 Forms
2 3 Paged
2 4 Enhanced Forms
2 5 Enhanced Paged

NOTE: The "enhanced" entries are place holders for the addition of facilities which will be specified in the forthcoming second addenda to the Basic Class Virtual Terminal standards. These include specifically "ripple" editing functions.

### 5.3.4 Transaction Processing

ATP Transaction Processing

a b Substructure

(to be studied)

### 5.3.5 Remote Database Access

ARD Remote Database Access

a b Substructure

(to be studied)

### 5.3.6 OSI Management

AOM OSI Management

a b Substructure

(to be studied)

### 5.3.7 Directory

ADI Directory

- <u>a</u> <u>Substructure</u>
- 1 Access to centralised or distributed Directory (DAP)
- 2 Directory System Protocol (DSP)
- 3 Specification of the dynamic behaviour of DSAs in respect of Distributed Operations.
- 4 Specification of the dynamic behaviour of DUAs in respect of the referral mode of the DAP.

### 5.4 Interchange Format and Representation Profiles

### 5.4.1 Office Document Format

FOD Office Document Format

<u>a b c</u> <u>Substructure</u>

(to be studied)

For the principles of the FOD taxonomy, see clause 4.4.4.3.

### 5.4.2 Computer Graphics Metafile Interchange Format

FCG Computer Graphics Metafile Interchange Format

<u>a b</u> <u>Substructure</u>

(to be studied)

### 5.4.3 SGML Interchange Format

FSG SGML Document Interchange Format

a b Substructure

(to be studied)

# 5.4.4 Directory Data Definitions

FDI Directory Data Definitions

<u>a</u> <u>Substructure</u>

1 Common Directory data usage