

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22/WG 23 N 0172

Report of Editors' Meeting, 12 December 2008

Reported by Jim Moore, James.W.Moore@ieee.org

The editorial team of ISO/IEC TR 24772 met at 10:00 am EST on 12 December 2008 via web/telecon using facilities provided by Jim Moore. Attendees were:

- John Benito (convener)
- Jim Moore (secretary)
- Clive Pygott
- Larry Wagoner

The primary intended purpose of the meeting was to discuss submissions for new material proposed for inclusion in a future draft of the technical report.

It was quickly determined that most of the anticipated submissions were not available. It was decided to schedule another editors' meeting on Friday, 30 January 2009 [later rescheduled to 28 January 2009]. The convener will encourage the assigned persons to complete their submissions by 5 January.

Other items discussed in the telecon were as follows:

1. Jim Moore noted that the draft submitted for PDTR ballot had the same title as previous drafts. He asked if SC 22 had decided to change the title. The convener will refer the question to the SC 22 Secretariat. [The Secretariat later advised the convener that SC 22 did not change the title of the Technical Report.]
2. In the sub-section of each description titled "Implications for Standardization", the editors decided to propose adding a lead-in sentence: "In future standardization activities, the following items should be considered:".
3. The editors agreed that it would be acceptable to them if future drafts were prepared using LaTeX.
4. Larry Wagoner asked about the appropriate spelling for behavior/behaviour when material is quoted from US sources. The convener will consult the ISO editing staff. [The convener later reported that ISO staff editor Richard Cook suggested that we used the spelling "behaviour".]
5. Larry Wagoner asked if the cross-references to MISRA C++ are complete. The convener and Clive Pygott replied that, aside from possible errors, the references are complete.
6. Larry Wagoner suggested that we develop a strategy for publicizing our work. It was decided to schedule this as an item of discussion at the next meeting of the working group. The convener will ask participants to prepare contributions prior to the meeting.