Delete if incomplete?
Addressing a needless undefined behavior
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Overview

• State the problem
• Provide examples
• Explore design directions
• Propose Solution
What is the Problem?
Gratuitous Undefined Behavior!

• The C++ standard states that it is undefined behavior to call `delete` on a pointer to an incomplete class type, *unless it satisfies some very specific properties* when the type is completed in the whole program.

• These properties are impossible to diagnose in a single translation unit.
What is the Problem?
Gratuitous Undefined Behavior!

- The C++ standard states that it is undefined behavior to call delete on a pointer to an incomplete class type, unless it satisfies some very specific properties when the type is completed in the whole program.
- These properties are impossible to diagnose in a single translation unit.

7.6.2.9 [expr.delete] Delete
“If the object being deleted has incomplete class type at the point of deletion and the complete class has a non-trivial destructor or a deallocation function, the behavior is undefined.”
Preferred Solution
A path towards a complete solution

• Do not immediately break valid C++23 code

• Deprecate even the valid C++23 cases for consistent compile-time diagnostics
  • Intend to make ill-formed in a future standard
  • Ill-formed future will also remove the remaining UB

• Use *Erroneous Behavior* to address destructor issues
  • All usage is erroneous, including valid C++23 cases

• Retain UB if complete class overloads *operator delete*
  • Resolved when future standard makes the call ill-formed
Example 1a
Well defined

```cpp
namespace xyz {
    struct Widget;          // forward declaration
    Widget* new_widget();   // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p;                // delete of incomplete class type
}

namespace xyz {
    struct Widget {
        const char *d_name;
        int         d_data;

        ~Widget() = default;  // trivial destructor
    };

    Widget* new_widget() {
        return new Widget();   // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
    }
} // close xyz
```
Example 1b
Undefined behavior

```cpp
namespace xyz {
    struct Widget;       // forward declaration
    Widget* new_widget();  // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p;               // delete of incomplete class type
}

namespace xyz {
    struct Widget {
        const char *d_name;
        int         d_data;
    ~Widget() {}           // non-trivial destructor
    };

    Widget* new_widget() {
        return new Widget();  // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
    }
} // close xyz
```
namespace xyz {
    struct Widget;                  // forward declaration
    Widget* new_widget();          // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p;                       // delete of incomplete class type
}

namespace xyz {

    struct Widget {
        const char *d_name;
        int     d_data;

        ~Widget() = default;       // trivial destructor
    };

    Widget* new_widget() {        // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
        return new Widget();
    }
} // close xyz

Example 2a
Well defined
Example 2b
Undefined behavior

```cpp
namespace xyz {
    struct Widget; // forward declaration
    Widget* new_widget(); // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p; // delete of incomplete class type
}

namespace xyz {
    struct Widget {
        const char *d_name;
        int         d_data;

        ~Widget() = default; // trivial destructor

        void operator delete(void *) {} // class-specific deleter
    };

    Widget* new_widget() {
        return new Widget(); // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
    }
} // close xyz
```
Observations

Part 1

• Does not apply to incomplete types other than class types. e.g., enumerations or arrays of unknown bound

• The well-defined cases *match the behavior* of not calling a destructor, and immediately calling global `operator delete`

  • As it’s impossible to diagnose well-defined case from UB, the expectation is that UB will do the same

  • UB of not calling the destructor has a different impact of calling the wrong deleter

  • However it is *not* UB to end the lifetime of an object without running its destructor
Example 3a
Well defined: wording has not been touched since 1998

namespace xyz {
    class Widget; // forward declaration
    Widget* new_widget(); // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p; // delete of incomplete class type
}

namespace xyz {

    class Widget {
        ~Widget() = default; // trivial destructor
    };

    Widget* new_widget() {
        return new Widget(); // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
    }
}

} // close xyz
Example 3b
Ill formed, diagnostic required

namespace xyz {
    class Widget { ~Widget() = default; }; // class definition
    Widget* new_widget(); // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p; // delete of complete type with private destructor
}

namespace xyz {

Widget* new_widget() {
    return new Widget(); // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
}

} // close xyz
Example 3a revisited
Well defined

namespace xyz {
    struct Widget;       // forward declaration
    Widget* new_widget(); // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p;              // delete of incomplete class type
}

namespace xyz {
    struct Widget {
        ~Widget() = default; // trivial destructor
    };

    Widget* new_widget() {
        return new Widget(); // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
    }
} // close xyz
Example 3c

Broken!

```cpp
namespace xyz {
    struct Widget;       // forward declaration
    Widget* new_widget(); // factory function
} // close xyz

int main() {
    xyz::Widget *p = xyz::new_widget();
    delete p;               // delete of incomplete class type
}

namespace xyz {
    struct Widget {
        ~Widget() = delete;     // deleted trivial destructor must be called!
    };

    Widget* new_widget() {
        return new Widget();     // needs the complete type or diagnosed error
    }
} // close xyz
```
Observations
Part 2

• Wording has not been touched since 1998
  • C++11 introduces deleted and defaulted destructors
  • Current wording demands we call the trivial destructors
• Classes can now have private defaulted destructors that are trivial
  • Calling inaccessible (trivial) destructor violates access control
• Deleted destructors are trivial
  • Not clear what it means to call a deleted destructor
• Open a core issue?
# Example 4: Templates introduce a grey zone

Must define **Widget** before first *call* to the template, rather than its definition

```cpp
#include <iostream>
#include <new>

namespace xyz {
  struct Widget; // forward type decl.
  void report(); // forward function decl.

  auto new_widget() -> Widget*; // factory

  template <typename T>
  void reclaim(T *p) {
    delete p;
  }

  struct Widget {
    static int s_count; // # active
    const char *d_name;
    int         d_data;
    Widget()    { ++s_count; } // non-trivial
    ~Widget()   { --s_count; } // non-trivial
  } // close xyz

  int main() {
    xyz::Widget* p = xyz::new_widget();
    xyz::report(); // Prints 1
    reclaim(p);    // Sees complete class
    xyz::report(); // Prints 0
  }
}

// Implementation details

void xyz::report() {
  using namespace std;
  cout << Widget::s_count << '
';
}

auto xyz::new_widget() -> Widget* {
  return new Widget();
}

int xyz::Widget::s_count = 0;
```
Explore Design Directions

- Make ill-formed
  - Deprecate first
  - Breaks valid C++23 code
- Define behavior
  - Do The Right Thing
  - Leak and reclaim memory
- Unspecified if destructor is called; behavior is erroneous
Do The Right Thing: Implementation A

Store a pointer to deleter with every new expression

- Similar to how `delete[]` works
- Similar to how `shared_ptr` works
  - Handles `delete` through base class with non-virtual destructor
- Type must be complete before call to call `new`
  - Well defined even if the class overloads `operator delete`
  - Valid deleter guaranteed to be stored for `delete` to call
    - UB to call `delete` on a pointer that was not a result of `new`
- Breaks ABI
- Adds access check for destructor when invoking `new`
Do The Right Thing: Implementation B
Delete looks for an implementation defined trampoline function

• Defers error detection to the linker
  • Was the class ever completed?

• Must perform both destructor and memory reclaim to get the correct overload of `operator delete`

• Trampoline emitted in TU with class definition

• Can be safely defined in multiple TUs as identical inline definition

• May selectively ignore access check if type is incomplete, as trampoline is effectively a class member or friend?
Leak and Reclaim

• In other contexts, it is well defined to end an object’s lifetime without running its destructor, c.f., ending lifetime by re-using or releasing storage

• Memory is reclaimed only for types that use the global `operator new` and `operator delete` for memory management
  • Common belief that this is the overwhelming majority of cases
  • UB remains for classes overloading `operator delete`

• Consistent with many implementations today

• Undiagnosed object leaks are still not a great solution
Erroneous Behavior
Unspecified whether destructor is called

• Erroneous behavior is the runtime analog of deprecation

• Behavior is minimally specified in order to remove undefined behavior
  • Erroneous is specifically unreliable, as implementations are encouraged to provide instrumentation and reporting at runtime
  • Reporting may include program termination

• Does erroneous cover the existing well-defined sliver?
  • Easier to instrument and diagnose if it does
  • May break currently valid programs
Observations

• We cannot solve the class-specific delete without breaking either API or ABI
• We can define the destructor behavior without breaking either API or ABI
  • UB regarding destructor is the overwhelmingly common case
• Preferred long term direction may dictate a different transitional solution
  • We should accept that transitional may also be final if we remain committed to no breakage in a future standard
Possible Directions

• Long term:
  • Remove all potential for UB
    • Option A: ill-formed — API break
    • Option B: do it right — ABI break

• Transitional
  • Address only the destructor concerns
    • UB to delete if complete type overloads operator delete
  • Option A: deprecate all usage; specify as Erroneous Behaviour when called; unspecified whether destructor is called
  • Option B: defer destructor to link time; IFNDR if type is never completed
### Comparing solutions across examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color Key</th>
<th>Perfect clean-up</th>
<th>Inconsistent specification</th>
<th>Unbounded bad behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex 1a trivial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex 1b Non-trivial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex 3 priv non-triv</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex 3 deleted</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex 3 private trivial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex 2 overload op</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex 5 template</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C++23</th>
<th>Cleans up</th>
<th>UB</th>
<th>UB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not destroy</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Leak object</td>
<td>Leak object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erroneous behavior</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Deprecated</td>
<td>Deprecated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-formed</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call destructor</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Break access control</td>
<td>IFNDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get it “right” Break ABI</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Break access control</td>
<td>IFNDR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Footnote 1: C++23 specification suggests UB as long as we assume that deleted destructors are never trivial

Footnote 2: The erroneous behavior cleans up correctly, as it is specified to not call the (inaccessible trivial) destructor
## Comparing solutions across examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color Key</th>
<th>Perfect clean-up</th>
<th>Inconsistent specification</th>
<th>Unbounded bad behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ex 1a trivial</th>
<th>Ex 1b Non-trivial</th>
<th>Ex 3 priv non-triv</th>
<th>Ex 3 deleted</th>
<th>Ex 3 private trivial</th>
<th>Ex 2 overload op</th>
<th>Ex 5 template</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C++23</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>UB¹</td>
<td>Break access control</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>IFNDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not destroy</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Leak object</td>
<td>Leak object</td>
<td>Leak object</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>IFNDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erroneous behavior</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Deprecated</td>
<td>Deprecated</td>
<td>Deprecated</td>
<td>Deprecated²</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>IFNDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-formed</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
<td>API break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call destructor</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>Break access control</td>
<td>IFNDR</td>
<td>Break access control</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get it “right”</td>
<td>Cleans up</td>
<td>ABI break</td>
<td>Break access control</td>
<td>IFNDR</td>
<td>Break access control</td>
<td>ABI break</td>
<td>ABI break</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnote 1: C++23 specification suggests UB as long as we assume that deleted destructors are never trivial

Footnote 2: The erroneous behavior cleans up correctly, as it is specified to not call the (inaccessible trivial) destructor
Preferred Solution

We know how to migrate an API break, but not an ABI break

- Do not immediately break valid C++23 code
- Deprecate even the valid C++23 cases for consistent compile-time diagnostics
  - Intend to make ill-formed in a future standard
  - Ill-formed future will also remove the remaining UB
- Use *Erroneous Behavior* to address destructor issues
  - All usage is erroneous, including valid C++23 cases
- Retain UB if complete class overloads `operator delete`
  - Resolved when future standard makes the call ill-formed