Range constructor for `std::string_view` 2: Constrain Harder

Document #: P1989R2
Date: 2021-03-17
Project: Programming Language C++
Audience: LWG
Reply-to: Corentin Jabot <corentin.jabot@gmail.com>

Abstract

in Belfast, LWG accepted P1391 partially over concern about the constraints for the range constructor, and as such only the iterator+sentinel constructor was accepted. Please refer to P1391 for the design of the proposed changed. (P1391 being now accepted, I needed a new paper number for the range constructor.)

Revisions

R2

- Wording fixes (LWG review).

R1

- Fix some typos and other issues in section 2.1
- Add a link to a libstdc++ implementation of the proposal
- Wording tweaks

Issues found during wording reviews

The current idiomatic way to construct a `string_view` is to define a `string_view` operator on user-defined classes, as does `std::string`, `QString` Boost Beast, `fmt` and other. With the changes as proposed in P1391R3, the range constructor may be selected over the conversion function. This is not observable in practice, unless the `string_view` returned by the conversion function is not the same value as what the range constructor would create.

```
struct buffer {
   buffer() {};
   char const* begin() const { return data; }
   char const* end() const { return data + 42; }
   operator basic_string_view<char, s>() const{
```

```
      return basic_string_view<char, s>(data, data +2);
   }
private:
   char data[42];
};
```

To make sure this conversion function keeps getting selected, we had the following constraint

- `std::remove_cvref_t<R>` has no `basic_string_view<charT, traits>` conversion operator.

With that constraint, any type that has a conversion operator will use that conversion operator. If a `const` type has a non-const conversion function the program remains ill-formed.

Conversion between `string_view` types with different `charT` or different `type_traits` are ill-formed.

If a type otherwise satisfying the constraints has a conversion operator to a different `basic_string_view`, notably `basic_string_view<charT, some-other-traits-type>`, while not itself defining `using type_traits = some-other-traits-type`, a program that was previously ill-formed will call the new range overload.

Limitations

`string_view` can be constructed from a type with a deleted conversion operator to `string_view` after this proposal. I think this was briefly discussed (in Kona?) and we decided it wasn't an issue. We noted durin the LWG review (March 2021) that we could add a `std::constructible_from_string_view` type trait, defaulted to true, to support this use case, should we want to.

Implementability

The following overload satisfies the desired set of constraints

```
template <typename T, typename Traits>
concept has_compatible_traits = !requires { typename T::traits_type; }
|| ranges::same_as<typename T::traits_type, Traits>;


template<typename charT, typename traits = std::char_traits<char>>
struct basic_string_view {
        //...
        template <ranges::contiguous_range R>
        requires ranges::sized_range<R>
          && (!std::is_convertible_v<R, const charT*>)
          &&   std::is_same_v<std::remove_cvref_t<ranges::range_reference_t<R>>, charT>
          &&   has_compatible_traits<R, traits>
          && (!requires (std::remove_cvref_t<R> & d) {
              d.operator ::std::basic_string_view<charT, traits>();
          })
```

```
        basic_string_view(R&&);
}
```

This has been implemented in libstdc++ such that it passes the set of tests [Github]

Proposed wording

Change in **[string.view] 20.4.2**:

```
template<class charT, class traits = char_traits<charT>>
class basic_string_view {
public:
    [...]

    // construction and assignment
    constexpr basic_string_view() noexcept;
    constexpr basic_string_view(const basic_string_view&) noexcept = default;
    constexpr basic_string_view& operator=(const basic_string_view&) noexcept = default;
    constexpr basic_string_view(const charT* str);
    constexpr basic_string_view(const charT* str, size_type len);
    template <class It, class End>
    constexpr basic_string_view(It begin, End end);
    template <class R>
    constexpr basic_string_view(R&& r);


    [...]
};

template<class R>
basic_string_view(R&&)
  -> basic_string_view<ranges::range_value_t<R>>;

template<class It, class End>
basic_string_view(It, End) -> basic_string_view<iter_value_t<It>>;
```

Change in **[string.view.cons] 20.4.2.1**:

Add after 7

```
template <class R>
constexpr basic_string_view(R&& r);
```

Let `d` be an lvalue of type `remove_cvref_t<R>`.

Constraints:

- `R` models `ranges::contiguous_range` and `ranges::sized_range`,
- `is_same_v<ranges::range_value_t<R>, charT>` is `true`,

- `is_convertible_v<R, const charT*>` is `false`,
- `d.operator ::std::basic_string_view<charT, traits>()` is not a valid expression, and
- if the *qualified-id* `R::traits_type` is valid and denotes a type, `is_same_v<remove_reference_t<R>::traits_type, traits>` is `true`.

Effects: Initializes `data_` with `ranges::data(r)` and `size_` with `ranges::size(r)`.

Throws: Any exception thrown by `ranges::data(r)` and `ranges::size(r)`.

Add to the section [string.view.deduct] the following deduction guides:

Note to the editors: Rename the title of the [string.view.deduct] section from "Deduction guide" to "Deduction guides".

```
template<class R>
basic_string_view(R&&)
-> basic_string_view<ranges::range_value_t<R>>;
```

Constraints: `R` satisfies `ranges::contiguous_range`.