

Doc No: N2981=09-0171

Date: 2009-10-23

Authors: Pablo Halpern
Intel Corp..

phalpern@halpernwrightsoftware.com

Proposal to Simplify pair (rev 3)

Contents

Background.....	1
Changes from N2945	2
Changes from N2834	2
Document Conventions	2
Discussion	2
Proposed Wording.....	3
References	6

Background

In the C++98 standard, the `pair` class template had only three constructors, excluding the compiler-generated copy-constructor. It was a very simple class template that could be easily understood. A number of language and library features were introduced since then. Constructors were added to take advantage of new language features as well as to implement new features in the `map`, `multimap`, `unordered_map` and `unordered_multimap` containers, for which `pair` plays a central role. Basically, these new constructors were added to support:

- Conversion-construction of the `first` and `second` members
- Move-construction of the `pair` as a whole, and of its individual members
- `emplace` functions in the `map` containers
- Passing an allocator to the `first` and `second` members for support of scoped allocators.

Unfortunately, most of these new features were orthogonal, nearly causing a doubling of the number of constructors to support each one. At one point, `pair` had 14 constructors (excluding the compiler-generated copy constructor)! That number has since been reduced to 9 by identifying redundant constructors. The previous version of this paper (N2834) proposed a

number of approaches that could be used to reduce the number of constructors, if not back to the 1998 set, at least to a manageable number.

Changes from N2945

Fixed incorrect description of `scoped_allocator_adaptor::construct` for pairs. (Description now matches reference implementation.) Miscellaneous corrections.

Changes from N2834

This revision reflects guidance from a straw poll of the LWG (at the March 2009 meeting in Summit, NJ) expressing interest in proposal 1, 2 and 3 of N2834. Proposal 0 (to do nothing) and proposal 4 (to create a general-purpose way to construct `pair` with arbitrary arguments) were removed. Concepts were removed and some additional normative text has been added to the `scoped_allocator_adaptor` section.

Document Conventions

All section names and numbers are relative to the, March 2009 WP, N2857.

Existing working paper text is indented and shown in dark blue. Edits to the working paper are shown with ~~red strikeouts for deleted text~~ and green underlining for inserted text within the indented blue original text.

Comments and rationale mixed in with the proposed wording appears as shaded text.

Requests for LWG opinions and guidance appear with light (yellow) shading. It is expected that changes resulting from such guidance will be minor and will not delay acceptance of this proposal in the same meeting at which it is presented.

Discussion

Part of the problem with containers that are defined in terms of `pair` is the need to pass constructor arguments to both the `first` and `second` data members. This need resulted in a number of `pair` constructors that mirror the individual constructors of the data members and have nothing to do with `pair` itself. For example, the `emplace` proposal added a variadic constructor for the `second` part of the `pair`, even though such a constructor is not natural or otherwise useful. Similarly, the `scoped_allocator` proposal added constructors that may supply an allocator argument to the construction of `first` and/or `second`. By constructing the members of `pair` separately (without calling a `pair` constructor) we can eliminate the need for these extra constructors.

This proposal is to eliminate the `pair` constructors with variadic arguments and the `pair` constructors with allocator arguments. Instead, the `emplace` methods of ordered and unordered maps and multimaps will pass their variadic argument lists directly to the constructor of `second` and four new overloads of the `construct` methods of `scoped_allocator_adaptor` will pass the inner allocator directly to constructors of `first` and `second`, without calling the `pair` constructor. In this way, the logic necessary to implement `emplace` and `scoped` allocators is put in the appropriate place, without distorting the `pair` interface.

Removing the variadic constructors from `pair` requires adding an r-value reference constructor for move-construction of `first` and `second`. (This functionality was handled by one of the variadic versions.) The effective change to `pair` in this proposal is the elimination of five constructors and the reinstatement of one constructor, for a net reduction of four constructors.

Proposed Wording

Note to the editor: this paper may be easier to integrate after N2946, if both are accepted.

20.2.3 Pairs [pairs]

Add language to the introduction in ¶ 1 as follows:

- 1 The library provides a template for heterogeneous pairs of values. The library also provides a matching function template to simplify their construction and several templates that provide access to pair objects as if they were tuple objects (see 20.4.1.4 and 20.4.1.5).

The following text from previous versions of this document has been removed:

As an alternative to the constructors provided, an object of a pair specialization may be constructed in uninitialized memory of the correct size and alignment by separately constructing the first and second members, e.g., using placement new (18.6.1.3 [new.delete.placement]) and may similarly be destroyed separately by separately calling destructors on `first` and `second`. [Example:

```
pair<X, Y> *p = ::operator new(sizeof(pair<X,Y>));
try {
    ::new ((void*)&p->first) X(arg1, arg2, arg3);
    try {
        ::new ((void*)&p->second) Y(arg4, arg5);
    }
    catch (...) {
        p->first.~X(); // exception in Y constructor
        throw;
    }
}
```

```

}
catch (...) {
    ::operator delete(p); // exception in X or Y constructor
    throw;
}
// *p is now fully constructed
- end example]

```

It is hard to imagine an implementation where the above example would not “just work,” but there is nothing in the standard that allows an object to be constructed in pieces like this, even if the object being constructed has no virtual functions and no virtual inheritance. The LWG agrees that a general language feature would be preferable to special treatment for `pair` and would prefer to see such a feature adopted by Core. The absence of such a feature effectively prevents a user from writing a standard-conforming container that exactly matches the interface for `map`, `multimap`, etc.. For this reason, we believe it is vital to adopt some wording before CD2 in order to avoid a NB comment demanding it after the CD.

In `struct pair` remove the variadic and allocator-extended constructors and add a member-wise move constructor:

```

template<class U, class V>
pair(U&& x, V&& y);
template<class U, class... Args>
pair(U&& x, Args&&... args);

//allocator-extended constructors
template<class Alloc>
pair(allocator_arg_t, const Alloc& a);
template<class U, class V, class Alloc>
pair(allocator_arg_t, const Alloc& a, const pair<U, V>& p);
template<class U, class V, class Alloc>
pair(allocator_arg_t, const Alloc& a, pair<U, V>&& p);
template<class U, class... Args, class Alloc>
pair(allocator_arg_t, const Alloc& a, U&& x, Args&&... args);

```

Remove ¶ 6 through ¶ 10 including the duplicate versions of the constructors above:

```

template<class U, class... Args>
pair(U&& x, Args&&... args);

6 Effects: The constructor initializes first with std::forward<U>(x) and second with
std::forward<Args>(args)...

7 ...

8 ...

9 ...

```

~~10 *Effects:* The members `first` and `second` are each constructed as `ConstructibleWithAllocator` objects with constructor arguments `(allocator_arg_t(), a, std::forward<U>(x))` and `(allocator_arg_t(), a, std::forward<Args>(args)...)...`, respectively.~~

and insert a new ¶ 6:

```
template<class U, class V>  
pair(U&& x, V&& y);
```

6 *Effects:* The constructor initializes first with `std::forward<U>(x)` and second with `std::forward<V>(y)`.

Remove the specialization of `uses_allocator` and `constructible_with_allocator_prefix` for pairs:

```
template <class T1, class T2, class Alloc>  
struct uses_allocator<pair<T1, T2>, Alloc>;
```

```
template <class T1, class T2>  
struct constructible_with_allocator_prefix<pair<T1, T2>{}>;  
+
```

```
template <class T1, class T2, class Alloc>  
struct uses_allocator<pair<T1, T2>, Alloc> : true_type {};
```

~~Requires: `Alloc` shall be an `Allocator` (20.2.2).~~

~~[Note: Specialization of this trait informs other library components that `pair` can be constructed with an allocator, even though it does not have a nested `allocator_type`.—end note]~~

```
template <class T1, class T2>  
struct constructible_with_allocator_prefix<pair<T1, T2>>  
: true_type {};
```

~~[Note: Specialization of this trait informs other library components that `pair` can be constructed with an allocator prefix argument.—end note]~~

20.8.7 Scoped allocator adaptor [allocator.adaptor]

In section [allocator.adaptor] (20.8.7), add new `construct` members for `scoped_allocator_adaptor`:

```
template <class T, class... Args>  
void construct(T* p, Args&&... args);  
template <class T1, class T2>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p);  
template<class T1, class T2, class U, class V>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, U&& x, V&& y);  
template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, const pair<U,V>& x);
```

```
template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, pair<U,V>&& x);
```

In section [allocator.adaptor.members] (20.8.7.4), add descriptions of new construct functions:

```
template <class T1, class T2>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p);
```

Effects: *OUTERMOST*(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->first));
OUTERMOST(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->second));

Throws: if an exception is thrown while constructing p->second, then the destructor for p->first is invoked. Any exception thrown by either constructor is rethrown.

```
template<class T1, class T2, class U, class V>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, U&& x, V&& y);
```

Effects: *OUTERMOST*(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->first), std::forward<U>(x));
OUTERMOST(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->second), std::forward<V>(y));

Throws: if an exception is thrown while constructing p->second, then the destructor for p->first is invoked. Any exception thrown by either constructor is rethrown.

```
template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, const pair<U,V>& x);
```

Effects: *OUTERMOST*(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->first), x.first);
OUTERMOST(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->second), x.second);

Throws: if an exception is thrown while constructing p->second, then the destructor for p->first is invoked. Any exception thrown by either constructor is rethrown.

```
template <class T1, class T2, class U, class V>  
void construct(pair<T1,T2>* p, pair<U,V>&& x);
```

Effects: *OUTERMOST*(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->first), std::move(x.first));
OUTERMOST(*this).construct(std::addressof(p->second), std::move(x.second));

Throws: if an exception is thrown while constructing p->second, then the destructor for p->first is invoked. Any exception thrown by either constructor is rethrown.

References

[N2946](#): Allocators post Removal of C++ Concept

[N2834](#): Several Proposals to Simplify pair