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1.0 Introduction and Rationale

NB comment GB-012 from [n3019] identifies the issue that the 2011 edition of ISO/IEC 10646 removed eight-digit short identifiers that were present in the 2003 edition (and this removal still applies as of the 2020 edition) but the current C23 draft supports eight-digit short identifiers but not six-digit short identifiers.

SC 22 N 5777, Subclause 6.4.3, “Universal character names” paragraph 4 states that:

The universal character name \Unnnnnnnn designates the character whose eight-digit short identifier (as specified by ISO/IEC 10646) is nnnnnnnn.80) Similarly, the universal character name \unnnnn designates the character whose four-digit short identifier is nnnn (and whose eight-digit short identifier is 0000nnnn).

Ideally, the C standard would only use short identifiers with no more than six digits. However, this would break backwards compatibility.

2.0 Proposed Solution

[n2785] proposed a new syntax \u{} usable in places where \u currently is. \u{} accepts an arbitrary number of hexadecimal digits. The values represented by this new syntax has the same requirements as the existing escape sequence, for example: \u{nnnn} must represent a valid Unicode scalar value. [n2785] is based on [P2290R3] which was adopted into C++23

https://github.com/cplusplus/papers/issues/983

The question should be "Can WG14 live with curly braces?" was polled at the 27 and 30 – 31 August, 1 – 3 September 2021 meeting [n2874].

Opinion Poll: Would WG14 be willing to accept using curly braces to delimit escape sequences as described in N2785?
17-0-5 Clear direction

Opinion Poll: Would WG14 want to adopt something along the lines of N2785 to be adopted into C23? 16-2-3 Clear direction
3.0 Wording

3.1 Wording Proposal #1
Replace 6.4.3, “Universal character names”, paragraph 4:

The universal character name \Unnnnnnnnn designates the character whose eight-digit short identifier (as specified by ISO/IEC 10646) is nnnnnnnn. Similarly, the universal character name \unnnnn designates the character whose four-digit short identifier is nnnn (and whose eight-digit short identifier is 0000nnnn).

with

A universal character name designates the character in ISO/IEC 10646 whose code point is the hexadecimal number represented by the sequence of hexadecimal digits in the universal character name.

[Editor’s note: Remove footnote 80]

Poll #1: Do we want to resolve GB-012 by applying the changes from n 3106 section 3.1?

3.2 Wording Proposal #2
Replace 6.4.3, “Universal character names”, paragraph 4:

universal-character-name:
\u hex-quad
\U '0' '0' hexadecimal-digit hexadecimal-digit hex-quad hex-quad

hex-quad:
hexadecimal-digit hexadecimal-digit hexadecimal-digit hexadecimal-digit

Modify Subclause 6.4.3, “Universal character names”, paragraph 2:

The universal character name \U00nnnnnnnnn designates the character whose eight six-digit short identifier (as specified by ISO/IEC 10646) is nnnnnnnn. Similarly, the universal character name \unnnnn designates the character whose four-digit short identifier is nnnn (and whose eight six-digit short identifier is 00 00nnnn).

[Editor’s note: Remove footnote 80]

Poll #2: Do we want to resolve GB-012 by applying the changes from n 3106 section 3.2?

3.3 new syntax \u { }

Modify Subclause 6.4.3, “Universal character names”, paragraph 2:

Syntax

hex-quad:

hexadecimal-digit hexadecimal-digit hexadecimal-digit hexadecimal-digit

simple-hexadecimal-digit-sequence:

hexadecimal-digit
simple-hexadecimal-digit-sequence hexadecimal-digit

universal-character-name:
\u hex-quad
\U hex-quad hex-quad
\u{ simple-hexadecimal-digit-sequence }

Poll #3: Do we want to resolve GB-012 by applying the changes from n 3106 section 3.3? (Requires wording proposal #1 be adopted).
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