

# Consistent Function Label Naming for Sections

Document #: P3539R1  
Date: 2024-12-11  
Project: Programming Language C++  
Audience: Evolution Working Group  
Reply-to: Paweł Benetkiewicz  
<[isocpp@benio.me](mailto:isocpp@benio.me)>

## Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Proposal</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Wording</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 Introduction

We use three different section label names when referring to functions:

- 9 times we use full function:
  - `[constexpr.functions]`
  - `[defns.reserved.function]`
  - `[format.functions]`
  - `[global.functions]`
  - `[handler.functions]`
  - `[member.functions]`
  - `[pointer.traits.functions]`
  - `[replacement.functions]`
  - `[res.on.functions]`
- 9 times we use abbreviation `func`:
  - `[conv.func]`
  - `[fs.op.funcs]`
  - `[func]`
  - `[func.wrap.func]`
  - `[over.call.func]`
  - `[over.match.funcs]`
  - `[temp.deduct.funcaddr]`
  - `[temp.func.order]`
  - `[temp.mem.func]`
- 1 time we use abbreviation `fun`:
  - `[print.fun]`

Label abbreviation `fun` is inconsistent with established convention of using either full `function` name or the abbreviation `func`.

## **2 Proposal**

Make `[print.fun]` consistent with other label names.

## **3 Wording**

Rename the label of section 31.7.10 Print functions `[print.fun]` to `[print.func]`.