Doc No:	SC22/WG21/N3210 PL22.16/10-0200
Date:	2010-11-12
Project:	JTC1.22.32
Reply to:	Stefanus Du Toit
	Intel Corporation
	stefanus.du.toit@intel.com

Background

This paper presents new wording for section 20.6.2 [ratio.arithmetic]. It is a response to NB Comment GB 89 (see N3102) and based on the same basic principles as N3131, but replaces the wording in question completely to make it clearer and better achieve the objectives of the response.

The intention of this wording is to allow two types of implementations of ratio arithmetic:

- a simple implementation where the program will be ill-formed if the intermediate computations overflow, and,
- a more complex implementation that guarantees the correct result if it is representable, even if the intermediate computations may not be representable in their simplest forms.

We furthermore improve the wording of the section overall, for example, by avoiding referring to ratios as types when they are in fact template aliases.

Proposed Wording

Replace 20.6.2 [ratio.arithmetic] "Arithmetic on ratio types" completely with the following section:

20.6.2 Arithmetic on ratios

[ratio.arithmetic]

1. Each of the template aliases ratio_add, ratio_subtract, ratio_multiply, and ratio_divide denotes the result of an arithmetic computation on two ratios R1 and R2. With X and Y computed (in the absence of arithmetic overflow) as specified by [table below], each alias denotes a ratio<U, V> such that U is the same as ratio<X, Y>::num and V is the same as ratio<X, Y>::den.

2. If it is not possible to represent U or V with $intmax_t$, the program is ill-formed. Otherwise, an implementation should yield correct values of U and V. If it is not possible to represent X or Y with $intmax_t$, the program is ill-formed unless the implementation yields correct values of U and V.

Туре	Value of x	Value of Y
<pre>ratio_add<r1, r2=""></r1,></pre>	R1::num * R2::den + R2::num * R1::den	R1::den * R2::den
<pre>ratio_subtract<r1, r2=""></r1,></pre>	R1::num * R2::den - R2::num * R1::den	R1::den * R2::den

<pre>ratio_multiply<r1, r2=""></r1,></pre>	R1::num * R2::num	R1::den * R2::den
ratio_divide <r1, r2=""></r1,>	R1::num * R2::den	R1::den * R2::num

3. [Example:

Replace the title of 20.6.3 [ratio.comparison] as follows:

Comparison of ratio-types

Acknowledgements

A great deal of thanks goes out to Anthony Williams for his initial proposed wording in N3131.

I would also like to thank Walter Brown, Pablo Halpern, and Howard Hinnant for their careful review of and very helpful comments on initial versions of this paper.