

New reflection metafunction - is_structural_type (US NB comment 49)

Document #: P3856R8 [[Latest](#)] [[Status](#)]
Date: 2026-03-27
Project: Programming Language C++
Audience: LEWG/LWG
Reply-to: Jagrut Dave
(jdave12@bloomberg.net)
Alisdair Meredith
(ameredith1@bloomberg.net)

Contents

[Contents](#)

[1. Revision History](#)

[2. Introduction](#)

[3. Structural types](#)

[3.1 is_structural_type](#)

[3.1.1 Motivating examples](#)

[3.1.2 Proof of concept implementation](#)

[3.1.3 Observations from the sample implementation](#)

[4. Type traits or metafunctions?](#)

[5. Proposed Library wording](#)

[6. Feature-test Macros](#)

[7. Conclusion](#)

[8. Acknowledgements](#)

[9. References](#)

1. Revision History

From version 7

- Improved wording based on LWG

From version 6

- Fixed headings

From version 5

- Added feature test macros

From version 4

- Improved wording based on Barry's feedback

From version 3

- Removed *is_destructurable_type* and its sample implementation, per LEWG feedback
- Removed the access context parameter from *is_structural_type* signature
- Proposed type trait for *is_structural_type*, per LEWG feedback

From version 2

- Corrected version number

From version 1

- Added metafunction *is_structural_type* addressing US NB comment 49

From version 0

- Corrected paper number, added observations from the proof of concept (section 3.3)

2. Introduction

Several parts of the standard and library refer to structural types, including library mandates that types be structural, yet there is no way to query whether a type is structural. Library mandates clauses mean that library implementers must somehow have this functionality, but it is simply not exposed to users. We propose a new reflection metafunction - *is_structural_type(info)* as a solution, which would return *true* when *info* is the reflection of a structural type, and *false* otherwise. We also propose a corresponding type trait.

The reflection metafunction is proposed to be added to the `<meta>` header, and the type trait to `<type_traits>`. We provide a sample implementation using other reflection metafunctions from P2996 to demonstrate how far we can go with the existing batch of reflection type queries included in C++26. In addition, we compare the approaches of using traditional type traits vs. using reflection metafunctions to query type attributes.

3. Structural types

3.1 `is_structural_type`

As per [\[temp.param\] \(13.2\)](#), a structural type is (a) a scalar type, (b) an lvalue reference type, or (c) a literal class type whose direct bases and non-static data members are all public and non-mutable, and whose types are themselves structural (or arrays thereof). Captureless lambdas are also considered structural, per [\[expr.prim.lambda.closure\] \(7.5.6.2\)](#).

3.1.1 Motivating examples

The *is_structural_type* check is valuable wherever values (including small user-defined structs) have to be treated as compile-time constants, since NTTPs must be structural types. E.g., better diagnostics can be produced for debugging template instantiations, and APIs can be cleanly separated.

```
C/C++
template<typename V>
requires is_structural_v<decltype(V)>
struct const_wrapper { static constexpr auto value = V; };

template<typename V>
requires (!is_structural_v<decltype(V)>)
struct const_wrapper<V>; // = delete; // or static_assert with a helpful note

template<typename V>
requires is_structural_v<decltype(V)>
void register_token() { /* Register type V */ }

template<typename T>
void register_token(type_tag<T>) { /* Register type_tag<V> value */ }
```

A single logging API could be used for compile and run time logging.

```
C/C++
template<typename Tag>
requires is_structural_type_v<decltype(Tag)>
inline void log_event() { /* Tag is known to be NTTP */ }

// Fall back, run-time.
```

```
inline void log_event(std::string_view tag);
```

3.1.2 Proof of concept implementation

The code below shows a possible implementation of *is_structural_type*, using Bloomberg's Clang fork.

```
C/C++
#include <experimental/meta>

// Forward-declare so we can recurse.
namespace proposed {
    consteval bool is_structural_type(std::meta::info);
}

namespace detail {

// Strip top-level cv, but not references (rvalue refs are *not* structural).
consteval std::meta::info canonicalize(std::meta::info t) {
    return remove_cv(dealias(t));
}

// Peel one array extent; P2996 has array transforms in
// [meta.reflection.trans.arr].
consteval std::meta::info remove_one_extent(std::meta::info t) {
    return remove_extent(t);
}

consteval bool is_array_of_structural_types(std::meta::info t) {
    t = canonicalize(t);

    if (!is_array_type(t)) return false;

    // Recursively strip all extents, then check the element type
    std::meta::info elem = t;
    while (is_array_type(elem)) {
        elem = remove_one_extent(elem);
    }
    return proposed::is_structural_type(canonicalize(elem));
}
```



```

// Helper: at least one variant member of a union is a non-volatile literal
type.
// (Empty unions are allowed by DR 2598.)
constexpr bool union_has_literal_variant(std::meta::info union_type,
                                         std::meta::access_context ctx) {
    auto ms = nonstatic_data_members_of(union_type, ctx);

    if (ms.empty()) return true; // union with 0 variant members

    for (auto m : ms) {

        if(!is_accessible(m, ctx)) return false;

        std::meta::info mt = dealias(type_of(m));
        if (!is_volatile_type(mt) && is_literal_type(mt, ctx)) {
            return true;
        }
    }
    return false;
}

// Helper: check "all bases and non-static data members are non-volatile
literal types",
// and enforce the "anonymous union has a literal variant" rule for classes
with anon unions.
constexpr bool class_members_are_literal(std::meta::info class_type,
                                         std::meta::access_context ctx =
std::meta::access_context::unchecked()) {
    // Bases
    for (auto b : bases_of(class_type, ctx)) {
        std::meta::info bt = dealias(type_of(b));
        if (is_volatile_type(bt) || !is_literal_type(bt, ctx)) {
            return false;
        }
    }
    // Non-static data members
    for (auto m : nonstatic_data_members_of(class_type, ctx)) {

        if(!is_accessible(m, ctx)) return false;

        std::meta::info mt = dealias(type_of(m));

        // Anonymous union members need a special check (P2996 exposes
has_identifier + union test).

```

```

    if (is_union_type(mt) && !has_identifier(m)) {
        if (!union_has_literal_variant(mt, ctx)) {
            return false;
        }
        continue;
    }

    if (is_volatile_type(mt) || !is_literal_type(mt, ctx)) {
        return false;
    }
}
return true;
}

// Probe for "constexpr destructor when default constructible" using
// substitution.
template<class T, class = void>
constexpr bool __has_constexpr_dtor_if_default = false;

template<class T>
constexpr bool __has_constexpr_dtor_if_default<
    T,
    // This requires both a constexpr default construction *and* that the
// destructor
// is permitted in constant evaluation, which implies a constexpr destructor.
decltype( []{
    constexpr T t{}; // ok only if T is literal *and* its dtor is constexpr
    (void)t;
    }(), void() )
> = true;

constexpr bool is_literal_type(std::meta::info type,
                               std::meta::access_context ctx /* = unchecked */)
{
    type = dealias(type);

    // Primary cases
    if (is_void_type(type)) return true;
    if (is_reference_type(type)) return true;
    if (is_scalar_type(type)) return true;

    // Arrays: literal iff the element type is literal.
    if (is_array_type(type)) {
        return is_literal_type(remove_all_extents(type), ctx);
    }
}

```

```

}

// Unions: aggregate union with a literal variant (or empty union) and
non-volatile members.
if (is_union_type(type)) {
    if (!is_aggregate_type(type)) return false; // matches the "aggregate
union" bullet
    return union_has_literal_variant(type, ctx);
}

// Class types: check members/bases first.
if (is_class_type(type)) {
    if (!class_members_are_literal(type, ctx)) {
        return false;
    }

    // Destructor condition: C++20+ requires a constexpr destructor (trivial
counts).
    // P2996R13 doesn't expose "is this function constexpr?" for destructors,
// so we implement a conservative check:
    // - accept trivially-destructible (always OK);
    // - otherwise, *attempt* to verify a constexpr destructor in the
default-constructible case
    //   via a substitution probe (__has_constexpr_dtor_if_default).
    if (!is_trivially_destructible_type(type)) {
        bool ok = false;
        // Try the default-constructible constexpr-dtor probe.
        // (If T isn't default-constructible but still has a constexpr dtor, we
can't detect it.)
        ok = extract<bool>(substitute(^_^__has_constexpr_dtor_if_default, { type
}));
        if (!ok) return false; // conservative: fail if we cannot prove constexpr
dtor
    }

    // Final disjunct for class types:
    // * aggregates are fine (no need to find a constexpr ctor explicitly),
    // * otherwise the standard allows "has at least one constexpr
non-copy/move ctor"
    //   or "lambda type". P2996R13 doesn't yet expose "is this constructor
constexpr?"
    //   nor "is this a lambda closure type?", so be conservative and only
accept aggregates.
    if (is_aggregate_type(type)) {

```

```

    return true;
}

// Without constructor-constexpr/lambda queries, we can't soundly accept
// non-aggregate classes here. Be conservative.
return false;
}

// Everything else (functions, etc.) - not literal.
return false;
}

constexpr bool is_literal_class_type(std::meta::info t,
std::meta::access_context ctx) {
    if (!(is_class_type(t) || is_union_type(t))) return false;

    return is_literal_type(t, ctx);
}
// namespace detail

constexpr bool proposed::is_structural_type(std::meta::info t0) {

    // Ok to use unchecked access context, per LEWG Jan '26 telecon.
    constexpr auto ctx = std::meta::access_context::unchecked();

    // 1) lvalue reference types are structural (top-level cv is ignored)
    if (is_lvalue_reference_type(t0)) {
        return true;
    }

    // Work with cv-stripped, de-aliased type for the rest
    std::meta::info t = detail::canonicalize(t0);

    // 2) scalar types are structural
    if (is_scalar_type(t)) {
        return true;
    }

    // 3) literal class/union with the "public & non-mutable &
    structural-or-array-of-structural" condition
    if (is_class_type(t) || is_union_type(t)) {

        if (!detail::is_literal_class_type(t, ctx)) {
            return false;
        }
    }
}

```

```

    }

    return detail::bases_ok(t) && detail::data_members_ok(t);
}

// Other kinds (function types, arrays as such, rvalue refs, etc.) are not
structural
return false;
}

struct S { public: int x; };
struct Bad1 { private: int x; };
struct Bad2 { public: mutable int x; };
struct A { public: int a[2][3]; };
struct B : public S {}; // OK: public base of structural type
struct C : private S {}; // not structural: private base

static_assert( proposed::is_structural_type(^int));
static_assert( proposed::is_structural_type(^int&));
static_assert(!proposed::is_structural_type(^int&&)); // rvalue ref is not
structural
static_assert( proposed::is_structural_type(^S));
static_assert(!proposed::is_structural_type(^Bad1));
static_assert(!proposed::is_structural_type(^Bad2));
static_assert( proposed::is_structural_type(^A));
static_assert( proposed::is_structural_type(^B));
static_assert(!proposed::is_structural_type(^C));

int main() {
}

```

[Godbolt link](#)

3.1.3 Observations from the sample implementation

- The implementation could be improved if metafunctions such as “is this a literal type”, “is this a lambda closure type” or “is this function constexpr” were available (*std::is_literal_type*<T> was removed in C++20).

- The proof of concept implementation ignores unsuitable inputs. If the input argument isn't a reflection of a type, reflection metafunctions are supposed to throw an exception, as per the current specification. However, NB Comment US 129-191 proposes returning *false* instead. If the NB comment were accepted, the implementation of the new reflection metafunction would change only for the case when the input argument is the reflection of a non-type. The core logic for the happy case, when the input arguments are reflections of types, remains unchanged.

4. Type traits or metafunctions?

[P2996](#) introduced several metafunctions (*constexpr* functions that operate on *meta::info*) in `<meta>` that mirror existing type traits, such as *is_const_type(info)*, *is_volatile_type(info)*, and *is_trivially_copyable_type(info)*. Type traits are typically implemented via templates (SFINAE) or compiler intrinsics, or a combination of both techniques. Metafunctions offer several benefits over traditional type traits when used to query the attributes of a type:

- Simple and fixed interface that accepts a single, light-weight *meta::info* type parameter.
- Avoid template instantiation and SFINAE-related memory bloat in most cases.
- Provide a [wide range of building blocks](#), as they can not only query types, but also values, and non-type entities like data members, functions, parameters, namespaces, etc. E.g., *std::meta::is_final(info type)* isn't limited to "final class types"; it can also ask if a member function is final. Such a query is not expressible with type traits via a single trait.
- Improved error handling by means of *meta::exception* that includes an error message, source and line number, thanks to [P3560](#).
- Access control checks while querying type information, thanks to [P3547](#). Type queries could return different answers depending on the program point from where they're evaluated.
- Improved maintainability as the code is easier to read vs. SFINAE-based template waterfall logic.
- Faster execution than a template-based implementation, though not as fast as using compiler intrinsics.

Given that we now have two similar facilities in the standard library for inspecting type attributes, how should programmers approach them? Should type traits and reflection metafunctions evolve independently? Should one be implemented in terms of the other? More research and discussion needs to be carried out, though our preliminary thinking is that type traits and metafunctions should implement the abstract library API specification independently. They are different paradigms for metaprogramming, with different side effects. Metafunctions should not use library trait templates in their implementation, as that may confuse a programmer who expects to avoid template instantiations and encounter a different set of error logs. Conversely, type traits should not use reflection under the covers as that may surprise programmers who expect to see and handle the side effects of template metaprogramming.

5. Proposed Library wording

Add the new metafunctions to `<meta>` in [\[meta.reflection\]](#), in the list of unary type-property queries.

```
None
21.4 Reflection [meta.reflection]

21.4.1 Header <meta> synopsis [meta.syn]
...

// associated with [meta.unary.prop], type properties
...
consteval bool is_consteval_only_type(info type);

+ consteval bool is_structural_type(info type);
  consteval bool is_signed_type(info type);
...

```

Add a new metafunction `is_structural_type` to 21.4.17 Reflection type traits [\[meta.reflection.traits\]](#)

```
None
21.4.17 Reflection type traits [meta.reflection.traits]
...
// associated with [meta.unary.prop], type properties
...
  consteval bool is_consteval_only_type(info type);
+ consteval bool is_structural_type(info type);
  consteval bool is_signed_type(info type);
...

```

Add `is_structural` type trait to [\[meta.type.synop\]](#)

```

C/C++
// [meta.unary.prop], type properties
template<class T> struct is_const;
....

template<class T> struct is_consteval_only;
+ template<class T> struct is_structural;
template<class T> struct is_signed;
...

```

Add *is_structural* type trait to [\[meta.unary.prop\]](#) table 51 following paragraph 5:

```

C/C++
template<class T>
constexpr bool is_const_v = is_const<T>::value;
...
template<class T>
constexpr bool is_consteval_only_v =
is_consteval_only<T>::value;
+ template<class T>
  constexpr bool is_structural_v = is_structural<T>::value;
template<class T>
constexpr bool is_signed_v = is_signed<T>::value;

```

Add *is_structural* type trait to the table in [\[meta.unary.prop\]](#)

Template	Condition	Preconditions
<pre>template<class T> struct is_consteval_only;</pre>	T is consteval-only ([basic.types.general])	remove_all_extents_t<T> shall be a complete type or cv void.
<pre>+ template<class T> + struct is_structural;</pre>	<pre>+ T is a structural type ([temp.param])</pre>	<pre>+ remove_all_extents_t<T> shall be a complete type or cv void.</pre>

<pre>template<class T> struct is_signed;</pre>	<p>If <code>is_arithmetic_v<T></code> is true, the same result as <code>T(-1) < T(0)</code>; otherwise, false</p>	
--	--	--

6. Feature-test Macros

We propose adding a new feature-test macro to 17.3.2 [[version.syn](#)] for the new type trait.

```
C/C++
#define __cpp_lib_is_scoped_enum                202011L //
freestanding, also in <type_traits>

+ #define __cpp_lib_is_structural              2026XXL //
  also in <meta> and <type_traits>

#define __cpp_lib_is_sufficiently_aligned      202411L //
freestanding, also in <memory>
```

7. Conclusion

Our experience in implementing new reflection metafunctions shows how reflection type queries can be implemented using other reflection metafunctions only (no intrinsics or SFINAE). It also shows the need for more “lower level” metafunctions not included in P2996, such as *is_constexpr(info)*, *is_literal_type(info)* and *is_lambda_closure_type(info)*. The approach of using reflection metafunctions to query type attributes appears promising, and likely to yield richer APIs than possible with prior techniques.

8. Acknowledgements

We thank Barry Revzin, Andrei Zissu and LEWG for their review of the paper.

9. References

[P2996R13] Barry Revzin, Wyatt Childers, Peter Dimov, Andrew Sutton, Faisal Vali, Daveed Vandevoorde, Dan Katz. 2025-01-13. Reflection for C++26.

<https://wg21.link/p2996r9>

[P3547R1] Dan Katz, Ville Voutilainen. 2025-02-09. Modeling Access Control With Reflection.

<https://wg21.link/p3547r1>

[P1061R10] Barry Revzin, Jonathan Wakely. 2024-11-24. Structured Bindings can introduce a Pack.

<https://wg21.link/p1061r10>

[P0144R2] Herb Sutter, Bjarne Stroustrup, Gabriel Dos Reis. 2016-03-16. Structured bindings.

<https://wg21.link/p0144r2>

[CppCon25Talk] Andrei Zissu. 2025-09. Reflection and Metaprogramming in Modern C++.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EK74rV1M7uc>

[N5028] 2025-10-2. SoV and Collated Comments - ISO_IEC CD 14882.

<https://wg21.link/N5028>