P3114R0 2024-02-02

SG21

akrzemi1@gmail.com

noexcept(contract_assert(_))

Andrzej Krzemieński

akrzemi1.wordpress.com

Contradictory goals:

- 1. No technical reason to use assert().
- 2. No technical reason to invent one's own assertion macro.
- **3.** Contract annotations are for defining predicates, not for dodging contracts design choices.
- 4. Adding contract annotation does not affect surrounding compiletime semantics.
- 5. I want throwing violation handlers, because I know how to deal with exceptions.

Contract annotations are for defining predicates, not for dodging contracts design choices.

desired:

contract_assert(p(x));

not desired:

contract_assert {evaluate_in_build_mode_X, noexcept} (p(x));

Adding contract annotation does not affect surrounding compiletime semantics.

template <int I>

Lib::X<I>::X(X&& r, int i = (contract_assert(I > 0), I)) noexcept;

std::is_nothrow_move_constructible<Lib::X<0>>

Not compiling controversial cases, reports the problem in the wrong place

library:

template <int I>
Lib::X<I>::X(X&& r, int i = f(I)) noexcept;

programmer:

std::vector<Lib::X<0>> vec; vec.push_back({}); // ok

Not compiling controversial cases, reports the problem in the wrong place

library:

template <int I>
Lib::X<I>::X(X&& r, int i = f((contract_assert(I > 0), I))) noexcept;

programmer:

std::vector<Lib::X<0>> vec; vec.push_back({}); // ERROR Use cases for throwing violation handlers:

- 1. Detect bugs, but "never crash".
- 2. Unit-testing defensive checks.
- **3.** Try recovering, but OK to crash.

People who disable exceptions do not use noexcept.

Try recovering, but OK to crash.

- Works well today because we use different assertions than our libraries:
 - Our assertions throw and we control them
 - Other assertions are disabled

"noexcept returns true, but we still throw"

- Not acceptable
- You get a throw, but it becomes different mechanism than normal exception handling
- Compromises expectation "use normal exceptions".
- Exception handling means using noexcept for the strong guarantee.

Proposal

- Either remove throwing violation handlers
- Or accept that they are throwing, and say that