

# std::optional<T&>

Steve Downey <sdowney@gmail.com>  
Peter Sommerlad <peter.cpp@sommerlad.ch>

Document #: P2988R1  
Date: 2024-01-01  
Project: Programming Language C++  
Audience: LEWG

## Abstract

We propose to fix a hole intentionally left in `std::optional` —

An optional over a reference such that the post condition on assignment is independent of the engaged state, always producing a rebound reference, and assigning a U to a T is disallowed by `static_assert` if a U can not be bound to a T&.

## Contents

<b>1 Comparison table</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Motivation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Design</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4 Shallow vs Deep const</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5 Proposal</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6 Wording</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>7 Impact on the standard</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>9</b>

## Changes Since Last Version

- **Changes since R0,**
  - Wording update

### 1 Comparison table

#### 1.1 Using a raw pointer result for an element search function

This is the convention the C++ core guidelines suggest, to use a raw pointer for representing optional non-owning references. However, there is a user-required check against ‘`nullptr`’, no type safety meaning no safety against mis-interpreting such a raw pointer, for example by using pointer arithmetic on it.

```
Cat* cat = find_cat("Fido");  
if (cat!=nullptr) { return doit(*cat); }  
std::optional<Cat&> cat = find_cat("Fido");  
return cat.and_then(doit);
```

## 1.2 returning result of an element search function via a (smart) pointer

The disadvantage here is that `std::experimental::observer_ptr<T>` is both non-standard and not well named, therefore this example uses `shared_ptr` that would have the advantage of avoiding dangling through potential lifetime extension. However, on the downside is still the explicit checks against the `nullptr` on the client side, failing so risks undefined behavior.

```
std::shared_ptr<Cat> cat = find_cat("Fido");    std::optional<Cat&> cat = find_cat("Fido");
if (cat != nullptr) { /* ... */}             cat.and_then([](Cat& thecat){ /* ... */}
```

## 1.3 returning result of an element search function via an iterator

This might be the obvious choice, for example, for associative containers, especially since their iterator stability guarantees. However, returning such an iterator will leak the underlying container type as well necessarily requires one to know the sentinel of the container to check for the not-found case.

```
std::map<std::string, Cat>::iterator cat      std::optional<Cat&> cat
    = find_cat("Fido");                       = find_cat("Fido");
if (cat != theunderlyingmap.end()){ /* ... */} cat.and_then([](Cat& thecat){ /* ... */}
```

## 1.4 Using an optional<T\*> as a substitute for optional<T&>

This approach adds another level of indirection and requires two checks to take a definite action.

```
//Mutable optional
std::optional<Cat*> c = find_cat("Fido");
if (c) {
    if (*c) {
        *c.value() = Cat("Fynn", color::orange);
    }
}

std::optional<Cat&> c = find_cat("Fido");
if (c) {
    *c = Cat("Fynn", color::orange);
}
//or

o.transform([](Cat& c){
    c = Cat("Fynn", color::orange);
});
```

## 2 Motivation

Other than the standard library's implementation of `optional`, optionals holding references are common. The desire for such a feature is well understood, and many optional types in commonly used libraries provide it, with the semantics proposed here. One standard library implementation already provides an implementation of `std::optional<T&>` but disables its use, because the standard forbids it.

The research in JeanHeyd Meneide's `_References for Standard Library Vocabulary Types - an optional case study.` [P1683R0] shows conclusively that rebind semantics are the only safe semantic as assign through on engaged is too bug-prone. Implementations that attempt assign-through are abandoned. The standard library should follow existing practice and supply an `optional<T&>` that rebinds on assignment.

Additional background reading on `optional<T&>` can be found in JeanHeyd Meneide's article `_To Bind and Loose a Reference_` [REFBIND].

In freestanding environments or for safety-critical libraries, an optional type over references is important to implement containers, that otherwise as the standard library either would cause undefined behavior when accessing a non-available element, throw an exception, or silently create the element. Returning a plain pointer for such an optional reference, as the core guidelines suggest, is a non-type-safe solution and doesn't protect in any way from accessing a non-existing element by a `nullptr` de-reference. In addition, the monadic APIs of `std::optional` makes is especially attractive by streamlining client code receiving such an optional reference, in contrast to a pointer that requires an explicit `nullptr` check and de-reference.

There is a principled reason not to provide a partial specialization over `T&` as the semantics are in some ways subtly different than the primary template. Assignment may have side-effects not present in the primary, which has pure value semantics. However, I argue this is misleading, as reference semantics often has side-effects. The proposed semantic is similar to what an `optional<std::reference_wrapper<T>>` provides, with much greater usability.

There are well motivated suggestions that perhaps instead of an `optional<T&>` there should be an `optional_ref<T>` that is an independent primary template. This proposal rejects that, because we need a policy over

all sum types as to how reference semantics should work, as optional is a variant over T and monostate. That the library sum type can not express the same range of types as the product type, tuple, is an increasing problem as we add more types logically equivalent to a variant. The template types optional and expected should behave as extensions of variant<T, monostate> and variant<T, E>, or we lose the ability to reason about generic types.

That we can't guarantee from std::tuple<Args...> (product type) that std::variant<Args...> (sum type) is valid, is a problem, and one that reflection can't solve. A language sum type could, but we need agreement on the semantics.

The semantics of a variant with a reference are as if it holds the address of the referent when referring to that referent. All other semantics are worse. Not being able to express a variant<T&> is inconsistent, hostile, and strictly worse than disallowing it.

Thus, we expect future papers to propose std::expected<T&,E> and std::variant with the ability to hold references. The latter can be used as an iteration type over std::tuple elements.

### 3 Design

The design is straightforward. The optional<T&> holds a pointer to the underlying object of type T, or nullptr if the optional is disengaged. The implementation is simple, especially with C++20 and up techniques, using concept constraints. As the held pointer is a primitive regular type with reference semantics, many operations can be defaulted and are noexcept by nature. See [Downey\_smd\_optional\_optional\_T] and [rawgithu58:online]. The optional<T&> implementation is less than 200 lines of code, much of it the monadic functions with identical textual implementations with different signatures and different overloads being called.

In place construction is not supported as it would just be a way of providing immediate life-time issues.

### 4 Shallow vs Deep const

There is some implementation divergence in optionals about deep const for optional<T&>. That is, can the referred to int be modified through a const optional<int&>. Does operator->() return an int\* or a const int\*, and does operator\*() return an int& or a const int&. I believe it is overall more defensible if the const is shallow as it would be for a struct ref int \* p; where the constness of the struct ref does not affect if the p pointer can be written through. This is consistent with the rebinding behavior being proposed.

Where deeper constness is desired, optional<const T&> would prevent non const access to the underlying object.

### 5 Proposal

Add a reference specialization for the std::optional template.

### 6 Wording

#### ❖.❖.1 Class template optional

[optional.optional]

##### ❖.❖.1.1 General

[optional.optional.general]

```
namespace std {
    template <class T>
    class optional<T&> {
    public:
        using value_type = T&;

        // ❖.❖.1.2, constructors
        constexpr optional() noexcept;
        constexpr optional(nullopt_t) noexcept;
        constexpr optional(const optional&);
```

```

constexpr optional(optional&&) noexcept(see below);
template<class U = T>
constexpr explicit(see below) optional(U&&);
template<class U>
constexpr explicit(see below) optional(const optional<U>&);
template<class U>
constexpr explicit(see below) optional(optional<U>&&);

// 1.3, destructor
constexpr ~optional();

// 1.4, assignment
constexpr optional& operator=(nullopt_t) noexcept;
constexpr optional& operator=(const optional&);
constexpr optional& operator=(optional&&) noexcept(see below);
template<class U = T> constexpr optional& operator=(U&&);
template<class U> constexpr optional& operator=(const optional<U>&);
template<class U> constexpr optional& operator=(optional<U>&&);
template<class... Args> constexpr T& emplace(Args&&...);
template<class U, class... Args> constexpr T& emplace(initializer_list<U>, Args&&...);

// 1.5, swap
constexpr void swap(optional&) noexcept(see below);

// 1.6, observers
constexpr const T* operator->() const noexcept;
constexpr T* operator->() noexcept;
constexpr const T& operator*() const & noexcept;
constexpr T& operator*() & noexcept;
constexpr T&& operator*() && noexcept;
constexpr const T&& operator*() const && noexcept;
constexpr explicit operator bool() const noexcept;
constexpr bool has_value() const noexcept;
constexpr const T& value() const &;
constexpr T& value() &;
constexpr T&& value() &&;
constexpr const T&& value() const &&;
template<class U> constexpr T value_or(U&&) const &;
template<class U> constexpr T value_or(U&&) &&;

// freestanding-deleted
// freestanding-deleted
// freestanding-deleted
// freestanding-deleted

// 1.7, monadic operations
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) &;
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) &&;
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) const &;
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) const &&;
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) &;
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) &&;
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) const &;
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) const &&;
template<class F> constexpr optional or_else(F&& f) &&;
template<class F> constexpr optional or_else(F&& f) const &;

// 1.8, modifiers
constexpr void reset() noexcept;

private:
    T *val;          // exposition only
};
}

```

<sup>1</sup> Any instance of `optional<T&>` at any given time either refers to a value or does not refer to a value. When an instance of `optional<T&>` *refers to a value*, it means that an object of type `T`, referred to as the optional object's

referred to value, is pointed to from the storage of the optional object. When an object of type `optional<T&>` is contextually converted to `bool`, the conversion returns `true` if the object refers to a value; otherwise the conversion returns `false`.

2 When an `optional<T&>` object refers to a value, member `val` points to the referred to object.

## ◆.◆.1.2 Constructors

[optional.ctor]

1 The exposition-only variable template `converts-from-any-cvref` is used by some constructors for `optional`.

```
template<class T, class W>
constexpr bool converts-from-any-cvref = //exposition only
disjunction_v<is_constructible<T, W&>, is_convertible<W&, T>,
is_constructible<T, W>, is_convertible<W, T>,
is_constructible<T, const W&>, is_convertible<const W&, T>,
is_constructible<T, const W>, is_convertible<const W, T>>;
```

```
constexpr optional() noexcept;
constexpr optional(nullopt_t) noexcept;
```

2 *Postconditions:* `*this` does not refer to a value.

3 *Remarks:* For every object type `T` these constructors are `constexpr` constructors (??).

```
constexpr optional(const optional& rhs) noexcept = default;
```

4 *Effects:* If `rhs` refers to a value, `direct-non-list-initializes` the referred to value with `rhs.val`.

5 *Postconditions:* `rhs.has_value() == this->has_value()`.

6 *Throws:* Nothing

```
constexpr optional(optional&& rhs) noexcept = default;
```

7 *Effects:* If `rhs` refers to a value, `direct-non-list-initializes` the referred to value with `rhs.val`. `rhs.has_value()` is unchanged.

8 *Postconditions:* `rhs.has_value() == this->has_value()`.

```
template<class U = T> constexpr explicit(see below) optional(U&& v);
```

9 *Constraints:*

(9.1) — `is_same_v<remove_cvref_t<U>, optional>` is false, and

(9.2) — if `T` is `cv bool`, `remove_cvref_t<U>` is not a specialization of `optional`.

10 *Mandates:*

(10.1) — `is_constructible_v<std::add_lvalue_reference_t<T>, U>` is true

(10.2) — `is_lvalue_reference<U>::value` is true

11 *Effects:* `Direct-non-list-initializes` the referred to value with `std::addressof(v)`.

12 *Postconditions:* `*this` refers to a value.

```
template<class U> constexpr explicit(see below) optional(const optional<U>& rhs);
```

13 *Constraints:*

(13.1) — `is_same_v<remove_cvref_t<U>, optional>` is false, and

(13.2) — if `T` is `cv bool`, `remove_cvref_t<U>` is not a specialization of `optional`.

14 *Mandates:*

(14.1) — `is_constructible_v<std::add_lvalue_reference_t<T>, U>` is true

(14.2) — `is_lvalue_reference<U>::value` is true

15 *Effects:* If rhs refers to a value, direct-non-list-initializes the referred to value with `addressof(rhs.value())`.  
16 *Postconditions:* `rhs.has_value() == this->has_value()`.

### ◆◆.1.3 Destructor

[optional.dtor]

```
constexpr ~optional() = default;
```

### ◆◆.1.4 Assignment

[optional.assign]

```
optional& operator=(nullopt_t) noexcept;
```

1 *Postconditions:* \*this does not contain a value.

2 *Returns:* \*this.

```
constexpr optional<T>& operator=(const optional& rhs) noexcept = default;
```

3 *Postconditions:* `rhs.has_value() == this->has_value()`.

4 *Returns:* \*this.

```
constexpr optional& operator=(optional&& rhs) noexcept = default;
```

5 *Postconditions:* `rhs.has_value() == this->has_value()`.

6 *Returns:* \*this.

```
template<class U = T> constexpr optional<T>& operator=(U&& v);
```

7 *Constraints:*

(7.1) — `is_same_v<remove_cvref_t<U>, optional>` is false, and

(7.2) — if T is `cv bool`, `remove_cvref_t<U>` is not a specialization of `optional`.

8 *Mandates:*

(8.1) — `is_constructible_v<std::add_lvalue_reference_t<T>, U>` is true

(8.2) — `is_lvalue_reference<U>::value` is true

9 *Effects:* Assigns the referred to value with `std::addressof(v)`.

10 *Postconditions:* \*this refers to a value.

```
template<class U> constexpr optional<T>& operator=(const optional<U>& rhs);
```

11 *Mandates:*

(11.1) — `is_constructible_v<std::add_lvalue_reference_t<T>, U>` is true

(11.2) — `is_lvalue_reference<U>::value` is true

12 *Postconditions:* `rhs.has_value() == this->has_value()`.

13 *Returns:* \*this.

```
template <class U = T> optional& emplace(U&& u) noexcept;
```

*Constraints:*

(13.1) — `is_same_v<remove_cvref_t<U>, optional>` is false, and

(13.2) — if T is `cv bool`, `remove_cvref_t<U>` is not a specialization of `optional`.

14 *Effects:* Assigns \*this `std::forward<U>(u)`

15 *Postconditions:* \*this refers to a value.

## ◆.◆.1.5 Swap

[optional.swap]

```
constexpr void swap(optional& rhs) noexcept;
```

1 *Effects:* \*this and \*rhs will refer to each others initial referred to objects.

## ◆.◆.1.6 Observers

[optional.observe]

```
constexpr T* operator->() const noexcept;
```

1 *Returns:* val.

2 *Remarks:* These functions are constexpr functions.

```
constexpr T& operator*() const& noexcept;  
constexpr T&& operator*() const&& noexcept;
```

3 *Preconditions:* \*this refers to a value.

4 *Returns:* \*val.

5 *Remarks:* These functions are constexpr functions.

```
constexpr explicit operator bool() const noexcept;
```

6 *Returns:* true if and only if \*this refers to a value.

7 *Remarks:* This function is a constexpr function.

```
constexpr bool has_value() const noexcept;
```

8 *Returns:* true if and only if \*this refers to a value.

9 *Remarks:* This function is a constexpr function.

```
constexpr const T& value() const &;  
constexpr T& value() &;
```

10 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
if (has_value())  
    return *value_;  
throw bad_optional_access();
```

```
constexpr T&& value() &&;  
constexpr const T&& value() const &&;
```

11 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
if (has_value())  
    return *value_;  
throw bad_optional_access();
```

```
template<class U> constexpr T value_or(U&& v) const &;
```

12 *Mandates:* is\_copy\_constructible\_v<T> && is\_convertible\_v<U&&, T> is true.

13 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
return has_value() ? value() : static_cast<T>(std::forward<U>(u));
```

```
template<class U> constexpr T value_or(U&& v) &&;
```

14 *Mandates:* `is_move_constructible_v<T> && is_convertible_v<U&&, T>` is true.

15 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
return has_value() ? value() : static_cast<T>(std::forward<U>(u));
```

### ◆◆.1.7 Monadic operations

[optional.monadic]

```
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) &;  
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) const &;
```

1 Let U be `invoke_result_t<F, T&>`.

2 *Mandates:* `remove_cvref_t<U>` is a specialization of `optional`.

3 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
return has_value() ? std::invoke(std::forward<F>(f), value()) : result(nullopt);
```

```
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) &&;  
template<class F> constexpr auto and_then(F&& f) const &&;
```

4 Let U be `invoke_result_t<F, T&>`.

5 *Mandates:* `remove_cvref_t<U>` is a specialization of `optional`.

6 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
return has_value() ? std::invoke(std::forward<F>(f), value()) : result(nullopt);
```

```
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) &;  
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) const &;
```

7 Let U be `remove_cv_t<invoke_result_t<F, T&>>`.

8 *Mandates:* U is a non-array object type other than `in_place_t` or `nullopt_t`. The declaration

```
U u(invoke(std::forward<F>(f), *val));
```

is well-formed for some invented variable u.

[Note 1: There is no requirement that U is movable (??). — end note]

9 *Returns:* If `*this` refers to a value, an `optional<U>` object whose referred to value is the result of `invoke(std::forward<F>(f), *val)`; otherwise, `optional<U>()`.

```
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) &&;  
template<class F> constexpr auto transform(F&& f) const &&;
```

10 Let U be `remove_cv_t<invoke_result_t<F, T&>>`.

11 *Mandates:* U is a non-array object type other than `in_place_t` or `nullopt_t`. The declaration

```
U u(invoke(std::forward<F>(f), std::move(*val)));
```

is well-formed for some invented variable u.

[Note 2: There is no requirement that U is movable (??). — end note]

12 *Returns:* If `*this` refers to a value, an `optional<U>` object whose referred to value is the result of `invoke(std::forward<F>(f), std::move(*val))`; otherwise, `optional<U>()`.

```
template<class F> constexpr optional or_else(F&& f) const &;
```

13 *Constraints:* F models `invocable<>` and



14 *Mandates:* `is_same_v<remove_cvref_t<invoke_result_t<F>>, optional>` is true.

15 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
    if (*this) {
        return *this;
    } else {
        return std::forward<F>(f)();
    }
```

```
template<class F> constexpr optional or_else(F&& f) &&;
```

16 *Constraints:* `F` models `invocable<>` and

17 *Mandates:* `is_same_v<remove_cvref_t<invoke_result_t<F>>, optional>` is true.

18 *Effects:* Equivalent to:

```
    if (*this) {
        return std::move(*this);
    } else {
        return std::forward<F>(f)();
    }
```

## ◆.◆.1.8 Modifiers

[optional.mod]

```
constexpr void reset() noexcept;
```

1 *Postconditions:* `*this` does not refer to a value.

## 7 Impact on the standard

A pure library extension, affecting no other parts of the library or language.

The proposed changes are relative to the current working draft [N4910].

## Document history

### — Changes since R0

— Wording Updates

## References

[Downey\_smd\_optional\_optional\_T] Stephen Downey. `optional<T>`. [https://github.com/steve-downey/optional\\_ref](https://github.com/steve-downey/optional_ref),

[N4910] Thomas Köppe. N4910: Working draft, standard for programming language c++. <https://wg21.link/n4910>, 3 2022.

[P1683R0] JeanHeyd Meneide. P1683R0: References for standard library vocabulary types - an optional case study. <https://wg21.link/p1683r0>, 2 2020.

[REFBIND] JeanHeyd Meneide. To bind and loose a reference | the pasture. <https://thephd.dev/to-bind-and-loose-a-reference-optional>. (Accessed on 01/01/2024).

[rawgithu58:online] Stephen Downey. [raw.githubusercontent.com/steve-downey/optional\\_ref/main/src/smd/optional/optional.h](https://raw.githubusercontent.com/steve-downey/optional_ref/main/src/smd/optional/optional.h). [https://raw.githubusercontent.com/steve-downey/optional\\_ref/main/src/smd/optional/optional.h](https://raw.githubusercontent.com/steve-downey/optional_ref/main/src/smd/optional/optional.h). (Accessed on 01/01/2024).