

Document number:	P0338R1
Date:	2016-10-12
Project:	ISO/IEC JTC1 SC22 WG21 Programming Language C++
Audience:	Library Evolution Working Group
Reply-to:	Vicente J. Botet Escribá < vicente.botet@nokia.com >

C++ generic factories

Abstract

This paper presents a proposal for a generic factory `make<TC>(args...)` that allows to make generic algorithms that need to create an instance of a wrapped class `TC` from the underlying types.

[P0091R3](#) extends template parameter deduction for functions to constructors of template classes. With this feature, it would seem clear that this proposal lost most of its added value but this is not completely the case.

Table of Contents

- [Introduction](#)
- [Motivation and scope](#)
- [Proposal](#)
- [Design rationale](#)
- [Proposed wording](#)
- [Implementability](#)
- [Open points](#)
- [Acknowledgements](#)
- [References](#)

History

- R1
 - Adapt to [P0091R3](#) wording
 - Minor fixes

Introduction

This paper presents a proposal for a family of generic factories `make<TC>(args...)` that create an instance of a wrapping class from a *type constructor* and his underlying types as well as emplace factories

`make<T>(args...)` that creates an instance of a wrapping class by `emplace` constructing the underlying type from the provided arguments.

[P0091R3](#) extends template parameter deduction for functions to constructors of template classes. With this feature, it would seem that this proposal has lost most of its added value but this is not the case.

Motivation and scope

Possible valued types

All these types, `shared_ptr<T>`, `unique_ptr<T,D>`, `optional<T>`, `expected<T,E>` (see [P0323R0](#)) and `future<T>` (see [P0319R0](#)), have in common that all of them have an underlying type `T`.

There are two kind of factories:

- type constructor with the underlying types as parameter
 - `back_inserter`
 - `make_optional`
 - `make_ready_future`
 - `make_expected`
- `emplace` construction of the underlying type given the constructor parameters
 - `make_shared`
 - `make_unique`
 - `make_ready_future` [P0319R0](#)

When writing an application, the user knows if the function to write should return a specific type, as `shared_ptr<T>`, `unique_ptr<T,D>`, `optional<T>`, `expected<T,E>` or `future<T>`. E.g. when the user knows that the function must return an owned smart pointer it would use `unique_ptr<T>`.

```
template <class T>
unique_ptr<T> f() {
    T a,
    ...
    return make_unique<T>(a);
}
```

Note that

```
return unique_ptr(a); // with [P0091R3]
```

will not compile as `unique_ptr<T>` constructor needs a `T*`.

If the user knows that the function must return a shared pointer

```

template <class T>
shared_ptr<T> f() {
    T a,
    ...
    return make_shared<T>(a);
}

```

Note that

```
return shared_ptr(a); // with [P0091R3]
```

will not compile as `shared_ptr<T>` constructor needs a `T*`.

However when writing a library, the author doesn't always know which type the user wants as a result. In these case the function library must take some kind of type constructor to let the user make the choice, such as a template.

```

template <template <class> class TC, class T>
TC<T> f() {
    T a,
    ...
    return make<TC>(a);
}

```

Another generic example: Suppose that `N` is a *Nullable* type if

`nullable::none<type_constructor_t<N>>()`, `nullable::has_value(pv)` and `nullable::value(pv)` are well formed. If in addition, we have that `make<type_constructor_t<N>>(c(nullable::value(pv)))` is well formed, we can define for these classes the `transform` function as follows.

```

template <class Callable, class N>
// requires Nullable<N>
auto transform f(Callable c, N pv)
-> decltype(make<type_constructor_t<N>>(c(nullable::value(pv))))
{
    if (nullable::has_value(pv))
        return make<type_constructor_t<N>>(c(nullable::value(pv)));
    else
        return nullable::none<type_constructor_t<N>>();
}

```

The *Nullable* types proposed in [P0196R2](#) satisfy almost these requirement. What is yet missing is the `nullable::value(pv)` requirement.

Product types

In addition, we have factories for the *product types* such as `pair` and `tuple`

- `make_pair`
- `make_tuple`

Comparison with `make_` factories

WITHOUT proposal	WITH proposal
<pre>int v=0; auto x1 = make_shared<int>(v); auto x2 = make_unique<int>(v); auto x3 = make_optional(v); auto x4v = make_ready_future(); auto x4 = make_ready_future(v); auto x5v = make_ready_future().share(); auto x5 = make_ready_future(v).share(); auto x6v = make_expected(); auto x6 = make_expected(v); auto x7 = make_pair(v, v); auto x8 = make_tuple(v, v, 1u); future<int&> x4r = make_ready_future(ref(v)); auto x1 = make_shared<A>(v, v); auto x2 = make_unique<A>(v, v); auto x3 = make_optional<A>(v,v); auto x4 = make_ready_future<A>(v,v); auto x5 = make_shared_future<A>(v, v); auto x6 = make_expected<A>(v, v);</pre>	<pre>int v=0; auto x1 = make<shared_ptr>(v); auto x2 = make<unique_ptr>(v); auto x3 = make<optional>(v); auto x4v = make<future>(); auto x4 = make<future>(v); auto x5v = make<shared_future>(); auto x5 = make<shared_future>(v); auto x6v = make<expected>(); auto x6 = make<expected>(v); auto x7 = make<pair>(v, v); auto x8 = make<tuple>(v, v, 1u); future<int&> x4r = make<future>(ref(v)); auto x1 = make<shared_ptr<A>>(v, v); auto x2 = make<unique_ptr<A>>(v, v); auto x3 = make<optional<A>>(v,v); auto x4 = make<future<A>>(v,v); auto x5 = make<shared_future<A>>(v, v); auto x6 = make<expected<A>>(v, v);</pre>

We can use the class template name as a type constructor

```

vector<int> vi1 = { 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8 };
vector<int> vi2;
copy_n(vi1, 3, maker<back_insert_iterator>(vi2));

int v=0;
auto x1 = make<shared_ptr>(v);
auto x2 = make<unique_ptr>(v);
auto x3 = make<optional>(v);
auto x4v = make<future>();
auto x4 = make<future>(v);
auto x5v = make<shared_future>();
auto x5 = make<shared_future>(v);
auto x6v = make<expected>();
auto x6 = make<expected>(v);
auto x7 = make<pair>(v, v);
auto x8 = make<tuple>(v, v, 1u);

```

or making use of `reference_wrapper` type deduction

```

int v=0;
future<int&> x4 = make<future>(std::ref(v));

```

or use the class name to build to support in place construction

```

auto x1 = make<shared_ptr<A>>(v, v);
auto x2 = make<unique_ptr<A>>(v, v);
auto x3 = make<optional<A>>(v, v);
auto x4 = make<future<A>>(v, v);
auto x5 = make<shared_future<A>>(v, v);
auto x6 = make<expected<A>>(v, v);

```

Note, with [P0091R3](#), the following is already possible

```

int v=0;
auto x3 = optional(v);
auto x7 = pair(v, v);
auto x8 = tuple(v, v, 1u);

```

We can also make use of the class name to avoid the type deduction

```

int i;
auto x1 = make<future<long>>(i);

```

Sometimes the user wants that the underlying type be deduced from the parameter, but the type constructor needs more information. A type holder `_t` can be used to mean any type `T`.

```

auto x2 = make<expected<_t, E>>(v);
auto x2 = make<unique_ptr<_t, MyDeleter>>(v);

```

Comparaison with P0091

WITH P0091	WITH proposal
<pre> int v=0; auto x3 = optional(v); auto x6 = expected(v); auto x7 = pair(v, v); auto x8 = tuple(v, v, 1u); </pre>	<pre> int v=0; auto x1 = make<shared_ptr>(v); auto x2 = make<unique_ptr>(v); auto x3 = make<optional>(v); auto x4v = make<future>(); auto x4 = make<future>(v); auto x5v = make<shared_future>(); auto x5 = make<shared_future>(v); auto x6v = make<expected>(); auto x6 = make<expected>(v); auto x7 = make<pair>(v, v); auto x8 = make<tuple>(v, v, 1u); future<int&> x4r = make<future>(ref(v)); auto x1 = make<shared_ptr<A>>(v, v); auto x2 = make<unique_ptr<A>>(v, v); auto x3 = make<optional<A>>(v,v); auto x4 = make<future<A>>(v,v); auto x5 = make<shared_future<A>>(v, v); auto x6 = make<expected<A>>(v, v); </pre>

Proposal

Type constructor factory

```

template <class TC>
meta::invoke<TC, int> safe_divide(int i, int j)
{
    if (j == 0)
        return {};
    else
        return make<TC>(i / j);
}

```

We can use this function with different type constructors as

```
auto x = safe_divide<optional<_t>>(1, 0);
```

How to define a class that wouldn't need customization?

For the `make` default constructor function, the class needs at least to have a default constructor

```
C();
```

For the `make` copy/move constructor function, the class needs at least to have a constructor from the underlying types.

```
C(Xs&&...);
```

How to customize an existing class

When the existing class doesn't provide the needed constructor as e.g. `future<T>`, the user needs specialize the `std::factory_traits<T>` class providing the needed overloads for `make`.

```

namespace experimental
{
    template <class T>
    struct factory_traits<future<T>> {

        template <class ...Xs>
        static //constexpr
        future<T> make(Xs&& ...xs)
        {
            return make_ready_future<T>(forward<Xs>(xs)...);
        }
    };
    template <>
    struct factory_traits<future<void>> {

        static //constexpr
        future<void> make()
        {
            return make_ready_future();
        }
    };
}

```

How to define a type constructor?

The make function is already useful with the `class` template parameter. However, we need in

The simple case is when the `class` has a single `template` parameter as is the case for `future<T>`.

```

`c++
namespace boost
{
    struct future_tc {
        template <class T>
        using invoke = future<T>;
    };
}

```

When the class has two parameters and the underlying type is the first template parameter, as it is the case for `expected`,


```

namespace boost
{
    template <class E>
    struct expected_tc<E> {
        template <class T>
        using invoke = expected<T, E>;
    };
}

```

If the second template depends on the first one as it is the case of `unique_ptr<T, D>`, the rebinding of the second parameter must be done explicitly.

```

namespace std {
    template <class D, class T>
    struct rebind;
    template <template <class...> class TC, class ...Ts, class ...Us>
    struct rebind<TC<Ts...>, Us...>> {
        using type = TC<Us...>;
    };
    template <class M, class ...Us>
    using rebind_t = typename rebind<M, Us...>::type;
}

struct default_delete_tc
{
    template<class T>
    using invoke = default_delete<T>;
};

template <class D>
struct unique_ptr_tc
{
    template<class T>
    using invoke = unique_ptr<T, detail::rebind_t<D, T>>;
};
}

```

Helper classes

Defining these type constructors is cumbersome. This task can be simplified with some helper classes. [P0343R0](#) presents these helper classes.

The previous type constructors could be rewritten using these helper classes as follows:

```

namespace std {
  template <>
    struct future<experimental::_t> : experimental::meta::quote<future> {};
}

```

```

namespace std {
namespace experimental {
  template <class E>
    struct expected<_t, E> : meta::bind_back<expected, E> {};
}}

```

```

namespace std {
  template <>
    struct default_delete<experimental::_t> : experimental::meta::quote<default_delete> {};

  template <class D>
    struct unique_ptr<experimental::_t, D>
    {
      template<class T>
        using invoke = unique_ptr<T, experimental::meta::rebind_t<D, T>>;
    };
}

```

Design rationale

Customization point

This proposal uses a trait to customize the behavior.

```

namespace std {
namespace experimental {
inline namespace fundamental_v3 {
  template <class T>
  struct make_traits_default
  {
    template <class ...Xs>
    constexpr auto make(Xs&& xs)
    {
      return T{forward<Xs>(xs)...};
    }
  };
}}

```

Alternatively, we could have used of overloading a `make_custom` function found by ADL having an additional

`type<T>` parameter.

```
template <class T, class ...Xs>
constexpr auto make(type<T>, Xs&& xs)
```

Why the factory has 3 flavors?

The first `make` factory uses default constructor to build a `C<void>`.

The second `make` factory uses conversion constructor from the underlying type(s).

The third `make` factory is used to be able to do emplace construction given the specific type.

`reference_wrapper<T>` overload to deduce `T&`

As it is the case for `make_pair` when the parameter is `reference_wrapper<T>`, the type deduced for the underlying type is `T&`.

Product types factories

This proposal takes into account also *product type* factories (as `std::pair` or `std::tuple`).

```
// make product factory overload: Deduce the resulting `Us`
template <template <class...> class TC, class ...Xs>
    TC<decay_unwrap_t<Xs>...> make(Xs&& ...xs);
// make product factory overload: Deduce the resulting `Us`
template <class TC, class ...Xs>
    invoke<TC, decay_unwrap_t<Xs>...> make(Xs&& ...xs);
```

```
auto x = make<pair>(1, 2u);
auto x = make<tuple>(1, 2u, string("a"));
```

High order factory

It is simple to define a high order `maker<TC>` factory of factories that can be used in standard algorithms.

For example

```
std::vector<X> xs;
std::vector<Something<X>> ys;
std::transform(xs.begin(), xs.end(), std::back_inserter(ys), maker<Something>{});
```

where

```

template <template <class> class T>
struct maker {
    template <typename ...X>
    constexpr auto
    operator()(X&& ...x) const
    {
        return make<T>(forward<X>(x)...);
    }
};

```

The main problem defining function objects is that we cannot have the same class with different template parameters. The previous `maker` class template has a template class parameter. We need an additional class that takes a type constructor or a type.

```

template <template <class> class Tmpl>
struct maker_tmpl {
    template <typename ...X>
    constexpr auto
    operator()(X&& ...x) const
    {
        return make<Tmpl>(forward<X>(x)...);
    }
};

template <class TC>
struct maker_tc {
    template <typename ...Args>
    constexpr auto
    operator()(Args&& ...args) const
    {
        return make<TC>(forward<Args>(args)...);
    }
};

template <class T>
struct maker_t
{
    template <class ...Args>
    constexpr auto
    operator()(Args&& ...args) const
    {
        return make<T>(std::forward<Args>(args)...);
    }
};

```

Now we can define a `maker` factory for high-order `make` functions as follows

```

template <class T>
// requires not is_type_constructor<T>{}
maker_t<T> maker() { return maker_t<T>{}; }

template <class TC>
// requires is_type_constructor<TC>()
maker_tc<TC> maker() { return maker_tc<TC>{}; }

template <template <class ...> class TC>
maker_tmpl<TC> maker() { return maker_tmpl<TC>{}; }

```

The previous example would be instead

```

std::vector<X> xs;
std::vector<Something<X>> ys;
std::transform(xs.begin(), xs.end(), std::back_inserter(ys), maker<Something>());

```

Note the use of `()` instead of `{}`

Impact on the standard

These changes are entirely based on library extensions and do not require any language features beyond what is available in C++14. There are however some classes in the standard that needs to be customized.

Proposed wording

The proposed changes are expressed as edits to [N4564](#) the Working Draft - C++ Extensions for Library Fundamentals V2.

The current wording make use of `decay_unwrap_t` as proposed in [P0318R0](#), but if this is not accepted the wording can be changed without too much troubles.

The current wording make use of some meta-programming utilities defined in [P0343R0](#).

General utilities library

----- Insert a new section. -----

X.Y Factories [functional.factorires]

X.Y.1 In General

X.Y.2 Header synopsis

```

namespace std

```

```

{
namespace experimental
{
inline namespace fundamental_v3
{

template <class T>
struct factory_traits_default;
template <class T>
struct factory_traits : factory_traits_default<T> {};

// make() overload
template <template <class ...> class M>
M<void> make();

// requires a type constructor
template <class TC>
meta::invoke<TC, void> make();

// make overload: requires a template class parameter, deduce the underlying type
template <template <class ...> class Tmpl, class ...Xs>
Tmpl<meta::decay_unwrap<Xs>...> make(Xs&& ...xs);

// make overload: requires a type constructor, deduce the underlying types
template <class TC, class ...Xs>
meta::invoke<TC, decay_unwrap<Xs>...> make(Xs&& ...xs);

// make overload: don't deduce the underlying types,
// don't deduce the underlying type from Xs
// requires M is not a type constructor
template <class M, class ...Xs>
M make(Xs&& ...xs);

template <class TC>
struct maker_tc;

template <template <class> class T>
struct maker_tmpl;

template <class T>
struct maker_t;

// requires a type constructor
template <class TC>
maker_tc<TC> maker();

// requires T is not a type constructor
template <class T>
maker_t<T> maker();

template <template <class ...> class TC>

```

```
maker_tmpl<TC> maker();
```

```
}  
}  
}
```

X.Y.3 Template function `make`

X.Y.4 template + void

```
template <template <class ...> class M>  
M<void> make();
```

Effects: Forwards to the customization point. As if

```
return make<type_constructor_t<meta::quote<M>>>>();
```

X.Y.5 template + deduced underlying type

```
template <template <class ...> class M, class ...Xs>  
M<decay_unwrap<Xs>...> make(Xs&& ...xs);
```

Effects: Forwards to the customization point. As if

```
return make<type_constructor_t<meta::quote<M>>>>(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...);
```

X.Y.6 type constructor + deduced underlying type

```
template <class TC, class ...Xs>  
meta::invoke<TC, decay_unwrap<Xs>...> make(Xs&& ...xs);
```

Effects: Forwards to the customization point. As if

```
return factory_traits<meta::invoke<TC, deduced_type_t<Xs>...>::make(std::forward<Xs>(x
```

Remark: This function shall not participate in overload resolution until

```
meta::is_callable<TC(deduced_type_t<Xs>...)>::value
```

X.Y.7 type + non deduced underlying type

```
template <class M, class ...Xs>
M make(Xs&& ...xs);
```

Effects: Forwards to the customization point. As if

```
return factory_traits<M>::make(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...);
```

Remark: This function shall not participate in overload resolution if

```
meta::is_callable<TC(deduced_type_t<Xs>...)>::value .
```

X.Y.8 Class template `factory_traits_default`

```
template <class T>
struct factory_traits_default
{
    template <class ...Xs>
    static constexpr
    auto make(Xs&& ...xs)
    -> decltype(T(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...))
    {
        return T(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...);
    }
};
```

Default customization point for classes defining the constructor.

Returns: A `T` constructed using the constructor `T(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...) .`

Throws: Any exception thrown by the constructor.

Remark: `factory_traits_default<T>::make` function shall not participate in overload resolution until `T(std::forward<Xs>(xs)...) .` is well formed.

Example of customizations

Next follows some examples of customizations that could be included in the standard

optional

Nothing to do other than saying that the `make` overloads are included in .

expected

Nothing to do other than saying that the `make` overloads are included in .

future / shared_future

This customization depends on [P0319R0](#). This means that it will be difficult to add it until [P0319R0](#) or this proposal is in the IS. Otherwise we will introduce dependencies between two TS.

```
namespace std {
namespace experimental {
    template <class T>
        struct factory_traits<future<T>>
        {
            template <class ...Xs>
                static
                future<T> make(Xs&& ...xs)
            {
                return make_ready_future<T>(forward<Xs>(xs)...);
            }
        };
    template <>
        struct factory_traits<future<void>>
        {
            static
                future<void> make()
            {
                return make_ready_future();
            }
        };
    template <class T>
        struct factory_traits<shared_future<T>>
        {
            template <class ...Xs>
                static
                shared_future<T> make(Xs&& ...xs)
            {
                return make_ready_future<DX>(forward<Xs>(xs)...).share();
            }
        };
    template <>
        struct factory_traits<shared_future<void>>
        {
            static //constexpr
                shared_future<void> make()
            {
                return make_ready_future().share();
            }
        };
};
};
}
```

unique_ptr

Say that the `make` overloads are included in `<experimental/memory>`.

```
namespace std {
namespace experimental {
    template <class T, class D>
        struct factory_traits<unique_ptr<T, D>>
        {
            template <class ...Xs>
            static
            unique_ptr<T, D> make(Xs&& ...xs)
            {
                return make_unique<T>(forward<Xs>(xs)...);
            }
        };
};
```

shared_ptr

```
namespace std {
namespace experimental {
    template <class T>
        struct factory_traits<shared_ptr<T>>
        {
            template <class ...Xs>
            static
            shared_ptr<T> make(Xs&& ...xs)
            {
                return make_shared<T>(forward<Xs>(xs)...);
            }
        };
};
```

pair

Nothing to do other than saying that the `make` overloads are included in `<experimental/utility>`.

tuple

Nothing to do other than saying that the `make` overloads are included in `<experimental/tuple>`.

Implementability

There is a partial implementation at

<https://github.com/viboes/std-make/include/experimental/make.hpp>.

Open points

The authors would like to have an answer to the following points if there is at all an interest in this proposal:

- Is there an interest on the `make` factories?
- Should the customization be done with overloading or with traits?

The current proposal uses traits. The alternative is to use overloading.

- If overloading is preferred, should the customization function names be suffixed e.g. with `_custom` ?
- Should the high-order function factory `maker` be part of the proposal?
- Should the resulting *Callable* from the high-order function factory `maker` be implementation defined as it is the result of `std::bind` ?
- Should the function factories `make` be high-order function objects?

[N4381](#) proposes to use function objects as customized points, so that ADL is not involved.

This has the advantages to solve the function and the high order function at once.

The same technique is used a lot in other functional libraries as [Range-V3](#), [Fit](#) and [Pure](#).

The authors don't know how to manage with a single function object for the 3 kind of interfaces. And so there will be 3 function objects that should be named. The authors believe that the proposed high-order function factory `maker` is more appropriated.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Agustín K-ballo Bergé from which I learn the trick to implement the different overloads. Scott Payer helped me to identify a minimal proposal, making optional the helper classes and of course the addition high order functional factory and the missing `reference_wrapper` overload.

Thanks to Mike Spertus for its [P0091R3](#) proposal that help to avoid the factories in some cases.

Special thanks and recognition goes to Technical Center of Nokia - Lannion for supporting in part the production of this proposal.

References

- [N4381](#) - Suggested Design for Customization Points

<http://open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2015/n4381.html>

- [N4564](#) N4564 - Working Draft, C++ Extensions for Library Fundamentals, Version 2 PDTS

<http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2015/n4564.pdf>

- [P0091R3](#) - Template parameter deduction for constructors (Rev. 6)

<http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2015/p0091r3.html>

- [P0196R2](#) - Generic `none()` factories for *Nullable* types

<http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2016/p0196r2.pdf>

- [P0318R0](#) `decay_unwrap` and `unwrap_reference`

<http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2016/p0318r0.pdf>

- [P0319R0](#) - Adding Emplace functions for `promise<T>/future<T>`

<http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2016/p0319r0.pdf>

- [P0323R0](#) - A proposal to add a utility class to represent expected monad (Revision 2)

<http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2016/p0323r0.pdf>

- [P0343R0](#) - Meta-programming High-Order functions

<http://www.open-std.org/JTC1/SC22/WG21/docs/papers/2016/p0343r0.pdf>

- [Range-V3](#)

<https://github.com/ericniebler/range-v3>

- [Meta](#)

<https://github.com/ericniebler/meta>

- [Boost.Hana](#)

<https://github.com/ldionne/hana>

- [Pure](#)

<https://github.com/splinterofchaos/Pure>

- [Fit](#)

<https://github.com/pfultz2/Fit>