ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22/WG 23 N 0306

REVISED Result of Voting on SC 22 N 4575 - Information technology - Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces - Software code signing

Date	15 February 2011
Contributed by	Secretary
Original file name	ISO-IECJTC1-SC22_N4592_REVISED_Result_of_Voting_on_SC_22_N_4.pdf
Notes	Distribution of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22 N 4592

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22
Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces
Secretariat: ANSI

Document type: Summary of Voting/Table of Replies

Title: REVISED Result of Voting on SC 22 N 4575 - Information technology - Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces - Software code signing

Status: This new work item has not been approved as it did not obtain the required number of 5 National Bodies agreeing to participate.

Date of document: 2010-12-30

Source: SC 22 Secretary

Expected action: INFO

No. of pages: 7

Email of secretary: mpeacock@ansi.org

Committee URL: http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/open/jtc1sc22

Result of voting

Ballot Information

Ballot reference	SC 22 N 4575
Ballot type	NWIP
	Information Technology - Programming languages, their environments and system software interfaces - Software Code Signing
Opening date	2010-09-23
Closing date	
Note	Please submit all ballots via the Balloting Portal

Member responses:

Votes cast (18)	Austria (ASI)
	Canada (SCC)
	China (SAC)
	Denmark (DS)
	Finland (SFS)
	France (AFNOR)
	Germany (DIN)
	Italy (UNI)
	Japan (JISC)
	Korea, Republic of (KATS)
	Netherlands (NEN)
	Romania (ASRO)
	Russian Federation (GOST R)
	Spain (AENOR)
	Switzerland (SNV)
	Ukraine (DSSU)
	United Kingdom (BSI)
	USA (ANSI)
Comments submitted (1)	Portugal (IPQ)
	Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST)

Questions:

Q.1	"Do you accept the proposal in the attached NWI Proposal document as a sufficient definition of the new work item?"
Q.2	"Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?"
Q.3	"Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?"
Q.4	"Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and

	maintenance of this project?"		
Q.5			
Q.6			
Q.7			

Votes by members	Q.1	Q.2	Q.3	Q.4	Q.5	Q.6	Q.
Austria (ASI)	Yes	Yes	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	Abstain	De
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	De
China (SAC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	De
Denmark (DS)							
Finland (SFS)							
France (AFNOR)							
Germany (DIN)							
Italy (UNI)							
Japan (JISC)							
Korea, Republic of (KATS)							
Netherlands (NEN)							
Romania (ASRO)							
Russian Federation (GOST R)							
Spain (AENOR)							
Switzerland (SNV)							
Ukraine (DSSU)							
United Kingdom (BSI)							
USA (ANSI)							

Answers to Q.1: "Do you accept the proposal in the attached NWI Proposal document as a sufficient definition of the new work item?"		
10 x	Yes	Austria (ASI) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Romania (ASRO) Russian Federation (GOST R) Ukraine (DSSU) USA (ANSI)
1 x	No	Japan (JISC)
7 x	Abstain	Denmark (DS)

		Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI)

Answers to Q.2: "Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?"		
9 x	**Yes**	**Austria (ASI)** **Canada (SCC)** **China (SAC)** **Italy (UNI)** **Korea, Republic of (KATS)** **Netherlands (NEN)** **Romania (ASRO)** **Russian Federation (GOST R)** **USA (ANSI)**
1 x	**No**	**Japan (JISC)**
8 x	**Abstain**	**Denmark (DS)** **Finland (SFS)** **France (AFNOR)** **Germany (DIN)** **Spain (AENOR)** **Switzerland (SNV)** **Ukraine (DSSU)** **United Kingdom (BSI)**

Answers to Q.3: "Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?"		
4 x	**Yes**	**Canada (SCC)** **China (SAC)** **Italy (UNI)** **USA (ANSI)**
6 x	**No**	**Finland (SFS)** **France (AFNOR)** **Japan (JISC)** **Korea, Republic of (KATS)** **Netherlands (NEN)** **Romania (ASRO)**
8 x	**Abstain**	**Austria (ASI)** **Denmark (DS)** **Germany (DIN)** **Russian Federation (GOST R)** **Spain (AENOR)** **Switzerland (SNV)** **Ukraine (DSSU)** **United Kingdom (BSI)**

Answers to Q.4: "Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and maintenance of this project?"

1 x	Yes	USA (ANSI)
10 x	No	Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Romania (ASRO) Russian Federation (GOST R)
7 x	Abstain	Austria (ASI) Denmark (DS) Germany (DIN) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) Ukraine (DSSU) United Kingdom (BSI)

Answers to Q.5: "Do you have a major contribution or a reference document ready for submittal?"

0 x	Yes	
11 x	No	Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Romania (ASRO) Russian Federation (GOST R) USA (ANSI)
7 x	Abstain	Austria (ASI) Denmark (DS) Germany (DIN) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) Ukraine (DSSU) United Kingdom (BSI)

Answers to Q.6: "Will you have such a contribution in ninety days?"

0 x	Yes	
11 x	No	Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Romania (ASRO) Russian Federation (GOST R)

		USA (ANSI)
7 x	**Abstain**	Austria (ASI)
		Denmark (DS)
		Germany (DIN)
		Spain (AENOR)
		Switzerland (SNV)
		Ukraine (DSSU)
		United Kingdom (BSI)

Answers to Q.7: "Which standard development track is proposed?"		
18 x	**Default Timeframe**	Austria (ASI)
		Canada (SCC)
		China (SAC)
		Denmark (DS)
		Finland (SFS)
		France (AFNOR)
		Germany (DIN)
		Italy (UNI)
		Japan (JISC)
		Korea, Republic of (KATS)
		Netherlands (NEN)
		Romania (ASRO)
		Russian Federation (GOST R)
		Spain (AENOR)
		Switzerland (SNV)
		Ukraine (DSSU)
		United Kingdom (BSI)
		USA (ANSI)
0 x	**Accelerated Timeframe**	
0 x	**Extended Timeframe**	

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Italy (UNI)	*Comment*	
	tullio.vardanega@math.unipd.it	
(JISC)		2010-12-21 13:24:37
Since there is no working draft attached to the proposal, the proposal does not comply with the clause 2.3.4 of ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1, which says that the originator of the new work item proposal shall make every effort to provide a first working draft for discussion, or shall at least provide an outline of such a working draft. We cannot judge it gives the sufficient definition of the new work item. For example, the following questions should be answered. - What kind of technology is applied to the issue? - What is to be standardized? encryption method? protocol in software market? - Can the technology be applied to any programming language without changing the language per se? - Does the technology assume a general and conceptual infrastructure or a specific one available now?		
(ANSI)		2010-12-09

		20:44:33
John, Benito		

Member:	Comment:	Date:
		2010-12-17 18:01:44
No comments		