

Only `[[assume]]` conditional-expressions

Document No. **P2507 R0**
Reply To Peter Brett pbrett@cadence.com

Date 2021-12-15
Audience: EWG

Introduction

It is currently proposed in P1774R5 “Portable assumptions” that the `[[assume(...)]]` attribute should accept an *assignment-expression* [1]. However, there is no evidence that it is useful to assume any expression that is not a *conditional-expression*.

Design

Currently, P1774R5 requires the argument of the `assume` attribute to be an *assignment-expression* contextually-convertible to `bool`. An *assignment-expression* can be:

- *yield-expression*: an `assume` attribute is not a function suspension context
- *throw-expression*: never contextually convertible to `bool`
- *conditional-expression*
- *logical-or-expression assignment-operator initializer-clause*

The motivation and design for the `assume` attribute does not include any examples of assuming a *logical-or-expression assignment-operator initializer-clause* sequence, even when discussing examples of side-effect corner cases that need to be avoided.

Every motivating use-case that the author is aware of, both in P1774R5 and elsewhere, assumes a *conditional-expression*. This, along with the contextual conversion to `bool`, strongly suggests that *conditional-expression* is the best model of “things that can be assumed.”

Note that:

1. Related compiler intrinsics such as MSVC/icc’s `__assume()` or clang’s `__builtin_assume()` accept an *assignment-expression*.
2. The `if` and `while` statements each accept an *expression* in their *condition*.

By changing `[[assume(...)]]` from *assignment-expression* to *conditional-expression*, we can:

- ensure that typos like `[[assume(x = 42)]]` are not silently accepted by conforming implementations
- continue to permit `[[assume((x = 42))]]` as an escape hatch (*primary-expression*)
- leave open the door to expanding the range of accepted expressions in the future

If we do not narrow the grammar before `[[assume(...)]]` appears in the IS, then it will not be possible to do so in the future.

Proposed wording

Editing notes

All wording is relative to P1774R5 [1].

Assumption attribute [dcl.attr.assume]

Update ¶1:

The *attribute-token* `assume` may be applied to a null statement; such a statement is an assumption. An *attribute-argument-clause* shall be present and shall have the form:

(`assignmentconditional`-expression)

Constant expressions [expr.const]

Update ¶5:

If E satisfies the constraints of a core constant expression, but evaluation of E would evaluate an operation that has undefined behavior as specified in [library] through [thread] of this document, a statement with an assumption ([dcl.attr.assume]) whose converted `assignmentconditional`-expression would not evaluate to true, or an invocation of the `va_start` macro ([cstdarg.syn]), it is unspecified whether e is a core constant expression.

Update ¶6:

For the purposes of determining whether an expression E is a core constant expression, the evaluation of a call to a member function of `std::allocator<T>` as defined in [allocator.members], where T is a literal type, does not disqualify E from being a core constant expression, even if the actual evaluation of such a call would otherwise fail the requirements for a core constant expression. Similarly, the evaluation of a call to `std::construct_at` or `std::ranges::construct_at` does not disqualify E from being a core constant expression unless the first argument, of type T^* , does not point to storage allocated with `std::allocator<T>` or to an object whose lifetime began within the evaluation of E , or the evaluation of the underlying constructor call disqualifies E from being a core constant expression. Further, a statement with an assumption ([dcl.attr.assume]) whose converted `assignmentconditional`-expression is itself not a core constant expression does not disqualify E from being a core constant expression.

References

- [1] T. Doumler, “D1774R5 Portable Assumptions,” 15 Dec 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2021/p1774r4.pdf>.