Issues with current flat_map proposal

Document $#:$	P1727R0
Date:	2019-05-20
Project:	Programming Language C++
	Library Working Group
Reply-to:	Denis Yaroshevskiy
	<denis.yaroshevskij@gmail.com></denis.yaroshevskij@gmail.com>

Contents

1	Revision history	1
2	Introduction	1
3	Listing of examples of how current design is problematic	2
4	Lack of reference implementations	2
5	Lack of zip_view limits the usage of flat_map	2
6	Performance implications of two containers on sort	2
7	How does this relate to std::ref/std::string_view/std::span?	3
8	How this relates to "Proxy Iterators for the Ranges Extensions" [D0022]	3
9	Proposed actions	3
10	References	3

1 Revision history

Revision 0 Original revision of the paper for 2019 Cologne meeting.

2 Introduction

[P0429] introduces flat_map into the standard as a container adaptor based on two containers which requires that reference type to be a proxy object. This has been attempted on multiple occasions for other use-cases such as an infamous vector<bool> [VECTOR_BOOL], multi-span (decided against it) [P0009], standard audio proposal [P1386] (also decided against it following multi-span) and others. From the last revision of the ranges proposal [P1035R4] the standard committee removed zip_view - a general purpose view to iterate over multiple containers - which is a more general solution to what flat_map needs to do for iteration. The goal of this paper is to object to inclusion of flat_map with a knowingly flawed design into the standard at least until zip_view [P1035R4], because zip_view would be a decision point: how should proxy references be implemented and what is an acceptable level of complexity for them.

3 Listing of examples of how current design is problematic

In the flat_map paper reference type is defined as pair<const key_type&, value_type&>. This breaks many expectations and typical patterns for writing C++ code. (In all of the examples decltype(it) is flat_map::iterator).

```
//-----
auto x = std::move(*it); // `x` is moved from `*it`. Unless it's a `flat_map` where
                        // this creates a reference. Copy does not work either.
//-----
auto& x = *it; // Create a mutable reference to the value pointed by it.
              // Except for flat_map where this does not compile.
//-----
[x = *it] { do_smth(x); } // Capture an element by value. Unless flat_map,
                        // in which case capture is by reference.
//-----
auto foo() {
                    // This does not dangle unless used with flat_map's iterators.
  // ...
  return *it;
}
//-----
template <typename T>
                      // bar does not modify input parameters.
void bar(T mine) {
 sink(std::move(mine)); // Unless called with a flat map reference.
}
```

4 Lack of reference implementations

There is no production (or even a complete reference) implementation for flat_map that is based on two containers that the author could find. All popular open source implementations (boost, folly, eastl, chromium) use a single container. The only library that we are aware of that could provide a similar experience to using two containers flat map is zip utility from ranges-v3. The proposal to add zip_view [P1035R4] (a version of that utility) was not yet accepted for standardization.

5 Lack of zip_view limits the usage of flat_map

- erase_remove_if idiom is not implementable since there is no zip_view in the standard. Also current version does not have mutating access to keys/values which also disallows this.
- One of the most typical use-cases for flat_map is building a buffer and then converting it into a map. Without standard zip_view we cannot use algorithms to populate such buffers.

These are very important use-cases and current proposal does not address them.

6 Performance implications of two containers on sort

The goal of separating keys and values into two arrays is to increase the lookup speed by packing keys together in the cache. However, this might have detrimental consequences for sort. Sort is absolutely crucial for flat containers - for example most of the time spent in Chromium on every key-stroke is flat_set construction [CHROMIUM_EXAMPLE].

However, at least with ranges::zip (which is the only known example of two-container sort), it brings a significant overhead [QUICKBENCH_SORT]. At this point the author does not know whether this is due to the quality of an implementation, benchmarking artifact or a fundamental problem.

7 How does this relate to std::ref/std::string_view/std::span?

In C++ standard there are a few "reference-like" types that are widely and successfully used in production. This paper is not against such types it is just against using them as **iterator**::reference. All of the language constructs (such as auto/argument deduction etc) as well as library components (such as algorithms) are expected to work with them as Semiregular types and to not perform any conversions. Examples:

- It would be unexpected that captured by value string_view was converted into std::string
- If the user has a function that returns a std::span and result of that would be stored into a local variable with a deduced type that variable should be std::span type and not std::vector.

On the contrary these examples not what we expect from iterator::reference types that we have now (see listing). If the standard was to inroduce iterator::reference that were to behave differently - all of the examples in the listing should be reworked in some different way.

The problem is not a "reference-like" type but an iterator that tries to use it as a reference.

8 How this relates to "Proxy Iterators for the Ranges Extensions" [D0022]

Eric Niebler in [D0022] solved a very important part of the proxy-iterator problem: how proxy-iterators fit into iterator concept and how to design algorithms for them. However that paper does not address type deduction which is also a big part of the problem.

9 Proposed actions

This paper suggests to postpone flat_map at least until the standardization of zip_view . If with zip_view the standard committee decides that proxy references are an acceptable practice in C++ than flat_map can use them too and the C++ community will have to learn to be extremely cautious in a much bigger number of use-cases than now. But this should be a considered decision and not something done on the back of flat_map. Ideally would be to find a better solution for proxy references that is intuitive and unintrusive. And then use that for flat_map.

10 References

[CHROMIUM EXAMPLE] Example where sort is important in Chromium.

https://cs.chromium.org/chromium/src/components/omnibox/browser/url_index_private_data.cc?l =657&rcl=7bfecf258f220ea375ffd376608d6ec71ca9d8ce

- [D0022] Eric Niebler. Proxy Iterators for the Ranges Extensions. https://ericniebler.github.io/std/wg21/D0022.html
- [P0009] 2019. Polymorphic Multidimensional Array Reference. https://wg21.link/p0009

- [P0429] Zach Laine. 2019. A Standard flat_map. https://wg21.link/p0429
- [P1035R4] Input range adaptors (revision 4). https://wg21.link/p1035r4
- [P1386] 2019. A Standard Audio API for C++: Motivation, Scope, and Basic Design. https://wg21.link/p1386
- [QUICKBENCH_SORT] Measuring sort of two containers vs one container. http://quick-bench.com/V7zQvXo5R13DVMvmuRzj9GtoEMM
- [VECTOR_BOOL] Howard Hinnant. 2012. On vector<bool>. https://howardhinnant.github.io/onvectorbool.html