

Doc. No.: J16/97-0090R1= WG21/N1128R1
Date: November 14, 1997
Project: Programming Language C++
Reply to: Bill Gibbons <bill@gibbons.org>
Greg Colvin <greg@imrgold.com>

Fixing `auto_ptr`.

The `auto_ptr` specified in CD-2 has proved unpopular and dangerous, primarily because the `const` arguments to its copy operations make it easy to inadvertently damage an `auto_ptr` via a `const` reference, and because the non-owning pointer left behind by a copy is an open invitation to dangling references. The `auto_ptr&` arguments to the copy constructor and assignment operator were not `const` in the CD-1 `auto_ptr`, but were made `const` to allow `auto_ptr` values to be passed to and returned from functions. The C++ language now allows a more effective solution.

We propose to restore the CD-1 `auto_ptr` semantics by:

- removing `const` from the arguments to all copy operations and from the `release()` function;
 - restoring the pointer-zeroing effect of `release()`;
 - restoring the `reset()` function; and
 - adding conversion functions and a private auxiliary class to allow `auto_ptr` rvalues to convert to lvalues.
- Draft text to replace 20.4.5 follows.

20.4.5 Template class `auto_ptr`

- 1 Template `auto_ptr` holds a pointer to an object obtained via `new` and deletes that object when it itself is destroyed (such as when leaving block scope 6.7).
- 2 Template `auto_ptr_ref` holds a reference to an `auto_ptr`. It is used by the `auto_ptr` conversions to allow `auto_ptr` objects to be passed to and returned from functions.

```
namespace std {
    template<class X> class auto_ptr {
        template<class Y> struct auto_ptr_ref {};
    public:
        typedef X element_type;

        // 20.4.5.1 construct/copy/destroy:
        explicit auto_ptr(X* p=0) throw();
        auto_ptr(auto_ptr&) throw();
        template<class Y> auto_ptr(auto_ptr<Y>&) throw();
        auto_ptr& operator=(auto_ptr&) throw();
        template<class Y> auto_ptr& operator=(auto_ptr<Y>&) throw();
        ~auto_ptr() throw();

        // 20.4.5.2 members:
        X& operator*() const throw();
        X* operator->() const throw();
        X* get() const throw();
        X* release() throw();
        void reset(X* p=0) throw();

        // 20.4.5.3 conversions:
        auto_ptr(auto_ptr_ref<X>) throw();
        template<class Y> operator auto_ptr_ref <Y>() throw();
        template<class Y> operator auto_ptr<Y>() throw();
    };
}
```

- 3 The `auto_ptr` provides a semantics of strict ownership. An `auto_ptr` owns the object it holds a pointer to. Copying an `auto_ptr` copies the pointer and transfers ownership to the destination. If more than one `auto_ptr` owns the same object at the same time the behavior of the program is undefined.

20.4.5.1 auto_ptr constructors

```
explicit auto_ptr(X* p =0) throw();
```

1 **Postconditions:** *this holds the pointer p.

```
auto_ptr(auto_ptr& a) throw();
```

2 **Effects:** Calls a.release().

3 **Postconditions:** *this holds the pointer returned from a.release().

```
template<class Y> auto_ptr(auto_ptr<Y>& a) throw();
```

4 **Requires:** Y* can be implicitly converted to X*.

5 **Effects:** Calls a.release().

6 **Postconditions:** *this holds the pointer returned from a.release().

```
auto_ptr& operator=(auto_ptr& a) throw();
```

7 **Requires:** The expression delete get() is well formed.

8 **Effects:** reset(a.release()).

9 **Returns:** *this.

```
template<class Y> auto_ptr& operator=(auto_ptr<Y>& a) throw();
```

10 **Requires:** Y* can be implicitly converted to X*. The expression delete get() is well formed.

11 **Effects:** reset(a.release()).

12 **Returns:** *this.

```
~auto_ptr() throw();
```

13 **Requires:** The expression delete get() is well formed.

14 **Effects:** delete get().

20.4.5.2 auto_ptr members

```
X& operator*() const throw();
```

1 **Requires:** get() != 0

2 **Returns:** *get()

```
X* operator->() const throw();
```

3 **Requires:** get() != 0

4 **Returns:** get()

```
X* get() const throw();
```

5 **Returns:** The pointer *this holds.

```
X* release() throw();
```

6 **Returns:** get()

7 **Postconditions:** *this holds the null pointer.

```
void reset(X* p=0) throw();
```

8 **Effects:** If get() != p then delete get().

9 **Postconditions:** *this holds the pointer p.

20.4.5.3 auto_ptr conversions

```
auto_ptr(auto_ptr_ref<X> r) throw();
```

1 **Effects:** Calls p->release() for the auto_ptr p that r holds.

2 **Postconditions:** *this holds the pointer returned from release().

```
template<class Y> operator auto_ptr_ref <Y>() throw();
```

3 **Returns:** An auto_ptr_ref<Y> that holds *this.

```
template<class Y> operator auto_ptr<Y>() throw();
```

4 **Effects:** Calls release().

5 **Returns:** An auto_ptr<Y> that holds the pointer returned from release().

Analysis of Conversion operations

There are four cases to consider: direct-initialization and copy-initialization (8.5/14) for both same-type initialization and base-from-derived initialization.

(1) Direct-initialization, same type, e.g.

```
auto_ptr<int> source();  
auto_ptr<int> p( source() );
```

This is considered a direct call to a constructor of *auto_ptr<int>*, using overload resolution. There is only one viable constructor:

```
auto_ptr<int>::auto_ptr(auto_ptr_ref<int>)
```

which is callable using the conversion

```
auto_ptr<int>::operator auto_ptr_ref<int>()
```

which should be selected when operator overloading tries to convert type *auto_ptr<int>* to *auto_ptr_ref<int>*.

Overload resolution succeeds. No additional copying is allowed, so the copy constructor need not be callable.

(2) Copy-initialization, same type, e.g.

```
auto_ptr<int> source();  
void sink( auto_ptr<int> );  
  
main() {  
    sink( source() );  
}
```

According to 8.5/14:

If the initialization is direct-initialization, or if it is copy-initialization where the cv-unqualified version of the source type is the same class as, or a derived class of, the class of the destination, constructors are considered...

So this case is handled the same as the direct-initialization case.

(3) Direct-initialization, base-from-derived, e.g.

```
struct Base {};  
struct Derived : Base {};  
auto_ptr<Derived> source();  
  
auto_ptr<Base> p( source() );
```

This is similar to (1); in this case, the viable constructor is:

```
auto_ptr<Base>::auto_ptr(auto_ptr_ref<Base>)
```

which is callable using the conversion

```
auto_ptr<Derived>::operator auto_ptr_ref<Base>()
```

which should be selected when operator overloading tries to convert type *auto_ptr<Derived>* to *auto_ptr_ref<Base>*.

Overload resolution succeeds. No additional copying is allowed, so the copy constructor need not be callable.

(4) Copy-initialization, base-from-derived, e.g.

```
struct Base {};  
struct Derived : Base {};  
auto_ptr<Derived> source();  
void sink( auto_ptr<Base> );  
  
main() {  
    sink( source() );  
}
```

This case is not similar to (2), because the sentence quoted above from 8.5/14 does not apply. So there must be a conversion function (operator or constructor) from the argument type to the parameter type, and it will be used to initialize a temporary variable. Note that this initialization process does not involve use of a copy constructor:

The user-defined conversion so selected is called to convert the initializer expression into a temporary, whose type is the type returned by the call of the user-defined conversion function, with the cv-qualifiers of the destination type.

The parameter type is *auto_ptr<Base>*, so there must be a conversion from *auto_ptr<Derived>* to *auto_ptr<Base>*. The constructor

```
auto_ptr<Base>::auto_ptr<Derived>(auto_ptr<Derived> &)
```

does not work because the argument is an rvalue. But the conversion function

```
auto_ptr<Derived>::operator auto_ptr<Base>()
```

does work. The result of calling this conversion function is a temporary - no copy constructor is needed.

Once the temporary has been created, the draft says:

The object being initialized is then direct-initialized from the temporary according to the rules above.

This direct-initialization is case (1) which works.

At no time in any of these four cases is the implementation allowed to make an unnecessary copy of an *auto_ptr* object. Therefore it does not matter that the copy constructor does not work on rvalues.