

Document Number: WG21/N0842=X3J16/96-0024
Date: 23 Jan 1996
Project: C++ Standard Library
Reply to: Nathan Myers
<ncm@cantrip.org>

Exception Safety for Iostream

The `iostream` library `xalloc()/pword()` mechanism provides an essential tool for runtime extension of `iostream` semantics to support user types. While this mechanism works at a very low level, involving casts from `void*` pointers, its use is mostly easily encapsulated, and because it is simple, it is mostly quite reliable and safe, if used correctly.

The Problem

I say "mostly", above, because there is one area in which it is not safe, and not encapsulable. Imagine you have implemented a type named "Date", for which you have implemented operators `<<` and `>>`. Imagine further that as an optimization in operator`<<`, you would like to cache some data in the `istream` argument in storage provided by `pword()`.

There are two problems in this scenario. First, if operator`<<` stores anything via `pword()`, somebody needs to delete that storage when the `istream` itself goes away. Requiring the owner of the `istream` itself to clean up breaks encapsulation in `Date`. Second, the owner of the `istream` may get no opportunity to clean up if an exception occurs.

Discussion

In practice, these problems mean that library components cannot use the `iostream` `xalloc()/pword()` facilities safely. Clearly we need some way for `Date` to get control during events that require this kind of cleanup. The traditional solution for this kind of problem at runtime is to use callbacks. We need to provide a callback mechanism for important `iostream` events.

It is not immediately obvious in which class the registry belongs. More particularly, in which destructor do the callbacks occur? They could be called from `~ios_base`, `~basic_ios<>`, `~basic_istream<>()`, or even (e.g.) `~basic_istreamstringstream()` -- or all of the above. Of course the more-derived class's destructors have access to more of the stream's resources; by the time the `~ios_base()` destructor is reached most such resources have already been released.

Because the purpose for this is simply cleanup, the simplest alternative seems best: place the registry in `ios_base`.

The next question is, what are the interesting events? It would be foolish to add a callback mechanism and fail to hand over control when it's needed. The only other event of significance (for cleanup) in an `ios_base` is change of locale.

Proposed Resolution

Add to the definition of class `ios_base` the declarations:

```
enum event { imbue_event, destruct_event };
```

```
typedef void (*event_callback)(event, ios_base&, int index);  
void register_callback(event_callback fn, int index);
```

and define register_callback():

Effect: Registers the pair (fn, index) such that during calls to imbue() or ~ios_base(), the function *fn* is called with argument *index*. Functions registered are called when an event occurs, in opposite order of registration. Functions registered while a callback function is active are not called until the next event.

Notes: No attempt is made to merge identical pairs; a function registered twice is called twice per event.

Add to the description of destructor ~ios_base():

Calls each registered callback pair (fn, index) as (*fn)(destruct_event, *this, index) at such a time that any ios_base member function called from within fn has well-defined results.

Add to the description of ios_base member imbue(const locale& loc):

Calls each registered callback pair (fn, index) as (*fn)(imbue_event, *this, index) at such a time that a call to ios_base::getloc() from within *fn* returns the new locale value *loc*.