



doc. nr.	ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS N 692	
date	1992-10-27	total pages
item nr.		supersedes document

Secretariat:	Nederlands Normalisatie-Instituut (NNI)
	Kalfjeslaan 2 P.O. box 5059
	2600 GB Delft
	Netherlands
telephone:	+ 31 15 890390
telex:	+ 31 15 890190
telex:	38144 nni nl
telegrams:	Normalisatie Delft

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS
Title: ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Group on Functional Standardization
Secretariat: NNI (Netherlands)

Title : US Contribution on SGFS N590: Development of the SGFS Procedures to cover other TCs and the Open System Environment

Source : U.S.A.

Status : US Contribution

Note :

Source: U.S.A.

Status: U.S. National Body Response

Reference: ISO/IEC JTC1/SGFS N 590, Development of the SGFS Procedures to cover other TCs and the Open System Environment

Subject: U.S. Comments.

In response to the request for comments on this document, the U.S. submits the following:

- 1 SGFSN590 Item 4, "USE OF THIS ANNEX (C2)", Line 2:
The U.S. observes that during the June SGFS meeting it was clear that the text "- 2.1 CATEGORIES OF USE" was intended as a title for the text which is currently in clause 2 of the procedures. In fact, in the proposed new paragraph 2.2, reference is made to 2.1a) and 2.1b). These references do not exist unless the above intent is assumed.

(NOTE: The U.S. notes that sub-paragraphs a) and b) do not exist in the Directives version of clause 2. These are headings used in SGFSN601. Other than these references, all other references to the procedures in SGFSN590 and in this contribution are to the Directives version.)

The U.S. wishes to confirm that this interpretation of "- 2.1 CATEGORIES OF USE" is correct and that the references to 2.1a) and b) are, in effect, references to the current 2a) and b) (in SGFSN601). Further, this point should be made clear in any editing instructions resulting from this document.

- 2 SGFSN590 Item 4, "USE OF THIS ANNEX (C2)", proposed Clause 2.2, first line:
The U.S. believes identification of the organization responsible for maintenance should be required.

Change "In cases 2.1 a) and b)" to "In cases 2.1 a) through c)", first paragraph of clause 2.2.

- 3 SGFSN590 Item 4, "USE OF THIS ANNEX (C2)", proposed Clause 2.2b), first sentence:
The meaning of this sentence is unclear. "documenting the profile" could refer to writing the ISP, ensuring that the profile is identified in SD-4, ensuring that the profile is reflected in the taxonomy, defining the format to be used when writing the profile or some combination of the above.

The U.S. suggests that this sentence be modified to read: "Identification of which TC is responsible for the format of the profile and for including the profile in a taxonomy and a directory of profiles, as needed."

- 4 SGFSN590 Item 4, "USE OF THIS ANNEX (C2)", proposed Clause 2.2b), last sentence:
This sentence must be clarified to reflect any changes made in the first sentence.

The revised text should be:

"In the case of JTCL, the format defined in TR-10000, the Directory in SD-4, the procedures for framework update and the taxonomy update procedure (clause 3) shall be used;"

- 5 SGFSN590 Item 4, "USE OF THIS ANNEX (C2)", proposed Clause 2.2c):
In the June SGFS meeting, responsibility for ballot resolution meetings was discussed.

To avoid any misunderstanding, clause 2.2c) should be modified to add ", including calling and conducting ballot resolution meetings" after "procedures".

- 6 SGFSN590 Item 4, "USE OF THIS ANNEX (C2)", Page 1, last line:
The new text for Clause 2.2 states that clauses 5.1 through 5.3 (in addition to clause 4) are applicable when JTC1 is identified as having responsibility "2.2a". However, the proposed new item e) of clause 5.4 (see Item 10 in SGFSN590) appears to also be applicable, and should be listed here.

We suggest changing "clauses 4 and 5.1 - 5.3" to "clauses 4, 5.1 - 5.3, and 5.4 e)".

- 7 SGFSN590 Item 8, "REVIEW PROCESS (C5.2)", line 4:
This text refers to a change to paragraph 3 of Clause C5.2. The referenced text is actually in paragraph 5 of C5.2.

- 8 SGFSN590 Item 8, "REVIEW PROCESS (C5.2)", and various others:
We believe "other TC" should be added in several other places, not just Clause C5.2.

SGFSN590 should specify that "other TC" be added to the following:

- Clause C4.2, item e) 3), line 9
- Clause C5.1, paragraph 2, line 8
- Clause C5.3, as discussed in the following comment

- 9 SGFSN590 Item 9, "SPECIFIC REVIEW ACTIONS (C5.3)"
The material in Clause C5.3 applies for ISPs where JTC1 has overall coordination responsibility ("2.2a") and also for each part of a multi-part ISP where JTC1 has sole responsibility ("2.2c"). Therefore, item C5.3 b) needs to be changed to acknowledge that it may be a non-JTC1 TC which needs to be informed about conformance material.

SGFSN590 should add the following point:
In C5.3b), change "which JTC1 SCs or CCITT SGs" to "which JTC1 SCs, other TC, or CCITT SGs" in lines 1/2.

The U.S. is submitting a separate contribution on the aspects of SGFS N601 which are unrelated to multi-TC ISPs.