



doc. nr. ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS N 296	
date 1991-02-12	total pages
item nr.	supersedes document

Secretariat: Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut (NNI)
 Kalfjeslaan 2 P.O. box 5059
 2600 GB Delft
 Netherlands

telephone: + 31 15 690390
 telefax: + 31-15 690190
 telex: 38144 nni nl
 telegrams: Normalisatie Delft

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS
 Title: ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Group on Functional
 Standardization

Secretariat: NNI (Netherlands)

Title : Draft Minutes of the ISO/IEC JTC1/SGFS Ad Hoc meeting,
 November 26-27, 1990, Brussels

Source : Secretariat

Status : draft

Note :

受付
 3. 2. 18
 社情報規 理学会
 情報規 老調査会

Draft minutes
of the ISO/IEC JTC1/SGFS Ad Hoc meeting
26/27 November 1990
Brussels

Attendance: see attached list

1. Opening

The SGFS chairman Mr. Louis Visser opened the meeting at 10.00 h. and welcomed all the participants.

2. Adoption of the agenda

The agenda as proposed in document SGFS N 271 was approved.

3. Roll Call of participants

A short introduction round was held.

4. Review of incoming contributions

Received documents for input:

SGFS N 272 EWOS/EGCT response to SGFS N 213
SGFS N 273 SPAG response to SGFS N 213
SGFS N 277 COS response to SGFS N 213
SGFS N 279 NIST/OIW position on Profile Conformance
SGFS N 280 Japan/POSI comments on SGFS N 213
SGFS N 281 AFNOR comments on SGFS N 213

5. Identification of topics for discussion

It was decided to discuss the following topics:

- Responsibility for Profile Test Specifications
- S-Liaison access beyond SGFS
- PICS Notations
- Scope of Profile Testing
- Profile Configurability

6. Discussion

* Responsibility for Profile Test Specifications

The documents SGFS N 213 (= SC21 N 5085) and N 233 (= SGFS response to SC21 N 5085) were noted.

In N 233 the SGFS accepts the responsibility for Profile Test Specifications and SC21 N 5085 is correct in stating that the OSI test suite standardization is undertaken by SC6 and SC21.

However, the SGFS Ad Hoc meeting considered that it may be misinterpreted in stating that the standardization of the selection and parameterization of these test suites for profile purposes, and the standardization of the specification of additional test cases, is the responsibility of the SGFS. As with an ISP itself, this is the responsibility of the submitting organization.

These views were stated in a separate document (SGFS N 292) for consideration by the membership of SGFS.

* S-Liaison access beyond SGFS

The JTC1 Advisory Group has specified that the "S-Liaison" status is restricted to participation in SGFS. The preferred approach is that contributions to JTC1 (and SCs or WGs) have to be submitted through National Bodies.

In document N 233 the SGFS introduced to SC21 the possibility that S-Liaison views might be expressed to SC21 through liaison via the SGFS when the contributions are considered and harmonized by the full SGFS during a plenary meeting.

However, because the SGFS meets only once per year, the Ad Hoc meeting recommends that the SGFS define procedures for handling such contributions between meetings.

It was proposed to identify specific projects in other groups for special treatment. This means that the SGFS should, at its yearly meeting, identify and agree to these projects when contribution from SGFS could help facilitate the work. If a contribution from an SGFS member or liaison to the SGFS is specified for a "pre-approved" project and if this contribution is internationally harmonized (i.e. by TLFF or RWCC), then the contribution can be forwarded to the appropriate project directly by the SGFS Secretariat. The formal status of the contribution can be "International Harmonized Expert Paper".

This proposal to the SGFS membership is expressed in document SGFS N 290.

* PICS Notations

Chapter 2 "The nature of Profile conformance" of document N 213 has been discussed.

SC21 offered the possibility for a 2 character notation within a single status column for the profile requirements (e.g. "mo" which means mandatory to be implemented, optional to be used).

It appeared that some different interpretations existed of concepts as "Optional to be used", "Excluded", "Out of Scope" etc.

It was stated that if you use the existing characters on the right

way then you do not need a two character notation.
As a response to chapter 2 the Ad Hoc meeting expressed its views within a liaison statement to SC21/WG1 (document SGFS N 289).

* Scope of Profile Testing

Then chapter 3 of document N 213 on the scope of profile testing gave rise to a wide ranging discussion on the meaning of "Profile Conformance".

A detailed summary of the considerations made during the meeting is presented in document SGFS N 288. This document will be sent to the SC21/WG1 as a liaison contribution from SGFS.

* Profile Configurability

It appeared that two different aspects of profile configurability can be distinguished:

- the means by which an implementation is configured into a given profile, and
- the way a multiprofile product is tested once configured.

Both aspects have been considered and different views have been formulated in document SGFS N 291 which is to be sent to SC21/WG1 as liaison statement.

7. Preparation of conclusions

The output of the Ad Hoc meeting is presented in:
SGFS N 288 Position statement on Scope of Profile Testing
SGFS N 289 Position statement on PICS Notations
SGFS N 290 S-Liaison Access beyond SGFS
SGFS N 291 Position statement on Profile Configurability
SGFS N 292 Responsibility for Profile Test Specification

8. Any other business

None.

9. Closing

The chairman closed the meeting at 16.30 h. on Tuesday 27th, 1991.



doc. nr. ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS N 296	
date	total pages
Item nr.	supersedes document

Secretariat: Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut (NNI)
 Kalffjeslaan 2 P.O. box 5059
 2600 GB Delft
 Netherlands

telephone: + 31 15 890390
 telefax: + 31-15 890190
 telex: 38144 nni nl
 telegrams: Normalisatie Delft

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS
 Title: ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Group on Functional
 Standardization

Secretariat: NNI (Netherlands)

ATTENDANCE LIST

ISO/IEC JTC1/SGFS
 Ad Hoc Meeting
 26-27 November, 1990
 Brussels, Belgium

Name	Delegate for	(Business) Address	Phone No.	Fax. No.
REGA J. Clyde Robichaux	EWOS U. S. A.	Rue de Namur 36 Room 5A210 Route 202-206 North Bedminster, NJ 07921 USA	+322 511.7455 +1 908 234 5594	+322 511.8723 +1 908 234 8681
Steve Dosley	EWOS	SPAG Avenue Louise 149 B-1050 Brussels	+32.2. 535 0811	+32.2 537.2440
Tom Davidson	COS	1750 Old Meadow Road Suite 400 McLean, Virginia 22102 USA	+1 703 883-2700	+1 703 734 2975
Gerard DAUGAN	AFNOR	CER - IBI France 06610 La Gaude	(33) 93 184 512	(33) 93-72 00 19
Noboru Ito	POSI	Oki electric industry co. Ltd., 16-8, Chuou 1-chome, hito Warabi-shi, Saitama-ken, 335 Japan	+81-484-31 -7211	+81-484-31 -9110
Yasuhiko Kumashiro Shogo Tanaka	Japan Japan	Fujitsu Ltd. JAPAN 211 Kawasaki, Kamakodanuki	+81-44-734 -3202	+81-44-731 -9220
Luigi BERTUZZI	EWOS/ITALY	VIA PROVINCIALE 10/B 10010 QUAGLIUZZO (TO) ITALY	+39 125 76513	+39 125 76513

Name	Delegate for	(Business) Address	Phone No.	Fax. No.
Doris Bert	DIN	Siemens Nixdorf STOSM2 Otto-Hahn Ring 6 8000 München 83	+49 89 636-49524	+49 89 636-40044
Louis Visser	SGFS-chair	PO Box 245 Apeldoorn Netherlands	+31 55 434087	+31 55 432635
Peter Bessems	NNI SGFS secr.	P.O. Box 5059 2600 GB Delft The Netherlands	+31 15 690192	+31 15 690190
Roy Cadwallader	WFMTUG	ENACT Ltd. Alexander House Bethesda Street Henley, Stoke-on-Trent, Staffs. ST7 3DE England	+44 782 202299	+44 782 208000
F. VANDEN BERGHE	SPAG	SPAG AV Louise 149, Bas 7, 1050 Brussels	32 2535 0839	32 534 2440