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Introduction
It is currently proposed in P1774R5 “Portable assumptions” that the [[assume(…)]] attribute should accept an assignment-expression [1]. However, there is no evidence that it is useful to assume any expression that is not a conditional-expression.

Design
Currently, P1774R5 requires the argument of the assume attribute to be an assignment-expression contextually-convertible to bool. An assignment-expression can be:

- yield-expression: an assume attribute is not a function suspension context
- throw-expression: never contextually convertible to bool
- conditional-expression
- logical-or-expression assignment-operator initializer-clause

The motivation and design for the assume attribute does not include any examples of assuming a logical-or-expression assignment-operator initializer-clause sequence, even when discussing examples of side-effect corner cases that need to be avoided.

Every motivating use-case that the author is aware of, both in P1774R5 and elsewhere, assumes a conditional-expression. This, along with the contextual conversion to bool, strongly suggests that conditional-expression is the best model of “things that can be assumed.”

Note that:

1. Related compiler intrinsics such as MSVC/icc’s __assume() or clang’s __builtin_assume() accept an assignment-expression.
2. The if and while statements each accept an expression in their condition.

By changing [[assume(…)]] from assignment-expression to conditional-expression, we can:

- ensure that typos like [[assume(x = 42)]] are not silently accepted by conforming implementations
- continue to permit [[assume((x = 42))] as an escape hatch (primary-expression)
- leave open the door to expanding the range of accepted expressions in the future

If we do not narrow the grammar before [[assume(…)]] appears in the IS, then it will not be possible to do so in the future.

Proposed wording
Editing notes
All wording is relative to P1774R5 [1].
Assumption attribute [dcl.attr.assume]

Update ¶1:

The attribute-token \texttt{assume} may be applied to a null statement; such a statement is an assumption. An attribute-argument-clause shall be present and shall have the form:

```plaintext
(assignmentconditional-expression)
```

Constant expressions [expr.const]

Update ¶5:

If $E$ satisfies the constraints of a core constant expression, but evaluation of $E$ would evaluate an operation that has undefined behavior as specified in [library] through [thread] of this document, a statement with an assumption ([dcl.attr.assume]) whose converted assignment-conditional-expression would not evaluate to true, or an invocation of the va_start macro ([cstdarg.syn]), it is unspecified whether $e$ is a core constant expression.

Update ¶6:

For the purposes of determining whether an expression $E$ is a core constant expression, the evaluation of a call to a member function of std::allocator<T> as defined in [allocator.members], where $T$ is a literal type, does not disqualify $E$ from being a core constant expression, even if the actual evaluation of such a call would otherwise fail the requirements for a core constant expression. Similarly, the evaluation of a call to std::construct_at or std::ranges::construct_at does not disqualify $E$ from being a core constant expression unless the first argument, of type $T*$, does not point to storage allocated with std::allocator<T> or to an object whose lifetime began within the evaluation of $E$, or the evaluation of the underlying constructor call disqualifies $E$ from being a core constant expression. Further, a statement with an assumption ([dcl.attr.assume]) whose converted assignment-conditional-expression is itself not a core constant expression does not disqualify $E$ from being a core constant expression.
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