P2300R0

STD::EXECUTION

Michael Garland, June 2021

AUTHORS Michał Dominiak, Lewis Baker, Lee Howes, Michael Garland, Eric Niebler & Bryce Adelstein Lelbach

GOALS OF THIS PAPER Produce a single, usable design for controlling execution in C++

Refine design of core features in P0443 based on feedback from LEWG & SG1

Consolidate selected features needed to write effective code, especially from:

- P2181r1 Correcting the design of bulk execution
- P1897r3 Towards C++23 executors: A proposal for an initial set of algorithms
- P2175r0 Composable cancellation for sender-based async operations

Produce a self-contained, well-documented design suitable for inclusion in C++23

HELLO, **P2300**

Preparing work to be executed on a thread pool & waiting for its completion

using namespace std::execution;

scheduler auto sch = my thread pool().scheduler();

sender auto begin = schedule(sch);

```
sender auto hi_again = then(begin, []{
    std::cout << "Hello world! Have an int.";</pre>
    return 13;
});
```

sender auto add_42 = then(hi_again, [](int arg) { return arg + 42; }); auto [i] = std::this_thread::sync_wait(add_42).value();

USER-FACING DESIGN

USER NEEDS

Our design provides mechanisms to manage three key concerns

Control where work executes

Manage composition of asynchronous operations

Control submission of work for execution

CONCEPT: SCHEDULERS Schedulers are handles representing the context in which work will be executed

// Schedulers are obtained from context-specific interfaces. scheduler auto sch = my thread pool().scheduler();

A single context object, such as a thread pool, may provide multiple different schedulers encapsulating different execution strategies.

CONCEPT: SENDERS Senders describe work to be executed

An object that represents a computation to be performed and which, upon completion, sends zero or more values.

Senders may alternatively send an *error* or *done* signal in lieu of values.

(More on this later.)

ASSEMBLING DESCRIPTIONS OF WORK

Sender factories initiate the description of a computation

Sender Factories in P2300

<pre>schedule(scheduler auto</pre>	sch) -> sender	Returned send
just(autovalues) ->	sender	Returned send
<pre>transfer_just(scheduler</pre>	auto, auto) -> sender	Returned send and sends the





der completes on the given scheduler

der completes and sends the given values

der completes on the given scheduler e given values

ASSEMBLING DESCRIPTIONS OF WORK Sender factories initiate the description of a computation

// Produces a sender that completes immediately // and sends the value 1001. sender auto a = just(1001);

// Senders may send more than one value ... sender auto b = just(1001, 1002, 1003);

// ... or send none at all. sender auto c = just();

ASSEMBLING DESCRIPTIONS OF WORK Sender consumers are terminal points in the description of a computation

Sender Consumers in P2300

<pre>std::this_thread::sync_wait(sender auto snd) -> std::optional<std::tuple<value-types>></std::tuple<value-types></pre>	Submit computa Block the curre Return value(s) If an <i>error</i> is se If <i>done</i> is sent,
<pre>std::execution::start_detached(sender auto snd) -> void</pre>	Submit computa Any values it se If an error is se

tation represented by snd for execution. ent thread waiting for completion. sent by snd. ent, throw exception. return an empty optional.

tation represented by snd for execution. ends will be discarded. ent, std::terminate is called.

ASSEMBLING DESCRIPTIONS OF WORK Sender consumers are terminal points in the description of a computation

// Produces a sender that completes immediately and sends the value 1. sender auto one = just(1);

// Wait for completion and obtain the result. auto [x] = std::this_thread::sync_wait(one).value();

// x == 1 at this point.

ASSEMBLING DESCRIPTIONS OF WORK Sender adaptors enable composing computations from individual pieces

Selected Sender Adaptors in P2300	Adaptors accept sender as output
then	
let_value	Sender adaptors
bulk	I I I.
when_all	Including support
split	then(sr then(.

se are equivalent: nd, ...); ..)(snd); then(...); snd |

senders as parameters and return a

are analogous to *range* adaptors

for pipe operator syntax

THEN Continuing a computation with a single invocable object

Sender Adapters

Returned sender sends the result of f invoked with the values then(sender auto snd, invocable f) -> sender sent by snd. If snd does not send values, sends what snd sends.

> sender auto a = just(100); sender auto b = then(a, [] (int x) { return 2 * x; });

auto [x] = std::this_thread::sync_wait(b).value(); // x == 200

LET_VALUE Extending a computation with a sender factory

Sender Adapters

Will invoke f with values sent by snd, resulting in a sender. If let_value(sender auto snd, invocable f) snd doesn't send values, f is not invoked. Returned sender -> sender will send what that resulting sender does.

```
sender auto sends_A = ...
sender auto snd = sends_A
                 let_value([] (auto& A) {
                    return schedule(my_thread_pool().scheduler())
                           then([&A] { })
                          | then([&A] { })
                          • • •
                  });
```

let_value ensures that lifetime of delivered objects outlasts computation described by f.

BULK Extending a computation with a bulk section

Sender Adaptors

bulk(sender auto snd, std::integral auto size, invocable f) -> sender

Returned sender completes after invoking f with (i, xs...) for all i in [0, size) where xs... are the values sent by snd, and delivers those same values. If snd does not send values, sends what snd sends.

```
std::vector in = {2, 3, 0, 0};
int n = in.size();
```

sender auto update = just(std::move(in)) bulk(n, [](int i, std::vector<int)</pre> bulk(n, [](int i, std::vector<int>& x) { x[i] +=

auto [out] = std::this_thread::sync_wait(update).value(); // out == {4, 5, 2, 2}

WHEN_ALL

Joining results of multiple computations together

Sender Adapters

when_all(sender autosenders) -> sender	Returned ser have comple them, in the
<pre>transfer_when_all(scheduler auto sch,</pre>	ler Behaves like completes o

sender auto s0 = just(1000); sender auto s1 = just("hello"sv); sender auto s2 = when_all(s1, s2);

auto [x, y] = std::this_thread::sync_wait(s2).value();

ender completes once all given senders leted and sends the values sent by all of ne same order they are listed.

ke when_all, and returned sender
on sch.



SPLIT

Used when multiple computations have a common predecessor

Sender Adaptors

split(sender auto snd) -> sender

Returned sender delivers values equivalent to those sent by snd. May be snd itself or a new sender, as appropriate.

sender auto s0 = just(2021);

sender auto fork = split(std::move(s0));

sender auto s1 = fork | then([] (int y) { printf("Left %d\n", y); }); sender auto s2 = fork | then([] (int y) { printf("Right %d\n", y); });



WHERE DO SENDERS COMPLETE?

Senders may advertise a completion scheduler

If well-formed, the sender snd ensures that it sends the indicated signal on an execution agent template <typename signal_cpo> get_completion_scheduler(sender auto snd) -> scheduler belonging to the context represented by the returned scheduler.

Controlling where senders complete with values

	<pre>schedule(scheduler auto sch) -> sender</pre>	Produces a schedul
	<pre>transfer(sender auto snd, scheduler auto sch) -> sender</pre>	Return a sender that whose completion s scheduler that snd
	on(scheduler auto sch, sender auto snd) -> sender	Starts snd on sch a sent by snd, but wi

ler whose completion scheduler is sch.

at sends the values sent by snd, but scheduler is sch. Does not change starts on.

and returns a sender that sends the values hich has no completion scheduler.

USING TRANSFER

Transfer changes completion scheduler when describing work

sender auto initiate = schedule(sch1);

sender auto work = initiate // .. completes on sch1 then([]{ printf("On sch1\n"); }) // .. completes on sch1 // .. completes on sch2 transfer(sch2) then([]{ printf("On sch2\n"); }); // .. completes on sch2

std::this_thread::sync_wait(work);

// Assuming no errors:

USING ON

On specifies scheduler on which work already described will start

```
sender auto work = just()
                 then([]{ printf("Running\n"); });
```

// Work will start on sch1. // (and also complete on sch1, in this example) sender auto initiate = on(sch1, work);

std::this_thread::sync_wait(initiate);

SENDING MORE THAN VALUES Analogues of then and let_value for error and done signals

Sender Adapters

upon_error(sender auto snd, invocable f) -> sender	Returned sender sends delivered by snd. Sen
upon_done(sender auto snd, invocable f) -> sender	Returned sender sends snd completes with do
<pre>let_error(sender auto snd, invocable f) -> sender</pre>	Will invoke f with the If snd doesn't send an will send what that re
<pre>let_done(sender auto snd, invocable f) -> sender</pre>	Will invoke f if snd se doesn't send done, f i what that resulting se

Is the result of f invoked with an error nds what snd sends, otherwise.

ds the result of invoking f invoked when done. Sends what snd sends, otherwise.

e error sent by snd, resulting in a sender. n error, f is not invoked. Returned sender esulting sender does.

ends done, resulting in a sender. If snd is not invoked. Returned sender will send ender does.

EXECUTE One-way execution of invocable objects on schedulers

scheduler auto sch = my thread pool().scheduler();

// Submitting a function with no arguments that returns no results // to be run in the execution context represented by the scheduler. execute(sch, [] { printf("Running on thread pool\n"); });

This operation fills the role of one-way executors from P0443.

WHEN IS WORK SUBMITTED? Default interface supports lazy submission but permits potentially eager submission

Customizations of algorithms are permitted to be *potentially eager*, as in P0443 and other papers

Lazy implementations of potentially eager algorithms are *always* valid

Strictly lazy semantics (i.e., work is never submitted until explicitly started) are chosen by name

Regardless of submission point, required ordering of sender completions *must never* be altered

WHEN IS WORK SUBMITTED? Default interface supports lazy submission but permits potentially eager submission

sender auto a = ...some sender...;

sender auto b = then(a, []{ printf("b\n"); }); // a may be submitted for execution already, // but must never execute before b completes.

sender auto c = lazy_then(a, []{ printf("c\n"); }); // c must not be submitted for execution yet.

// b may have been submitted before this point. std::this_thread::sync_wait(b);

// c must not be submitted before this point. std::this thread::sync wait(c);

FUTURE WORK

We envision composing with parallel algorithms via operators not yet defined

An existing parallel algorithm of the form:

algorithm(ExecutionPolicy&& policy, ...) -> T

could be executed on a specific scheduler by combining a scheduler with a policy:

algorithm(executing on(scheduler, policy), ...) -> T

and a new asynchronous form of the algorithm could be achieved by combining with senders:

async_algorithm(executing_async(sender, policy), ...) -> sender

sender auto async_read(sender auto buffer, auto handle);

struct dynamic_buffer { std::unique_ptr<std::byte[]> data; std::size_t size; };

```
sender auto async_read_array(auto handle) {
  return just(dynamic buffer{})
        let_value([] (dynamic buffer& buf) {
           return just(std::as_writeable_bytes(std::span(&buf.size, 1))
                  async_read(handle)
                  then(
                    [&] (std::size t bytes read) {
                      assert(bytes read == sizeof(buf.size));
                      buf.data = std::make_unique(new std::byte[buf.size]);
                      return std::span(buf.data.get(), buf.size);
                    })
                  async read(handle)
                  then(
                    [&] (std::size t bytes read) {
                      assert(bytes_read == buf.size);
                      return std::move(buf);
                    })
       });
                 Returned sender delivers a filled dynamic_buffer upon completion.
```

Separately defined asynchronous algorithm returns a sender.

let_value() responsible for managing lifetime of dynamic buffer

Composition with external async. algorithm

IMPLEMENTER'S INTERFACE

CONCEPT: RECEIVERS

Receivers are the "glue" between senders

Senders represent continuable computations. Receivers are the continuations to which they send values.

Receivers provide three channels for receiving completion signals from a sender:

- set value(receiver auto recv, auto Ts...) -> void
- set error(receiver auto recv, auto err) -> void
- set done(receiver auto recv) -> void

CONCEPT: RECEIVERS Receivers are the "glue" between senders

Senders represent continuable computations. Receivers are the continuations to which they send values.

Receiver contract:

- Exactly one of these must be successfully invoked on a receiver before it is destroyed.
- If a call to set value fails with an exception, either set error or set done must be invoked on the same receiver.

RECEIVERS ARE FOR IMPLEMENTERS Receivers are the "glue" between senders

Senders represent continuable computations. Receivers are the continuations to which they send values. The connect algorithm binds them together.

Design principle: Neither receivers nor connect should appear in typical user code; they exist for implementers of senders and low-level operations on senders

SENDERS & RECEIVERS Connecting a sender to a receiver produces an operation state, which can be started

sender auto snd = ...some sender...; receiver auto rcv = ...some receiver...;

// Connecting a receiver tells a sender where to send its completion signal. operation state auto state = connect(snd, rcv);

// The defining interface of an operation state is that it can be started: start(state);

// NOTE: Operation state objects are not movable; therefore, an operation state // object must be kept alive until its corresponding operation finishes.

CUSTOMIZATION

Sender algorithms are customizable

All of the sender algorithms defined in P2300 are customization points

We rely on the tag invoke mechanism for defining customization points

A sender algorithm expression *algorithm*(snd, args...) is equivalent to:

- 1. tag_invoke(<algorithm>, get_completion_scheduler<cpo>(snd), snd, args...), if that expression is well-formed; otherwise
- 2. tag_invoke(<algorithm>, snd, args...), if that expression is well-formed; otherwise
- 3. a default implementation, if there exists a default implementation of the given algorithm.

CANCELLATION

Mechanisms to request cancellation of work that has already started

Fundamental support for certain algorithm and concurrency patterns, including examples such as:

- try multiple network servers; use whichever responds first; cancel the rest
- when one leg of when all(ops...) fails, try to cancel incomplete operations
- apply a generic timeout() algorithm to a sender to have it cancelled after given time period

Builds upon std::stop token mechanism in C++20, with get stop token() receiver query

Design details to follow in P2300r1

COMPARISON WITH P0443

KEY CHANGES IN P2300 Thorough revision of material from prior papers in response to feedback

Provides both a detailed design explanation and a complete specification

Consolidates necessary functionality from companion papers into a self-contained design

Elides certain functionality of P0443 as requested in previous design reviews

FOCUS ON CORE CONCEPTS Removed functionality from this paper in response to design review of P0443

Removed polymorphic executor wrappers (P0443r14, Section 2.4)

Removed thread pool type (P0443r14, Section 2.5)

Removed generic property mechanism, and replaced with named query customization points

Removed executor as a distinct concept and defined execute to operate on schedulers

CONSOLIDATE FUNCTIONALITY Functionality needed to write sender-based code was spread across multiple papers

Core specification of concepts and implementer interface in P0443r14

Sender adaptor for bulk execution from P2181r1

Fundamental algorithms for using senders in P1897r3

Approach to managing cancellation from P2175r0

CLARIFIED SEMANTICS P0443 was unclear on several important semantic questions

Senders now advertise what scheduler, if any, their evaluation will complete on

Places of execution of user code are precisely defined

Semantics of variously qualified connect overloads are specified

Distinction between multi-shot and single-shot senders is made clear

NEW CAPABILITIES P2300 also adds capabilities not present in P0443 and companion papers

A new split algorithm allows generic code to chain a sender with multiple successors

A transfer algorithm to explicitly control where senders complete

Fused algorithms (e.g., transfer_just, transfer_when_all) permit more efficient customizations

Implementors can now customize sender adaptors via completion scheduler of provided sender

Users now have a choice between strictly lazy & possibly eager versions of most sender algorithms

Further Questions?