Atomic minimum/maximum

1 Abstract
Add integer \( \max \) and \( \min \) operations to the set of operations supported in \(<\text{atomic}>\). There are minor adjustments to function naming necessitated by the fact that \( \max \) and \( \min \) do not exist as infix operators.

2 Changelog
— Revision R3, published 2021-12-15
— Change formatting
— Revert to read-modify-write semantics, based on SG1 feedback
— Remove \texttt{replace\_key} functions, based on SG1 feedback
— Simplify wording
3 Introduction

This proposal extends the atomic operations library to add atomic maximum/minimum operations. These were originally proposed for C++ in [N3696] as particular cases of a general “priority update” mechanism, which atomically combined reading an object’s value, computing a new value and conditionally writing this value if it differs from the old value.

In revision R2 of this paper we have proposed atomic maximum/minimum operations where it is unspecified whether or not the store takes place if the new value happens to be the same as the old value. This has caused contention in LEWG, but upon further discussion in SG1 turned out to be unnecessary - as discussed in section 5.

4 Background and motivation

Atomic addition (fetch-and-add) was introduced in the NYU Ultracomputer [Gottlieb 1982], has been implemented in a variety of hardware architectures, and has been standardized in C and C++. Atomic maximum/minimum operations (fetch-and-max, fetch-and-min) have a history almost as long as atomic addition, e.g. see [Lipovski 1988], and have also been implemented in various hardware architectures but are not currently standard in C and C++. This proposal fills the gap in C++.

Atomic maximum/minimum operations are useful in a variety of situations in multithreaded applications:

— optimal implementation of lock-free shared data structures - as in the motivating example later in this paper
— reductions in data-parallel applications: for example, OpenMP supports maximum as a reduction operation
— recording the maximum so far reached in an optimization process, to allow unproductive threads to terminate
— collecting statistics, such as the largest item of input encountered by any worker thread.

Atomic maximum/minimum operations already exist in several other programming environments, including OpenCL, and in some hardware implementations. Application need, and availability, motivate providing these operations in C++.

The proposed language changes add atomic max/min to <atomic> for built-in types, including integral, pointer and floating point.
5 The problem of conditional write

The existing atomic operations (e.g. `fetch_and`) have the effect of a *read-modify-write*, irrespective of whether the value changes. This is how atomic max/min are defined in several APIs (OpenCL, CUDA, C++AMP, HCC) and in several hardware architectures (ARM, RISC-V). However, some hardware (POWER) implements atomic max/min as an atomic *read-and-conditional-store*. If we look at an example CAS-loop implementation of this proposal, it is easy to see why such *read-and-conditional-store* can be more efficient.

Following the discussion in SG1 the authors are convinced that such an implementation can be conforming, *with some adjustments*, without the catch all wording such as “it is unspecified whether or not the store takes place”.

5.1 Example CAS-loop implementation with *read-modify-write*

In this version we are performing an unconditional store, which means all writers need exclusive cache line access. This may result in excessive writer contention.

```cpp
template <typename T>
T atomic_fetch_max_explicit(atomic<T>* pv,
    typename atomic<T>::value_type v,
    memory_order m) noexcept {
    auto t = pv->load(m);
    while (!(pv->compare_exchange_weak(t, max(v, t), m, m)))
        ;
    return t;
}
```

5.2 Example CAS-loop implementation with *read-and-conditional-store*

Note the condition of the `while` loop below. It skips skip write entirely if `pv` is already equal to `max(v, t)`. This significantly reduces writer contention.

```cpp
template <typename T>
T atomic_fetch_max_explicit(atomic<T>* pv,
    typename atomic<T>::value_type v,
    memory_order m) noexcept {
    auto t = pv->load(m);
    while (max(v, t) != t) {
        if (pv->compare_exchange_weak(t, v, m, m))
            break;
    }
    return t;
}
```

If we require *read-modify-write*, this *would* be a non-conforming implementation. Such implementation can be easily fixed:

---

- if the user requested memory order is *not* a release, then store is not required
- otherwise, a conforming implementation may add a dummy write such as `fetch_add(0, m)`.

This is demonstrated below:

```cpp
template <typename T>
T atomic_fetch_max_explicit(atomic<T>* pv,
    typename atomic<T>::value_type v,
    memory_order m) noexcept {
    auto t = pv->load(m);
    while (max(v, t) != t) {
        if (pv->compare_exchange_weak(t, v, m, m))
```
return t;

// additional dummy write for release operation
if (m == std::memory_order_release ||
    m == std::memory_order_acq_rel ||
    m == std::memory_order_seq_cst)
    pv->fetch_add(0, m);

return t;
}

Similarly, given an architecture which implements atomic minimum/maximum in hardware with read-and-conditional-store semantics, a conforming read-modify-write fetch_max() can be implemented on top of such instruction, with very little overhead.

For this reason and for consistency with all other atomic instructions, we have decided to use read-modify-write semantics for the proposed atomic minimum/maximum.

6 Infix operators in <atomic> and min/max

The current <atomic> provides atomic operations in several ways:

— as a named non-member function template e.g. atomic_fetch_add returning the old value
— as a named member function template e.g. atomic<T>::fetch_add() returning the old value
— as an overloaded compound operator e.g. atomic<T>::operator+=() returning the new value

Adding ‘max’ and ‘min’ versions of the named functions is straightforward. Unlike the existing atomics, max/min operations exist in signed and unsigned flavors. The atomic type determines the operation. There is precedent for this in C, where all compound assignments on atomic variables are defined to be atomic, including sign-sensitive operations such as divide and right-shift.

The overloaded operator atomic<T>::operator key=(n) is defined to return the new value of the atomic object. This does not correspond directly to a named function. For max and min, we have no infix operators to overload. So if we want a function that returns the new value we would need to provide it as a named function. However, for all operators the new value can be obtained as fetch_key(n) key n, (the standard defines the compound operator overloads this way) while the reverse is not true for non-invertible operators like ‘and’ or ‘max’.

Thus new functions returning the new result would add no significant functionality other than providing one-to-one equivalents to <atomic> existing compound operator overloads. Revision R2 of this paper tentatively suggested such functions, named replace_key (following some of the early literature on atomic operations - [Kruskal 1986] citing [Draughon 1967]). Having discussed this in SG1, the authors have decided not to propose addition of extra functions and correspondingly they have been removed in revision R3. This same result can be obtained by the user with a simple expression such as max(v.fetch_max(x), x) or min(v.fetch_min(x), x).

During the discussion in SG1, it was suggested that a new paper could be written proposing key_fetch functions returning new values. This is not such paper.

7 Motivating example

Atomic fetch-and-max can be used to implement a lockfree bounded multi-consumer, multi-producer queue. Below is an example based on [Gong 1990]. Note, the original paper assumed existence of EXCHANGE operation which in practice does not exist on most platforms. Here this was replaced by a two-step read and write, in addition to translation from C to C++. For this reason the correctness proof from [Gong 1990] does not apply.
template <typename T, size_t Size>
struct queue_t {
  static_assert(std::is_nothrow_default_constructible_v<T>);
  static_assert(std::is_nothrow_copy_constructible_v<T>);
  static_assert(std::is_nothrow_swappable_v<T>);

  using elt = T;
  static constexpr int size = Size;

  struct entry {
    elt item {};
    std::atomic<int> tag {-1};
  };

  entry elts[size] = {};
  std::atomic<int> back {-1};

  friend void enqueue(queue_t& queue, elt x) noexcept {
    int i = queue.back.load() + 1; // get a slot in the array for the new element
    while (true) {
      // exchange the new element with slots value if that slot has not been used
      int empty = -1; // expected tag for an empty slot
      auto& e = queue.elts[i % size];
      // use two-step write: first store an odd value while we are writing the new element
      if (std::atomic_compare_exchange_strong(&e.tag, &empty, (i / size) * 2 + 1)) {
        using std::swap;
        swap(x, e.item);
        e.tag.store((i / size) * 2); // done writing, switch tag to even (ie. ready)
        break;
      }
      ++i;
    }
    std::atomic_fetch_max(&queue.back, i); // reset the value of back
  }

  friend auto dequeue(queue_t& queue) noexcept -> elt {
    while (true) { // keep trying until an element is found
      int range = queue.back.load(); // search up to back slots
      for (int i = 0; i <= range; i++) {
        int ready = (i / size) * 2; // expected even tag for ready slot
        auto& e = queue.elts[i % size];
        // use two-step read: first store -2 while we are reading the element
        if (std::atomic_compare_exchange_strong(&e.tag, &ready, -2)) {
          using std::swap;
          elt ret{};
          swap(ret, e.item);
          e.tag.store(-1); // done reading, switch tag to -1 (ie. empty)
          return ret;
        }
      }
    }
  }
};
8 Implementation experience

The required intrinsics have been added to Clang.

9 Benchmarks

We have implemented two sets of benchmarks bench1 and bench2 and made them available on [Github].

— bench1 is populating a fixed size queue, using the [Gong 1990] algorithm presented above. We have decided to drop the results from this benchmark due to excessive standard deviation of results. We attribute this standard deviation to the CAS operation of the algorithm main loop (looking for the next free entry), which is inherently non-deterministic and dominates the algorithm execution time.

— bench2 is finding a maximum value from a PRNG. We were able to achieve acceptably low standard deviation of results for this test. The selected PRNG is a linear distribution 2e9 wide, using 10'000 PRNG samples per run. In this benchmark, the fetch_max updates were relatively infrequent.

The results presented below are from bench2. We have measured the nanosecond time of two different implementations of atomic_fetch_max_explicit(&max, i, std::memory_order_release), where i is generated by the PRNG. The benchmarks capture the cost of contention to max from varying number of cores. The benchmarks were run on AWS EC2 instance type c6gd.16xlarge (i.e. 64 cores ARMv8.2 Graviton2 CPU). The machine was running Linux kernel 5.10 and was configured for complete isolation of cores 1-63:

$ cat /proc/cmdline
BOOT_IMAGE=/boot/vmlinuz-5.10.0-9-cloud-arm64 ... isolcpus=1-63 nohz_full=1-63 rcu_nocbs=1-63

We used core 0 only when running the benchmark across all 64 cores, in which case the samples from this core were dropped (to avoid the noise caused by the normal operating system operation).

The benchmark parameters were:

— -m 0.5 : maximum std. deviation for PRNG cost calibration
— -i 1e6 : number of iterations (this translates to 100 runs, each sampling the PRNG 10'000 times)

The table below compares two fetch_max implementations:

— -t t : CAS-loop based algorithm presented at the bottom of 5.2 (we call this “smart”)
— -t h : hardware instruction ldsmaxl available in ARM8.1 instruction set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>CAS-loop “smart”</th>
<th>Hardware instruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time ns</td>
<td>Std. deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1479</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1777</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>2417</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During benchmarking, we have observed that the time of read-and-conditional-store CAS-loop algorithm (as presented at the top of 5.2, we call this “weak” in benchmarks) was almost immeasurable, irrespective of the number of cores. We explain this by how rarely the PRNG sampling benchmark updates the max value.
This indicates that users on some platforms might benefit from yet another implementation, which was not benchmarked here. Such a hypothetical implementation would rely on atomic hardware read-modify-write instruction when release was requested, and fallback to simple CAS-loop otherwise. It could be considered a QoI issue, although users can also write such a CAS-loop easily enough.

10 Acknowledgments

This paper benefited from discussion with Mario Torrecillas Rodriguez, Nigel Stephens, Nick Maclaren, Olivier Giroux and Gašper Ažman.

11 Changes to the C++ standard

The following text outlines the proposed changes, based on [N4901].

17 Language support library

17.3.2 Header <version> synopsis

Add feature test macro:

```cpp
#define __cpp_lib_atomic_min_max 202XXXL // also in <atomic>
```

31: Atomic operations library [atomics]

31.2: Header <atomic> synopsis [atomics.syn]

— Add following functions, immediately below `atomic_fetch_xor_explicit`:

```cpp
namespace std {
    // [atomic.nonmembers], non-member functions
    ...
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_max(volatile atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type) noexcept;
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_max(atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type) noexcept;
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_max_explicit(volatile atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type,
        memory_order) noexcept;
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_max_explicit(atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type,
        memory_order) noexcept;
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_min(volatile atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type) noexcept;
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_min(atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type) noexcept;
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_min_explicit(volatile atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type,
        memory_order) noexcept;
    template<class T>
    T atomic_fetch_min_explicit(atomic<T>*, typename atomic<T>::value_type,
        memory_order) noexcept;
    ...
}
```

31.7.3: Specializations for integral types [atomics.ref.int]

— Add following public functions, immediately below `fetch_xor`:

```cpp
...
namespace std {
    template <> struct atomic_ref<integral> {
        ...
        integral fetch_max(integral, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
        integral fetch_min(integral, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
        ...
    };
}

— Change:

Remarks: Except for fetch_max and fetch_min, for signed integer types, the result is as if the object value and parameters were converted to their corresponding unsigned types, the computation performed on those types, and the result converted back to the signed type.

31.7.4: Specializations for floating-point types [atomics.ref.float]

— Add following public functions, immediately below fetch_sub:

namespace std {
    template <> struct atomic_ref<floating-point> {
        ...
        floating-point fetch_max(floating-point, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
        floating-point fetch_min(floating-point, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) const noexcept;
        ...
    };
}

31.7.5: Partial specialization for pointers [atomics.ref.pointer]

— Add following public functions, immediately below fetch_sub:

namespace std {
    template <class T> struct atomic_ref<T *> {
        ...
        T* fetch_max(T *, memory_order = memory_order::seq_cst) const noexcept;
        T* fetch_min(T *, memory_order = memory_order::seq_cst) const noexcept;
    };
}

31.8.3: Specializations for integers [atomics.types.int]

— Add following public functions, immediately below fetch_xor:

namespace std {
    template <> struct atomic<integral> {
        ...
        integral fetch_max(integral, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) volatile noexcept;
        integral fetch_max(integral, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) noexcept;
        integral fetch_min(integral, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) volatile noexcept;
        integral fetch_min(integral, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) noexcept;
        ...
    };
}

— In table 148, [tab:atomic.types.int.comp], add the following entries:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>Op</th>
<th>Computation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>std::max</td>
<td>maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>std::min</td>
<td>minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

— Change:

Remarks: Except for `fetch_max` and `fetch_min`, for signed integer types, the result is as if the object value and parameters were converted to their corresponding unsigned types, the computation performed on those types, and the result converted back to the signed type.

31.8.4: Specializations for floating-point types [atomics.types.float]

— Add following public functions, immediately below `fetch_sub`:

```cpp
namespace std {
    template <> struct atomic<floating-point> {
        ...
        floating-point fetch_max(floating-point, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) volatile noexcept;
        floating-point fetch_max(floating-point, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) noexcept;
        floating-point fetch_min(floating-point, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) volatile noexcept;
        floating-point fetch_min(floating-point, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) noexcept;
        ...
    };
}
```

31.8.5: Partial specialization for pointers [atomics.types.pointer]

— Add following public functions, immediately below `fetch_sub`:

```cpp
namespace std {
    template <class T> struct atomic<T*> {
        ...
        T* fetch_max(T*, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) volatile noexcept;
        T* fetch_max(T*, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) noexcept;
        T* fetch_min(T*, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) volatile noexcept;
        T* fetch_min(T*, memory_order = memory_order_seq_cst) noexcept;
        ...
    };
}
```

— In table 149, [tab:atomic.types.pointer.comp], add the following entries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>Op</th>
<th>Computation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>std::max</td>
<td>maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>std::min</td>
<td>minimum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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