Abstract

This paper proposes that associated_executor not provide a default candidate type.

Background

The Networking TS [1] introduces “associators:” Binary class templates whose arguments are the “source type” and the “candidate type” (respectively) (§13.2.7.8 [async.reqmts.associator]). A default (the “default candidate type”) is required to be provided for the “candidate type” (i.e. an associator must be usable as if it were a unary class template).

The purpose of an associator is to obtain an instance of an “associated object” based on a “source object” (an instance of the source type) and optionally a “candidate object” (an instance of the candidate type). The type of the associated object (i.e. the “associated type”) is available through the type member type alias and the actual computation of the associated object may be performed via the get static member function. This member function must be invocable as if it were a unary or binary function (in the unary case only the source object is accepted whereas the binary case accepts both the source object and candidate object).

There are two associators provided by the Networking TS: associated_executor (§13.12 [async.assoc.exec]) and associated_allocator (§13.5 [async.assoc.alloc]) which obtain objects whose types satisfy the Executor (§13.2.2 [async.reqmts.executor]) and ProtoAllocator (§13.2.1 [async.reqmts.protoallocator]) named type requirements (respectively). They have default candidate types system_executor and allocator<void> (respectively).

P2149R0 [2] was written in response to discussion in Prague 2020 SG4 which brought the design and usability of system_executor into question. P2149R0 proposed a two pronged solution:

- Add inline_executor to replace system_executor as the default candidate type for associated_executor and
- Remove system_executor
Subsequent discussion of P2149R0 on the reflector made it obvious that this two pronged approach was coupling two separable questions:

- Should `system_executor` be the default candidate type for `associated_executor`?
- Should `system_executor` exist at all?

This paper provides a vehicle to consider the former question with subsequent revisions of P2149 being a vehicle to consider the latter.

**Motivation**

In general the presence of a default implies that there is both:

- A choice to be made and
- A certain choice (the default) which is likely to be correct

In choosing either a model of Executor or ProtoAllocator it is clear that the former of these is satisfied. If this was not the case either:

- Associators for these named type requirements would not exist or
- Those associators would be completely unused

Neither of which is the case.

When applied to the selection of a module of ProtoAllocator there is a strong argument to be made that the latter implication is satisfied. Overwhelmingly users do not choose models of Allocator other than `allocator<T>`. This strongly indicates that `allocator<void>` is likely to be the correct choice whenever someone would be faced with a choice of ProtoAllocator. The standard library already reifies this by defaulting Allocator template parameters to `allocator<T>` seemingly at every turn.

The argument for a default Executor is much weaker. In the formulation above it is supposed that a default must be “likely to be correct.” There are two meanings of “correct” which we should consider:

- What the user would choose anyway
- Having properties such that it is an acceptable choice notwithstanding

Based on the author’s experience `io_context::executor_type` is much more likely to be used than `system_executor`. However much current experience (including most of the author’s) with the Networking TS (and Asio) is from a time before P1322 [3].

Post-P1322 a persuasive argument could be made that `system_executor` is what the user chooses in most cases. Discussion in SG4 in Prague 2020 and on the reflector indicates that the raison d’être of `system_executor` is to encapsulate the operating system’s global thread
pool. This global thread pool may have access to information and functionality the user does not which may make it optimal in most situations.

This is where the second meaning of "correct" becomes relevant. Even if users would overwhelmingly choose `system_executor` there are still users who would not and if `system_executor` has properties which make it a surprising, bug prone default it is ill fitted to that role.

`system_executor` has several such properties.

`system_context` is permitted to execute any number of submitted work items in parallel. Users may have strict parallelism requirements enforced by their choice of executor (e.g. the underlying execution context forms an “implicit strand” [4]). Silently falling back to `system_executor` introduces data races (i.e. undefined behavior) in such situations.

`system_context` makes progress on work items in the background detached from any user controlled thread. The user's chosen executor on the other hand may have an underlying execution context which permits the user to control precisely when work is and is not executing (e.g. the “run functions” of `io_context` (§14.2 [io_context.io_context])). If work is silently submitted via `system_executor` then work items may be making progress when the user reasonably believes no such thing can occur. This is another source of accidental data races (i.e. undefined behavior).

`system_context` may arbitrarily extend the lifetime of submitted work items and associated services. While the Networking TS provides a way to ensure that the Networking TS no longer makes forward progress on work items (`system_context::stop` and `system_context::join` (§13.19 [async.system.context])) there is no way to ensure that the lifetimes of all submitted work items and associated services have ended. By contrast a user may intend to use an executor whose underlying execution context allows them to precisely control when the lifetime of work items and services shall end (e.g. `io_context` by way of the ExecutionContext named type requirement (§13.2.3 [async.reqmts.executioncontext]) (in the case of work items) and deriving from `execution_context` (§13.7.1 [async.exec.ctx.dtor]) (in the case of services)). Inadvertently submitting work items to `system_executor` may therefore lead to all manner of lifetime bugs (i.e. undefined behavior).

Notably each of these properties stems from the fact that the singleton instance of `execution_context` is as such a global variable.

P2149R0 proposes `inline_executor` as a default candidate type for `associated_executor`. However as it lacks a stateful execution context instances of this type are unable to provide a satisfactory implementation of post and its implementation thereof simply throws an exception. There's no reason to move what is logically a programming mistake (not concretely specifying where you want code to execute) to runtime.
In trying to synthesize an executor analogue of `std::allocator<void>` the Networking TS has encountered a problem: Memory and execution agents [5] are both be resources which programs must manage but there’s a fundamental difference between the two making the former amenable to a default, global implementation but not the latter: Memory is static and does not perform actions independent being acted upon.

**Proposed Changes**

**Associator**

§13.2.7.8/2-5 [async.reqmts.associator]:

An associator shall be a class template that takes two template type arguments. The first template argument is the source type \( S \). The second template argument is the candidate type \( C \).

The second template argument shall be defaulted to some default candidate type \( D \) that satisfies the type requirements \( R \).

An associator shall additionally satisfy the requirements in Table 6. In this table, \( X \) is a class template that meets the associator requirements, \( S \) is the source type, \( s \) is a value of type \( S \) or \( \text{const } S \), \( C \) is the candidate type, and \( c \) is a (possibly const) value of type \( C \). \( D \) is the default candidate type, and \( d \) is a (possibly const) value of type \( D \) that is the default candidate object.

[...]

Finally, the associator shall provide the following type alias and function template in the enclosing namespace:

```cpp
<template<class S, class C = D> using X_t = typename X<S, C>::type;

<template<class S, class C = D>
typename X<S, C>::type get_X (const S& s, const C& c = d)
{
    return X<S, C>::get(s, c);
}
```

where \( X \) is replaced with the name of the associator class template.

The first and third rows must be stricken from table 6.

**associated_executor**

§13.1 [async.synop]:

template<class T, class Executor = system_executor>
struct associated_executor;

§13.12/1 [async.assoc.exec]:

Class template associated_executor is an associator for the Executor type requirements
with default candidate type system_executor and default candidate object
system_executor().

namespace std {
namespace experimental {
namespace net {
inline namespace v1 {
    template<class T, class Executor = system_executor>
    struct associated_executor {
        using type = see below;
        static type get(const T& t, const Executor& e = Executor()) noexcept;
    };
} // inline namespace v1
} // namespace net
} // namespace experimental
} // namespace std

The second row must be stricken from table 9.

§13.12/2 [async.assoc.exec.members]:

type get(const T& t, const Executor& e = Executor()) noexcept;

[...]
§13.13/1 [async.assoc.exec.get]:

```cpp
template<class T>
associated_executor_t<T> get_associated_executor(const T& t) noexcept;
```

Returns: `associated_executor::get(t)`.

`associated_executor_t`

§13.1 [async.synop]:

[...]

```cpp
template<class T, class Executor = system_executor>
using associated_executor_t = typename associated_executor::type;
```

[...]

`make_work_guard`

§13.1 [async.synop]:

[...]

```cpp
template<class T>
executor_work_guard<associated_executor_t<T>> make_work_guard(const T& t);
```

[...]

§13.17/5-6 [async.make.work.guard]:

```cpp
template<class T>
executor_work_guard<associated_executor_t<T>> make_work_guard(const T& t);
```

Returns: `make_work_guard(get_associated_executor(t))`.

Remarks: This function shall not participate in overload resolution unless `is_executor_v<T>` is false and `is_convertible<T&, execution_context&>::value` is false.

dispatch, post, & defer

Insert the following before §13.22/3 [async.dispatch], §13.23/3 [async.post], and §13.24/3 [async.defer]:
Requires: If given an unspecified type \( E \) which satisfies the Executor requirements
\[
\text{std::is_same_v<associated_executor_t<typename async_completion<CompletionToken, void()>::completion_handler_type, E>, E> is true, the program is ill-formed.}
\]

§13.22/3.2 [async.dispatch], §13.23/3.2 [async.post], and §13.24/3.2 [async.defer]:

- Performs \text{ex.}(...)\text{(std::move(completion.completion_handler), alloc), where ex is the result of get-associated-executor(completion.completion_handler, e), and alloc is the result of get-associated_allocator(completion.completion_handler), e is an instance of E, E is an unspecified type which satisfies the Executor requirements, and ex is not an instance of E.}

§13.22/6 [async.dispatch], §13.23/6 [async.post], and §13.24/6 [async.defer]:

Effects:

- Constructs an object \text{completion} of type async_completion<CompletionToken, void()>, initialized with \text{token}.

- If both std::is_same_v<associated_executor_t<typename async_completion<CompletionToken, void()>::completion_handler_type, Executor>, Executor> and get_associated_executor(completion.completion_handler) == \text{ex} evaluate to true then let \( f \) denote completion.completion_handler, otherwise constructs a function object \( f \) containing as members:
  - a copy of the completion handler \( h \), initialized with std::move(completion.completion_handler),
  - an executor_work_guard object \( w \) for the completion handler's associated executor, initialized with make_work_guard(h, ex)
and where the effect of \( f() \) is:
  - \( w \).get_executor().dispatch(std::move(h), alloc), where alloc is the result of get-associated_allocator(h), followed by
  - \( w \).reset().
- Performs \text{ex.}(...)\text{(std::move(f), alloc), where alloc is the result of get-associated_allocator(completion.completion_handler) prior to the construction of f} immediately after the construction of \text{completion}.

Implementations

Chris Kohlhoff has implemented this paper against “standalone” Asio [6].
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