1. Opening activities

John Spicer opened the meeting at 9:09AM UTC.

1.1 Opening comments, welcome from host

Jamie Allsop welcomes the group. Thank you to the sponsors. Thank you to everyone who helped organise the event. Jamie presents the local amenities.

John Spicer presents the meeting agenda. The agenda is available on the wiki. If you are new, please ask the person next to you for information on how to access the meeting wiki.

1.2 Meeting Guidelines

Every participant is responsible for understanding and abiding by the following:

- The INCITS Antitrust Guidelines (PL22.16)
- The INCITS Patent Policy (PL22.16)
- The ISO Code of Conduct
- The IEC Code of Conduct
- The WG21 Practices and Procedures, and Code of Conduct

John Spicer presents meeting guidelines.
Please make sure you are familiar with these documents.
If you have any CoC concerns you can bring them to me (John Spicer), Herb Suter, or your NB representative.
1.3 Membership, voting rights, and procedures for the meeting

John Spicer presents. Meetings are not public, but we do welcome visitors. We want everyone to speak freely, so please refrain from public disclosure of information. You can take pictures, but avoid people's screens and any protected information.

John Spicer presents voting rights. If you are representing an organization that is considering formally joining PL22.16, or your organization is already a member and you wish to change your voting status, please inform an officer. Check with me (John Spicer) or Hal Finkel if you are not sure about your voting rights. In working groups everyone gets to vote. If you are not up to speed with the topic, do not vote.

Hal Finkel presents. Please sign in on the attendance sheet which is outside of this room. Hal presents the structure of the attendance sheet. If your name is not on the list, or if there is anything wrong with the information on the membership list, please feel free to correct it on the sheet. Wear your name tags to help scribes identify you. If you are not on the list, you will not have a name badge. Please fill in an empty one. If you need a paper number, please use the isocpp.org website. There is information about the paper number system on the meeting independent section of the wiki.

John Spicer presents. We all use the same wiki login. Please do not update wiki unless you have been explicitly told to update the page. Feel free to attach documents. Do not mark edit the page button.

1.4 Introductions

Officers, WG chairs and SG chairs introduce themselves.
First time attendees introduce themselves.
John Spicer welcomes first time attendees.

1.5 Agenda review and approval

John Spicer presents the agenda for the meeting. The meeting will finish no later than 2pm on Saturday, but subgroups may continue working.
The meeting goals described above are derived from the schedule adopted in 2018 and described in: P1000R3

John Spicer presents the meeting goals. Primary goal is resolving NB comments. In addition, there may be some work done on library fundamentals TS features.

PL22/16 motion to approve the meeting agenda. Daveed Vandevoorde moves. Marshall Clow seconds. The motion is unanimously approved by PL22/16.

WG21 motion to approve the meeting agenda. The motion is unanimously approved by WG21.

1.6 Editor's reports, approval of working drafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Editor’s report</th>
<th>Prospective WD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C++20 Standard</td>
<td>N4836</td>
<td>N4835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WG21 motion to approve the working draft. The motion is unanimously approved by WG21.

1.7 Approval of the minutes of the previous meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting</th>
<th>Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WG21 Cologne</td>
<td>N4826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL22.16 Cologne</td>
<td>pl22.16-2019-0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG21 pre-Belfast administrative telecon</td>
<td>N4838</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PL22/16 motion to approve minutes of the previous meeting. Daveed Vandevoorde moves. Barry Hedquist seconds. The motion is unanimously approved by PL22/16.

WG21 motion to approve minutes of the previous meeting. The motion is unanimously approved by WG21.
2. Liaison reports, and WG21 study group reports (see pre-meeting WG21 telecon minutes)

No discussion.

3. WG progress reports and work plans for the week (Core, Evolution, Library, Library Evolution; see pre-meeting WG21 telecon minutes)

No discussion.

4. New business requiring action by the committee

No discussion.

5. Organize working groups and study groups, establish working procedures

John Spicer presents. The WG and SG chairs must arrange for any proposals to be written up in the form of a motion, and made available by 8:00 PM Friday. Everybody is encouraged to put the papers on the straw poll page as soon as possible so people can get familiar with it. Please pay attention to the polls. If you have any questions or concerns, please raise them as soon as possible with the appropriate WG chair. We want to avoid surprises on Saturday morning. The plenary is not an appropriate form to deal with technical concerns.

6. WG and SG sessions

Jens Maurer presents.
Room assignments are on the wiki. Jens Maurer presents the room allocation and WG/SG schedule.
Jens presents evening sessions. Evening sessions should finish by 10pm.

If you need help with core wording, come talk to me (Jens Maurer).
Hal Finkel presents. There is an irc channel we use to locate people. The details are on the wiki page for the meeting.

Herb Sutter presents. We have several new Study Group chairs since Cologne. Lisa Lippincott for SG6.
Barry Revzin for SG10
Hana Dusíková for SG7 at this meeting.
Botond Ballo for EWGI.
Jeff Snyder for SG4.
Thank you to all the chairs.

The purpose of the NB comment resolution stage is to get NB comments from all the national bodies, including those who do not attend. It gives us an opportunity to improve the quality of the document before we ship it. We will still do issues processing. Editorial comments resolution can be done by the editors. For the technical changes we will need input from the SGs. SGs do not make the final decision, but they can give recommendations. If there is no technical consensus for a change in the main working groups, the comment is not accepted. A comment that is not accepted may still result in an issue raised, which will be handled in the usual way. NB comments are not meant for big changes. Any change we make needs to increase consensus. If a comment is revisiting previously made decisions, asking for new features, or removing a feature, we will need near unanimity and no NB strongly opposed to make sure we are not decreasing consensus. There were few papers approved by EWG and LEWG, but that didn't get through the wording groups. I would like EWG and LEWG to re-affirm that they still want them in C++20. NB comments take priority over those papers in the wording groups.

Meeting adjourned at 10:08 AM UTC.

7. Review of the meeting (Saturday 8:30 AM)

John Spicer opened the meeting at 8:30am UTC. Make sure you have marked the attendance sheet, if you have not already done so.

Herb Sutter explains the voting rights.
If you are a member of an NB, you can vote.
If you only represent a US company, only one person can vote.

Two NB comments, US227 and DE002, will go back to LEWG in Prague.

We have all NB comments as issues on GitHub. Thank you to Jens and everyone who helped organise GitHub.
So far we have 201 closed issues. Closed issue means we are done with that NB comment. This includes editorial issues for which we do not need a plenary vote. It also includes duplicate issues, and issues which were considered and for which there was no consensus to change. In addition, there are 26 core issues we are moving today, and 61 for library.

Jens Maurer: some of the library issues will be moved at the next meeting.
Marshall Clow: We are moving about 51 issues at this meeting. There are another 20 which will be resolved in Prague. There seems to be a discrepancy in numbers. I will check where the discrepancy comes from.

Herb continues. We are in very good shape with NB comments.

Peter Bindels: We are not supposed to let anyone else know about the status of committee meeting, but these are publicly visible issues.

Herb Sutter: we are not supposed to share discussion, we can do that once we finish the meeting. These NB comments are public, but we don't care about that. It just captures the status and straw polls, but not people's opinions and statements.

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach: I thought we didn't make GitHub private due to technical difficulties.
Herb Sutter: that is true, but we also didn't think it was necessary. The spirit of the rule is that people should be able to speak freely and there is no record of discussion on GitHub.

WG and SG status and progress reports.

◦ **SG2: Modules (Stone)**

David Stone presents.
SG2 met for day and a half, we had had 41 NB comments to get through. We discussed 30 in SG2 directly.

Main progress at this meeting:
• Partially defined global initialization order
• Improved ability for scanners to find dependencies

Those NB comments which we didn’t discuss in SG2, we discussed in EWG. We discussed all modules related NB comments this week.

◦ **SG4: Networking (Snyder)**

Jeff Snyder presents.
SG4 discussed & approved design of Networking TS improvements related to:
- [completion tokens](https://wg21.link/p1943r0). This will be coming back to SG4 with wording.
- [executors](https://wg21.link/p1322r0). This will have wording added and go to LEWG.
- [dynamic buffers](https://wg21.link/p1790r0). This will have wording added and go to LEWG.

We also had an initial discussion about whether and how secure networking (i.e. TLS/DTLS) should be supported in C++.

The result was that we will aim to include secure networking in C++23, but that we will ship networking support without secure networking if it is not ready in time for C++23.

- **SG5: Transactional memory (Boehm)**

SG5 didn’t meet at this meeting.

- **SG6: Numerics (Lippincott)**

Lisa Lippincott presents. SG6 processed 6 NB comments and 12 papers. We had three joint sessions with LEWGI, and one with SG14. We have three major numerics projects in the pipeline: linear algebra, which comes in two parts, and some work on new number types. We do have an SG6 mailing list, it's called sci. Please sign up for it if you are interested.

- **SG7: Compile-time programming (Carruth)**

Hana Dusíková presents. We had 9 papers including 2 late ones and we were able to discuss all of them. We discussed updates on reflection implementations, specifically side effects of compile-time programming. We got new feedback around what the underlying model should be. It will require significant effort to understand it and describe it. We discussed reflection related topics about unicode and reflection over attributes/properties. We chose to prefer library features implemented with reflection over new language features.

- **SG10: Feature test (Revzin)**

Barry Revzin presents. SG10 met on Tuesday am, discussed:
P1902 (Missing feature-test macros 2017-2019)
P1641 (Freestanding Library: Rewording the Status Quo)
P1642 (Freestanding Library: Easy [utilities], [ranges], and [iterators])

We resolved 6 NB comments. We’re adding/changing 6 language and 30 library macros.
There is a new policy for constexpr language.

No new macros, just increment __cpp_constexpr for

- virtual calls (P1064)
- try/catch (P1002)
- dynamic_cast (P1327)
- change active member of union (P1330)
- asm (P1668)
- default init (P1331)

Major constexpr extensions get their own feature.

- P0784 (More constexpr containers) adds dynamic allocation to the library, that’s a big deal: __cpp_lib_constexpr_dynamic_alloc

Minor extensions (just add constexpr to things) get one macro per header named __cpp_lib_constexpr_headername, which will be bumped on each new addition

- Except for the preexisting __cpp_lib_array constexpr and __cpp_lib_constexpr algorithms
- e.g. P1645 (constexpr for <numeric>) adds __cpp_lib_constexpr_numeric

You can find all this at https://wg21.link/sd6

Titus Winters : do you have the new constexpr policy written down anywhere ?
Barry : not at the moment, but I will add it to this document.

SG12: Undefined and unspecified behavior (Dos Reis)

Gabriel Dos Reis presents.
SG12 met for two days and two half days. We processed 16 NB comments, mostly related to undefined behaviour in the pre processor. We rejected them, but the intent is to fix all of those issues after C++20. On Wednesday SG12 met with MISRA and WG23. There was lots of active discussions with MISRA chair. We reviewed issues integrated from Autosar with Misra and examined questions from MISRA to C++: optimisations which lead to deadcode, essential type. We reviewed a paper by Peter Sommerlad on Class Natures: Kinds of safe types. We plan to have a draft for MISRA after Easter and we will continue to have joint session for the next two meetings.
On Thursday we met with WG23 Almost 4/5 done with WG23 Programming Vulnerability C++ document. We handled the following topics this week: type system, operator precedence and associativity, side effect and order of evaluation, uncontrolled format string, floating point, generics and templates. We will continue the review in Prague.
SG13: HMI & I/O (Human/Machine Interface) (Orr)

Roger Orr presents.
SG13 met on Wednesday morning for half a day. We had 3 papers to look at. One was on webview, for which we gave feedback. We voted strongly to see the update to that paper. For the 2D graphics paper, we had an update with appendices with more detailed response to some of the earlier feedback paper. We also had a new paper on the audio proposal, which identified 6 use cases. We split one of them into two cases. We took a vote on whether to make those critical cases. This helps identify the direction and what is or isn’t important at this stage.

SG14: Games & low latency (Wong)

Michael Wong presents.
SG14 met on Friday morning. We discussed linear algebra and the feedback we got from other groups. The other project we have is heterogenous programming. We reviewed the progress of affinity. We also looked at fiber context paper. We considered some feedback on exception handling data we received in the evening session, collating the ideas that were reviewed. We want to strengthen the data set so anyone can download it and start testing on their own systems. This is not ready yet.

SG19: Machine Learning (Wong)

Michael Wong presents.
We considered two major papers, as well as a third proposal without a paper. The two proposals were statistical math function and graph data structures. Both were given feedback. It will probably take another meeting, but then we may be able to release them. We also had the author for the implementation of automatic differentiation, but without a paper. We did an early review of what the direction could be. We hope to have a paper from the author’s group so we can progress this topic. Feedback and guidance from EWG and LEWG would help.

SG15: Tooling (Adelstein Lelbach)

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach presents.
Tooling Mission Statement:
- Represent the positions and opinions of those who develop tools that interact with C++.
- Review and respond to C++ evolutionary changes that will impact tools that interact with C++.
- Identify and comment on opportunities for tools to influence C++ language evolution.
We met at CppCon 2019 for 1 day, looked at 7 papers. There were 18 attendees. We discussed:
• Modules: Scanners and Mappers
• std::breakpoint
• P1864 Target Tuple

We met in Belfast for half a day and looked at 4 papers. There were 16 attendees. We discussed:
• P1788 Module Recipe and BMI Reuse
• P1905 Indicating Header Importability
• P1881 Epochs

SG16: Unicode (Honermann)

Mark Zeren presents.
Thank you to the scribes (Mark Zeren, Peter Bindels, Steve Downey).
We planned to meet for 1 day, but added another 1/2 day, and still ran short on time. We may need to plan for two days in Prague.
We reviewed 7 NB comments, all of which we recommended "accept" or "accept with modification".
We reviewed 12 papers, 4 of which addressed NB comments.
Of the remaining 8 papers, we forwarded 2 and look forward to revisions of 5 more.
There were 4 papers we planned to review but did not get to, each of which we have discussed previously. For three of those, some requested feedback was provided out of session.
We will continue to host telecons every two weeks or so. Paper authors touching on features discussed in P1253R0, the SG16 guidelines for review paper, such as character encodings, locales, text I/O, or file names, are encouraged to request review of their proposals at a telecon prior to a face-to-face meeting.

SG20: Education (van Winkel)

JC van Winkel presents.
We have met all of Thursday. Thank you to the scribes.
We have been talking about our goal of the project and how to achieve that.
We are creating a project plan that aims to have a standing doc for isocpp.org at the end of 2020, to be fleshed out in the coming weeks.
The guidelines characteristics:
• Iterative and incremental
• Consumer then producer
• Consider the audience
• Have motivating use-cases
• Have actionable learning objectives
We worked out what the structure of the standing doc will be, how the subtasks will be divided among volunteers. We have decided on a module-based approach with multiple topics per module. These modules will be accompanied by outcomes for curriculum designers ("An Instructor Should Be Able To") and student outcomes (A Student Should Be Able To) that are action-driven and measurable. They will include what is in scope and what is not. The topics will include an audience table (http://wg21.link/p1700) and dependencies on other subjects. The idea is that we are not prescribing - a curriculum designer will get food for thought; they can/should pick their own journey and choose examples and exercises from their own experiences.

- **SG21: Contracts (Spicer)**

John Spicer presents.
We had our first face-to-face meeting. About 20 people attended. We collected use cases since Cologne and we had a discussion about those and their priorities. We worked on identifying the areas of disagreement that we will need to get resolved in order to have consensus on the proposals going forward. We have at least 7 papers that people have signed up for to be looked at in Prague.

- **SG1: Concurrency (Giroux)**

Olivier Giroux presents.
Handling of issues on GitHub is really helpful for scheduling. We had 41 people at peak attendance, 30 on average. We had 18 NB comments: 13 non-duplicate, 10 adopted (by SG1), 3 declined. We adopted the design of P0443R11 for ship vehicle TBD (i.e. new papers should be written assuming it is the baseline they build upon or modify). The poll had strong consensus. We are hoping to get this in C++23, and we would like it to get in early.

We had a great evening session, 71 people attended.

Other directions that may be of interest:
We like simplifying Transactional Memory implementations.
We are recommending to zap the Pointer Lifetime-End Zap (P1726).

Thank you to the scribes.

- **SG17: EWG Incubator (Ballo)**

Botond Ballo presents.
SG17 met for 2.5 days. We reviewed around 18 papers.
Outcomes:
Forwarded to EWG
• P1401R2 (Narrowing contextual conversions to bool)
• P1061R1 (Structured Bindings can introduce a Pack)
• P1908R0 (Reserving Attribute Names for Future Use)
• P1029R2 (move = relocates)

Recommend handling as a Core issue:
• P1839R1 (Accessing Object Representations)

Forwarded to EWG with modifications
• P0876R9 (fiber_context - fibers without scheduler)
• P0917R3 (Making operator?: overloadable)
• P1847R1 (Make declaration order layout mandated)
• P1774R1 (Portable optimisation hints)

Gave feedback, did not forward
• P1906R0 (Provided operator= return lvalue-ref on rvalue)
• P1936R0 (Dependent Static Assertion)
• P1858R0 (Generalized pack declaration and usage)
• P1609R2 (C++ Should Support Just-in-Time Compilation)
• P1912R0 (Interconvertible object representations)
• P1881R0 (Epochs: a backward-compatible language evolution mechanism)
• P1112R2 (Language support for class layout control)

Forwarded to other subgroups:
To SG7(Reflection)
• P0957R3 (PFA: A Generic, Extendable and Efficient Solution for Polymorphic Programming)

There are some papers we weren’t able to see this time, but we will see those in Prague.

Herb Sutter : thank you to all the new chairs. SG7 will now be run by Hana Dusíková. Lisa Lippincott is now the SG6 chair.

◆ Evolution (Bastien)

David Stone presents.
EWG had approximately 97 NB comments, 56 non-modules. We got through all NB comments.
Summary or work done:
• std::vector may be possible to implement
• Changed how non-type template parameters work: allow types with all public members, all of which can themselves be used as NTTPs. This allows array members, reference members, pointers and references to subobjects, floating-point, and unions.
• Several concepts NB comments: allow requires clauses on non-template friend functions of class templates
• Several coroutines NB comments, most rejected, a few sent away to write a paper
• Several comments forwarded from the UB group, but they were preferred for C++23
• A few feature test macros comments
• Tons of modules NB comments: including fixing issues around header units, did not postpone modules for C++23
• Began discussing some papers targeted at C++23

We found that it was very helpful to have a study group discuss module NB comments and come back with guidance to the whole group. It saved us time and allowed us to process more NB comments.

SG18 LEWG Incubator (Adelstein Lelbach)

Bryce Adelstein Lelbach presents.
This was the longest LEWGI meeting: 5 days, 30 hours. We saw 20 papers, which is the smallest number of papers we’ve seen, but we have given more time to each paper. We had about 12 to 23 people throughout the week.
11 were new paper that were given direction review, 9 papers were papers that we have seen before and they were given design feedback.

No consensus to pursue : 3
Further LEWGI direction review : 1
Further LEWGI design review : 8
Sent to LEWG : 4
No action or sent to other group : 4

Major Work by LEWGI:
P1750 Process Management
P1883/P1031 Low Level I/O (LLIO)
P1889/P1890 Number Types
P1673/P1385 Linear Algebra
P1350/P1300 Units
P1729 Text Parsing

LEWGI changes in Belfast:
More generous timeboxes to all proposals.
Joint Numerics/LEWGI session.
LEWGI Chair Training Program - please contact me if you want to try chairing.

Planned LEWGI Telecons:
P1883/P1031 Low Level I/O (LLIO)
P1889/P1890 Number Types
P1350/P1300 Units
Future LEWGI Plans:
LEWG’s backlog is long.
~65 papers ready for LEWG in GitHub.
We must continue refining and incubating proposals; LEWG time must be used efficiently.

Thank you to the scribes and everyone who participated. There will be a post Belfast LEWGI feedback survey.

- **Library Evolution (Winters)**

  Titus Winters presents.
  At the start of the week we had 129 NB comments. At the end of the week we had 0 unprocessed NB comments. Thank you to everyone who participated.

  Spent ~4 hours discussing Executors (P0443R11) and related APIs. We will prioritize study of P0443R11 with the (non-binding) goal of forwarding that in the next meeting (Prague). We encourage SG1/P0443 authors to write supplemental material.

  Forwarding P1919R1 to update SD-8. We also had additional discussions about SD-8 wrt. operator syntax.

  I’ve got 4 or 5 more meetings in my tenure. I will start test-runs on new chairs. Email titus@google.com if you want to be considered to take up LEWG chair starting in late 2021.

  Pablo Halpern : What is SD-8 ?
  Titus : It is a public-facing document stating what rights the standard library reserves for changes it will make, for example we reserve the right to add new names to std.

- **Core (Miller)**

  Mike Miller presents.
  CWG spent most of its on NB comments. A number of NB comments had to go through several levels of pre review before CWG. We spent some time waiting for those to arrive to CWG. We also faced challenges because some NB comments had dependencies on people and their availability. We got through all NB comments that were initially triaged to CWG. We did not reject any NB comments, some were accepted with modification. You will see motions for some today. 24 are assigned for drafting before Prague, we hope to see them in motions at the next meeting.

  We deferred US212 calling for making conversion from pointer or pointer-to-member to bool a narrowing conversion. We are in agreement with direction in that paper, the paper calls for categorising that as DR to C++11, which is beyond our
ability to do, as we can only deal with defects in the IS currently in force. It could possibly be a guidance to the implementation as opposed to anything formal. We would like to collect some information on the impact to existing code before Prague in order to decide whether to propose it as a defect report.

We did some issue processing, and saw one C++23 proposal, which we expect to move in Varna when we have a C++23 draft.

Since Cologne, we had 3 telecons. There are 19 issue resolutions that are tentatively ready. We are moving 4 issues that were resolved at this meeting. Calling out issue 2382: if there is an array new expression, the implementation reserves some memory for the size of the array. Should that apply to std library non allocating version of placement new? We proposed to say there is no array overhead for non allocating placement new. We are moving that issue today. If you have concerns, please raise them. We will have two telecons before Prague for issues processing.

P1971 contains some NB comments we are moving today. There is a problem discovered with one of the resolutions. We will remove that resolution from the motions page today and will look at it in Prague. The others should go forward. In addition to P1971, there are 7 papers that were either written as drafting at this meeting or are preexisting papers that were revised at this meeting. Those are addressing NB comments and we will be moving them today.

**CWG Motions**

**Motion 1**
Move to accept as Defect Reports all issues in P1969R0 (Core Language Working Group "ready" Issues for the November, 2019 (Belfast) meeting) and apply the proposed resolutions to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 2**
Move to accept as Defect Reports all issues in P1968R0 (Core Language Working Group "tentatively ready" Issues for the November, 2019 (Belfast) meeting) and apply the proposed resolutions to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 3**
Move to apply the changes in P1971R0 (Core Language Changes for NB Comments at the November, 2019 (Belfast) meeting) to the C++ working paper.
Nathan Sidwell : response to GB79 adds a note and an example which are incor-
rect. We want to fix the example before it gets moved.
Herb Sutter : this is about non-normative text ?
Nathan Sidwell : yes.
Ville Voutilainen: we can fix that editorially.
Herb Sutter : I suggest we do that.
The room agrees.

No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 4
Move to apply the changes in P1972R0 (US105 Check satisfaction of con-
straints for non-templates when forming pointer to function) to the C++ working paper.

Jason Merrill: this fixes a long standing issue with function overloading.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 5
Move to apply the changes in P1975R0 (Fixing the wording of parenthesized aggregate-initialization) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 6
Move to apply the changes in P1874R1 (Dynamic Initialization Order of Non-
Local Variables in Modules) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 7
Move to apply the changes in P1946R0 (Allow defaulting comparisons by val-
ue) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 8
Move to apply the changes in P1907R1 (Inconsistencies with non-type template parameters) to the C++ working paper.
Alisdair Meredith: does this also introduce floating-point non-type template parameter?
Davis Herring: yes.

No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 9**
Move to apply the changes in P1979R0 (Resolution to US086) to the C++ working paper.

Alisdair Meredith: what is that issue?
Davis Herring clarifies the issue.

No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 10**
Move to apply the changes in P1980R0 (Drafting for CA096: Declaration matching for non-dependent requires-clauses) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Library (Clow)**

Marshall Clow presents.
This week was all C++20, all the time.

We started with about 80, got 50+ sent our way during the week.
We rejected 9 of them; and closed ~10 as duplicates.

We looked at a lot of papers, and we're moving 31 here today.
Those papers resolve a total of 51 NB comments.

We also have about 20 NB comments as LWG issues in status "Ready", which will be moved in Prague.

We still have about ~50 NB comments to resolve.

We're moving 8 of the "Mandates" papers this meeting. One more to go.

Thanks to everyone who participated, led small groups, provided wording, scribed.
LWG Motions

Issues

Motion 1
Move to apply the changes in P1917R0 (C++ Standard Library Issues to be moved in Belfast) to the C++ working paper. These issues resolve NB comments: GB166, US297, and US261

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Papers

Motion 2
Move to apply the changes in P1855R0 (Make <compare> freestanding) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments US158, US159, GB160, PL161, RU009, and FI010

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 3
Move to apply the changes in P1690R1 (Refinement Proposal for P0919 Heterogeneous lookup for unordered containers) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments US235, US236, US278, and PL237

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 4
Move to apply the changes in P1872R0 (span should have size_type, not index_type) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments FR240, PL248, and US245

There are objections in the room.
Herb Sutter polls the room.
In favour : 55
Opposed : 3
Abstain : 10
Herb Sutter: is this considered a design change?
Davis Herring: relative to what stage?
Herb Sutter: to the working paper.
Nevin Liber: the author does not think this is a design change.
Bryce Adelstein Lelbach: the original author of span considers this a design change.
Gor Nishanov: the design change happened before, and we are now cleaning up that change.

Herb Sutter polls the room.
Is this a design change?
In favour: 2

Herb Sutter: we consider it a bug fix and there is sufficient consensus.
Motion passes.

Motion 5
Move to apply the changes in P1965R0 (Blanket Wording for Specifying “Hidden Friends”) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comment DE165 and LWG issue 3239.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 6
Move to apply the changes in P1716R3 (ranges compare algorithm are over-constrained) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments PL312, US306, US267, and GB183

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 7
Move to apply the changes in P1869R1 (Rename condition_variable_any interruptible wait methods) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comment PL363

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

Motion 8
Move to apply the changes in P1961R0 (Harmonizing the definitions of total order for pointers) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments US176 and US220

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 9**

Move to apply the changes in P1878R1 (Constraining Readable Types) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments US263, US264, US268 and LWG issue 3279

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 10**

Move to apply the changes in P1871R1 (Concept traits should be named after concepts) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comment US257

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 11**

Move to apply the changes in P1456R1 (Move-only views) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 12**

Move to apply the changes in P1391R4 (Range constructor for std::string_view) to the C++ working paper.

Mathias Stearn: this is not an objection, just a clarification. The example in the paper shows a single argument range constructor being added to string_view. The current version of paper is only adding a two argument range constructor. There is an explanation on why that happened, but it may not be obvious if you just look at the example.

Herb Sutter: does that affect the content of what we're applying to the working paper?
Matthias Stearn: The example in the paper does not match what is being applied to the standard.

No objection to unanimous consent. Motion passes.

**Motion 13**
Move to apply the changes in P1394R4 (Range constructor for std::span) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.  
No objection to unanimous consent.  
Motion passes.

**Motion 14**
Move to apply the changes in P1664R1 (reconstructible_range - a concept for putting ranges back together) to the C++ working paper.

Herb Sutter: there was a discussion about this motion on the reflector. Marshall was willing to remove this motion. I don't want to override the subgroup decision without a poll. Is there any objection to withdrawing this motion?

No objections in the room.  
Motion withdrawn.

**Motion 15**
Move to apply the changes in P1862R1 (Ranges adaptors for non-copyable iterators) to the C++ working paper. These five papers (together) resolve NB comments US272 and DE288

Marshall Clow: the previous motion was removed. This motion should now say “four papers”.

No objection to unanimous consent.  
Motion passes.

**Motion 16**
Move to apply the changes in P1870R1 (forwarding-range<T> is too subtle) to the C++ working paper. This paper resolves NB comments US279 and GB280.

Marshall Clow: there is a typo in the poll. The other number is GB280.  
Motion updated.  
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 17**
Move to apply the changes in P1865R1 (Add max() to latch and barrier) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comment US365.

Hubert Tong: can we add the revision number?
Marshall Clow: the revision is R1.
Motion updated to reflect revision number.

No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 18**
Move to apply the changes in P1960R0 (NB Comment Changes Reviewed by SG1) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments US355, US358, US359, US356, and US364.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 19**
Move to apply the changes in P1902R1 (Missing feature-test macros 2017-2019) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments FI015, GB146, US150, US167, DE168, and LWG issue 2334.

Roger Orr: the date is 2017-2019 and it has been changed in the paper.

No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 20**
Move to apply the changes in P0883R2 (Fixing Atomic Initialization) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments CA353, US351, DE018, and RU006 and LWG issue 2334.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 21**
Move to apply the changes in **P1959R0** (Remove std::weak_equality and std::strong_equality) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comments US170 and CA173

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 22**
Move to apply the changes in **P1892R1** (Extended locale-specific presentation specifiers for std::format) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comment GB226

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 23**
Move to apply the changes in **P1645R1** (constexpr for <numeric> algorithms) to the C++ working paper. This resolves NB comment US320

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Mandating**

**Motion 24**
Move to apply the changes in **P1718R2** (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 25 - Algorithms library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 25**
Move to apply the changes in **P1719R2** (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 26 - Numerics library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 26**
Move to apply the changes in **P1686R2** (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 27 - Time library) to the C++ working paper.
No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 27**
Move to apply the changes in P1720R2 (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 28 - Localization library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 28**
Move to apply the changes in P1721R2 (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 29 - Input/Output library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 29**
Move to apply the changes in P1722R2 (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 30 - Regular Expression library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 30**
Move to apply the changes in P1723R2 (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 31 - Atomics library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

**Motion 31**
Move to apply the changes in P1622R3 (Mandating the Standard Library: Clause 32 - Thread support library) to the C++ working paper.

No discussion.
No objection to unanimous consent.
Motion passes.

- **Direction Group** (Hinnant)
Howard Hinnant presents.
Direction Group met briefly. We’re pleased with the quality and speed of progress. We are encouraged by the process, we are continuing to improve the process. The direction paper has been updated in the pre-meeting mailing, please take a look at it. We support and agree with Ville’s plan for C++23, and some of it is in the directions paper. The new direction paper number will be P2000. We don't know when it will come out yet.
If you are planning a proposal for C++23 and you are not already gathering field experience, you probably should.

- **ABI Group (Vandevoorde)**

Daveed Vandevoorde presents.
We will start very soon. I was approached with some questions, thank you very much. Please send me an e-mail to remind me. If you have some doubts about ABI, please contact us.

Walter Brown: will the group have a mailing list and will it be open?
Daveed: we have one internal mailing list for discussions, and one is an isocpp open mailing list.

8. Closing activities

8.1 Issues delayed until today

Frank Birbacher presents.
I encourage more volunteering to be a scribe. I have been a scribe in a few sessions at this meeting. I was afraid of hidden traps I didn't know about or that some training was required. I felt discouraged with that. I want to point out that for being a scribe you do not need to be a long standing member, you don't need to know everybody's name, nor do you need to know the topic. Maybe the focus on the scribes should be less, and we should focus on the chairs more. Thank you.

8.2. PL22.16 motions, if any

No discussion.

9. Plans for the future (PL22.16)
9.1 Next and following meetings

2020-02-10/15: Prague, Czechia (N4817)

Please book the hotel soon.

2020-06-08/13: Varna, Bulgaria (N4825)
Vasil Vassilev presents.
Lots of hotels near the venue. There are only 75 pre booked rooms at the meeting hotel. There is a computer graphics conference in Sofia. I encourage you to attend. Any further question, drop me an email.

Herb: there is a Chaos Group meeting before WG21 meeting. There is also a conference after the Varna meeting - C++ On Sea. you can find more cfp.cpponsea.uk

2020-11-16 to 21: New York, NY, USA; Bloomberg
Details will be in the post-meeting mailing

David Hollman: there is a supercomputing conference that will coincide with this meeting.

Herb Sutter polls the room on who can't attend the suggested dates. 12 people of which several are subgroup chairs.
Herb Sutter polls the room on who can't attend the week before, which is the week of Meeting C++. 2 people.

2021-02-22 to 27: Kona, HI, USA; Standard C++ Foundation, Plum Hall, Jens Maurer
The details are already available.

2021-summer: (Montreal, Canada)
2021-autumn: (tbd)
2022-02-07 to 12: Portland, OR, USA; Intel

Erich Keane: WG14 meeting is the week before in the same place

9.2. Mailings

- 2019-11-25: Post-Belfast
- 2020-01-13: Pre-Prague
10. Adjournment

LWG will meet at 1pm.
CWG will meet at 1pm.
EWG will not meet this afternoon.
SG1 will not meet this afternoon.

Walter Brown presents.
Thank you the host and the sponsors.
Thank you to everyone that participated and those who helped us participate.

PL22.16 motion to adjourn.
Approved by unanimous consent.

John Spicer adjourns the meeting at 11:14 am UTC.
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