Constraining Readable Types

1 Abstract

There are a number of serious issues in the current definitions of the readable and indirectly_swappable concepts and their associated types that prevent them from properly constraining the algorithms with which they are constrained. Several of these are the subject of US NB comments. They all have to do with whether or not const- and/or reference-qualified types (lvalues and rvalue) model readable and/or indirectly_swappable. This paper treats all these problems together and suggests a simple fix for the problems, addressing the NB concerns.

2 The Problems

2.1 Problem #1: The readable concept is sensitive to const-ness and value category

The readable concept is what gives input iterators their “read-ability” via the unary operator* syntax, and the iter_ associated types (iter_value_t, iter_reference_t, and iter_rvalue_reference_t). The formulation in [N5410] is as follows:

```
template<class In>
concept readable =
  requires {
    typename iter_value_t<In>;
    typename iter_reference_t<In>;
    typename iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>;
  } &
  common_reference_with<iter_reference_t<In>&&, iter_value_t<In>&> &
  common_reference_with<iter_reference_t<In>&&, iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>&&> &
  common_reference_with<iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>&&, const iter_value_t<In>&>;
```

This is testing that the associated types are well-formed. The presence of a unary operator* is implied by the well-formed-ness of the iter_reference_t associated type, which is specified as:

```
template<dereferenceable T>
using iter_reference_t = decltype(*declval<T&>());
```

There are a couple of problems with this formulation of readable<In>:

1. Only an lvalue of type In is required to be readable. Rvalues are not required to be readable, nor are const lvalues. The STL as specified assumes that the value category and const-ness of an iterator does not affect whether it can be dereferenced. The readable concept should capture this.
2. Nothing about this formulation is requiring that, say, \texttt{iter\_value\_t<X>} names the same type as \texttt{iter\_value\_t<\texttt{const} X>}; or that \texttt{iter\_reference\_t<X>} names the same type as \texttt{iter\_reference\_t<\texttt{const} X>}. Most code that is generic over input iterators is not equipped to deal with iterator types that violate these assumptions. The \texttt{readable} concept should require that the associated types are insensitive to top-level \texttt{const} and reference qualification.

The fix would be for the \texttt{readable} concept to add additional requirements to test all the permutations of \texttt{const} and reference qualification for all the associated types and also for the unary \texttt{operator\_*} expression. That is obviously prohibitively expensive, both in specification complexity as well as with compile-time resources.

This specification difficulty is not new, and the concepts in the Standard Library make use of a syntactic convention to opt-in to all such permutations of \texttt{const} qualification and value category: \textit{implicit expression variations}.

The exact meaning of implicit expression variations, and how a concept definition opts-in to these extra syntactic and semantic constraints, are specified in [concepts.equality]/p6-8. In short, if the local parameters declared in a \texttt{requires-expression} are \texttt{const}-qualified, any use of that parameter as the operand of a required expression generates implicit variations of that required expression that are \textit{also} required, each of which uses the operand with a different \texttt{const} qualification and/or value category. Implementations are not required to syntactically enforce these extra requirements, but a type only models the concept if it supports all the required expressions, explicit and implicit.

The fix to the \texttt{readable} concept is to reformulate it so that we get the benefit of implicit expression variations. See below:

```cpp
template<class In>
concept readable-impl = // exposition-only
  requires(const In in) {
    typename iter_value_t<In>;
    typename iter_reference_t<In>;
    typename iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>;
    { *in } -> same_as<iter_reference_t<In>>;
    { iter_move(in) } -> same_as<iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>>;
  } &&
  common_reference_with<iter_reference_t<In> && iter_value_t<In> &&
  common_reference_with<iter_reference_t<In> && iter_rvalue_reference_t<In> &&
  common_reference_with<iter_rvalue_reference_t<In> && const iter_value_t<In>;

template<class In>
concept readable =
  readable-impl<remove_cvref_t<In>>;
```

The above change uses the implicit variations to enforce that all permutations of \texttt{const} qualification and value category on an iterator give the same semantics and have the same \texttt{iter\_reference\_t} and \texttt{iter\_rvalue\_reference\_t}. However, it says nothing about \texttt{iter\_value\_t} since that type is not a part of any of the required expressions that involve the local parameter \texttt{in} declared in the \textit{required expression}.

We can fix this by redefining the \texttt{iter\_value\_t} alias to strip top-level \texttt{const} and reference qualification before using it to instantiate \texttt{std::iterator\_traits} and \texttt{std::readable\_traits}. See the section Proposed Resolution.

After this change, a type such as \texttt{std::optional} no longer models \texttt{readable} because the return type of its unary \texttt{operator\_*} member is different depending on the \texttt{const}-ness of the \texttt{std::optional}.

Presently, we have no examples of algorithms that are generic over pointer-and-iterator-like things and optional-like things, so the lack of a concept that can be used to constrain such algorithms is not troubling. However, to indicate that \texttt{readable} is not usable for constraining operations on types that do not represent an indirection, we might consider renaming \texttt{readable} to \texttt{indirectly\_readable}. This paper does not propose that, but it would probably be worth polling.
2.2 Problem #2: indirectly_swappable only tests that iter_swap is callable with lvalue iterators

The formulation of indirectly_swappable in [N5410] is as follows:

```cpp
template<class I1, class I2 = I1>
concept indirectly_swappable =
    readable<I1> && readable<I2> &&
    requires(I1& i1, I2& i2) {
        ranges::iter_swap(i1, i1);
        ranges::iter_swap(i2, i2);
        ranges::iter_swap(i1, i2);
        ranges::iter_swap(i2, i1);
    };
```

This requires that lvalue expressions of the two iterator types are indirectly swappable by passing the lvalues to the iter_swap customization point. However, this concept does not require that rvalues can be passed to iter_swap. Permuting algorithms are expected to frequently be implemented in terms of expressions such as iter_swap(i+n, j+m), making this oversight somewhat embarrassing. We do not intend to over-constrain the algorithms by requiring authors to assign iterator expressions to local variables in order to swap the elements they denote.

An earlier formulation of indirectly_swappable (in [P0022R2]) made use of implicit expression variations ([concepts.equality]/p6) to handle all the necessary combinations of const- and non-const-qualification and lvalue and rvalue categories for the iter_swap arguments, as shown below (in the syntax of the Concepts TS):

```cpp
template <class I1, class I2>
concept bool IndirectlySwappable() {
    return Readable<I1>() && Readable<I2>() &&
    requires (const I1 i1, const I2 i2) {
        [ Editor's note: Implicit expression variations here. ]
        iter_swap(i1, i2);
        iter_swap(i2, i1);
        iter_swap(i1, i1);
        iter_swap(i2, i2);
    };
}
```

It was changed in a misguided effort to generalize iter_swap to make it possible to swap instances of types that use pointer-like syntax but do not represent an indirection, like std::optional.

The fix is to restore the formulation that made use of implicit expression variations. iter_swap will still be usable with types like std::optional, but an algorithm making use of such syntax cannot be constrained with indirectly_swappable after the proposed change.

2.3 Problem #3: shared_ptr<int>& does not satisfy readable

In the formulation of readable in [N5410], the type std::shared_ptr<int> models readable, but std::shared_ptr<int>& does not. This is an unintended consequence of the particular implementation of iter_value_t and how it dispatches to std::readable_traits. In particular, in [N5410] readable is defined in terms of iter_value_t<In> which does not strip top-level reference qualifiers from readable_traits<In> before looking for a nested ::value_type.

The specification of readable_traits<In> gives it a nested ::value_type if In::value_type is well-formed and names a type. That is not the case if In is a reference type.

One possible fix is to define readable<In> in terms of iter_value_t<remove_reference_t<In>>. However, the fix to readable described above in Problem #1 – that is, changing the definition of iter_value_t to strip top-level cv and ref qualification – suffices to fix this problem as well.
3 Implementation Experience

The proposed resolution below has been applied to range-v3. All tests passed after the change.

After making the suggested change to the `readable` concept, someone filed a bug about `std::optional` no longer satisfying `readable`. The code demonstrating the problem is shown below:

```cpp
// This compiled before the change but not after.
views::generate( [](){ return std::optional<int>{ 1 }; } ) | views::indirect;
```

In range-v3 `views::indirect` is a view that transforms a range of `readable` values into a range of the values to which the `readables` refer. Implicit in `views::indirect` is the assumption that the `readables` actually represent an indirection; that is, `views::indirect` assumes the reference returned by a `readable` object’s `operator*` is valid even after the `readable` object itself is destroyed. This is certainly not the case for `std::optional` or any other readable-like types that do not represent an indirection. In short, this change helped the user to find a source of undefined behavior in their code, which reinforces the authors’ belief that this change is correct.

4 Proposed Resolution

The following proposed resolution resolves the three issues described above in a consistent way.

[Editor’s note: Change [incrementable.traits]/p2 as follows (to be consistent with the change to `iter_value_t` below): ]

2 The type `iter_difference_t<I>` denotes

2.1 `incrementable_traits<remove_cvref_t<I>>::difference_type` if `iterator_traits<remove_cvref_t<I>>` names a specialization generated from the primary template, and

2.2 `iterator_traits<remove_cvref_t<I>>::difference_type` otherwise.

[Editor’s note: Change [readable.traits]/p2 as follows: ]

2 The type `iter_value_t<I>` denotes

2.1 `readable_traits<remove_cvref_t<I>>::value_type` if `iterator_traits<remove_cvref_t<I>>` names a specialization generated from the primary template, and

2.2 `iterator_traits<remove_cvref_t<I>>::value_type` otherwise.

[Editor’s note: The following change to [iterator.cust.swap]/p2 is a clean-up made possible by the above change to `iter_value_t:` ]

2 Let `iter-exchange-move` be the exposition-only function:

```cpp
template<class X, class Y>
constexpr iter_value_t<remove_reference_t<X>> iter-exchange-move(X&& x, Y&& y)
noexcept(noexcept(iter_value_t<remove_reference_t<X>>(iter_move(x))) &&
     noexcept(*x = iter_move(y)));
```

3 Effects: Equivalent to:

```cpp
iter_value_t<remove_reference_t<X>> old_value(iter_move(x));
*x = iter_move(y);
return old_value;
```

[Editor’s note: Change [iterator.concept.readable]/p1 as follows: ]

1 Types that are indirectly readable by applying `operator*` model the `readable` concept, including pointers, smart pointers, and iterators.
template<class In>
concept readable = // readable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>requires(const In in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| typename iter_value_t<In>;
| typename iter_reference_t<In>;
| typename iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>;
| { *in } -> same_as<iter_reference_t<In>>;
| { iter_move(in) } -> same_as<iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>>;
| } &&
| common_reference_with<iter_reference_t<In>&&, iter_value_t<In>&& &&
| common_reference_with<iter_reference_t<In>&&, iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>&&& &&
| common_reference_with<iter_rvalue_reference_t<In>&&, const iter_value_t<In>&& &&

template<class In>
concept readable = readable-impl<remove_cvref_t<In>>;

1 The indirectly_swappable concept specifies a swappable relationship between the values referenced by two readable types.

template<class I1, class I2 = I1>
concept indirectly_swappable = readable<I1> && readable<I2> &&
requires(I1& const I1 i1, I2& const I2 i2) {
  ranges::iter_swap(i1, i1);
  ranges::iter_swap(i2, i2);
  ranges::iter_swap(i1, i2);
  ranges::iter_swap(i2, i1);
};

12 In the description of the algorithms, operator + is used for some of the iterator categories for which it does not have to be defined. In these cases the semantics of \(a + n\) are the same as those of

auto tmp = a;
for (; n < 0; ++n) --tmp;
for (; n > 0; --n) ++tmp;
return tmp;

Similarly, operator - is used for some combinations of iterators and sentinel types for which it does not have to be defined. If [a, b) denotes a range, the semantics of \(b - a\) in these cases are the same as those of

iter_difference_t<remove_reference_t<decltype(a)>> n = 0;
for (auto tmp = a; tmp != b; ++tmp) ++n;
return n;

and if [b, a) denotes a range, the same as those of

iter_difference_t<remove_reference_t<decltype(b)>> n = 0;
for (auto tmp = b; tmp != a; ++tmp) --n;
return n;

[ Editor’s note: Change [alg.req.ind.swap] as follows: ]

[ Editor’s note: Change [algorithms.requirements]/p12 as follows (this is merely a simplification): ]

[ Editor’s note: Change [istreambuf.iterator.proxy]/p1 as follows: ]

5
Class `istreambuf_iterator<charT,traits>::proxy` is for exposition only. An implementation is permitted to provide equivalent functionality without providing a class with this name. Class `istreambuf_iterator<charT, traits>::proxy` provides a temporary placeholder as the return value of the postincrement operator (`operator++`). It keeps the character pointed to by the previous value of the iterator for some possible future access to get the character.

```cpp
namespace std {
    template<class charT, class traits>
    class istreambuf_iterator<charT, traits>::proxy { // exposition only
        charT keep_;  
        basic_streambuf<charT,traits>* sbuf_;  
        proxy(charT c, basic_streambuf<charT,traits>* sbuf)
            : keep_(c), sbuf_(sbuf) { }          
        public:
            charT operator*() const { return keep_; }    
    };
}
```