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Abstract

Even in a Unicode only environment, transcoding, transforming, and transliterating text is important, and should be supported by the C++ standard library in a user extensible manner. This paper does not propose a solution, but outlines the characteristics of a desired facility.

1 Transcoding, Transforming, and Transliteration

Even in a Unicode only environment, transcoding, transforming, and transliterating text is important, and only becomes more important when dealing with other text encoding systems.

Transcoding Converting text without loss of fidelity between encoding systems, such as from UTF-8 to UTF-32, or from ASCII to a Latin-1 encoding. Transcodings will be reversible.

Transforming Generalized conversion of text, case mapping, normalization, or script to script conversion.

Transliteration The mapping of one character set or script to another, such as from Greek to Latin, where the transform may not be reversible. The source is approximated by one or several encoded characters.
1.1 Transcoding

It should be fairly clear that even if Unicode processing is done all in an implementation defined encoding, communicating with the rest of the world will require supplying the expected encodings. Fortunately conversion between the UTF encodings is straightforward, and can be easily done codepoint by codepoint. There are common mistakes made, however, such as transcoding UTF-16 surrogate pairs into distinct UTF-8 encoded codepoints. Often known as WTF-8.¹

Character encodings other than Unicode are still in wide use. Native CJKV encodings are still commonly used for Asian languages, as many systems are in place that were standardized before Unicode was adopted. There are also issues with, for example, mapping Japanese encodings where the same character exists in more than one location in the JIS encoding.

Real world systems, even ones that may only emit Unicode in a single encoding, are likely to have to deal with input in a variety of encodings.

1.2 Transformation

Unicode has standardized a variety of text transformation algorithms, such as case mappings, and normalizations. They also specify various "tailorings" for the algorithms, in order to support different languages, cultures, and scripts.

ICU, the International Components for Unicode² provides a generalized transformation mechanism, providing:

1. Uppercase, Lowercase, Titlecase, Full/Halfwidth conversions
2. Normalization
3. Hex and Character Name conversions
4. Script to Script conversion³

They provide a comprehensive and accurate mechanism for text to text conversions. An example from the ICU users guide

```cpp
myTrans = Transliterator::createInstance(    
    "any-NFD; [:nonspace mark:] any-remove; any-NFC", UTRANS_FORWARD, status)
myTrans.transliterate(myString);
```

This transliterates an ICU string in-place. There are other versions that may be more useful.

Searching github shows in the neighborhood of 32K uses of Transliterator::createInstance

---

¹The WTF-8 encoding
²International Components for Unicode
³General Transforms
1.3 Transliteration

Transliteration is a subset of general transformations, but a very common use case. Converting to commonly available renderable characters comes up frequently.

A widely used implementation of transliteration is in the GNU iconv package, where appending “//TRANSLIT” to the requested to-encoding will be changed such that:

when a character cannot be represented in the target character set, it can be approximated through one or several similarly looking characters.

sdowney@kit:~
$ echo abc ß € à.debç | iconv -f UTF-8 -t ASCII//TRANSLIT
abc ss EUR abc

In this example the German letter ß is transliterated to two ascii s's, the Euro currency symbol is transliterated to the string 'EUR', and the letters à.debç have their diacritics stripped and are converted to letters 'abc'. The similar program, uconv, based on ICU does not do the € translation, leaving the Euro untouched.

sdowney@kit:~
$ echo abc ß € à.debç | uconv -c -x Any-ASCII
abc ss € abc

The //TRANSLIT facility is exported by many programming languages, such as R, perl, and PHP, in their character conversion APIs.

There are over 7 million hits on github for iconv, and 320K hits for TRANSLIT and iconv.

Providing a migration path for users of “//TRANSLIT” would be a great benefit.

2 Private Character Sets and the Unicode Private Use Area

In the beginning we standardised character sets, like the American Standard Code for Information Interchange, in order to be able to communicate between systems. However, there is a long history of systems using their own encodings and symbols internally.

As you can see in figure 1 there are glyphs rendered for codepoints that in ASCII are non-printing. The high characters include line drawing and accented characters. The original PC was influential enough that the character set became well known and effectively standardized.
Figure 1: The IBM PC Character Set

Figure 2: Bloomberg Terminal Font 0
Figure 2 has the current form of the font originally used by the Bloomberg hardware terminal. It was designed for internationalized finance. It includes the accented characters needed for western European languages, fractions and other special symbols used in finance, and a selection of half-width characters to minimize use of screen real estate. The only non printing character is the space character. Even character 0x00 is in use, for {LATIN CAPITAL LETTER C WITH CEDILLA}. Originally, null terminated strings were not used, instead character arrays and a size were the internal character format. This has, of course, caused issues over the years. However, it meant that almost all European languages could be used natively by the terminal.

Today this character encoding is used only for legacy data. Data is translated to Unicode, usually UTF-8, as soon as it is accepted, and maintained that way throughout the system, if it is not originally in a UTF encoding. Legacy data where the encoding is known are usually translated to modern encodings at the first opportunity. The encoding being known is occasionally a challenge. As the company expanded outside of Europe and the Americas, additional local encodings were added, but data was not always tagged with the encoding, leading to complications.

There is still the necessity for maintaining the characters used for financial purposes. In particular, communicating financial fractions concisely and accurately. Unicode has standard fractions to 1/8th precision, ⅛ ¼ ⅜ ½ ⅝ ¾ ⅞, but in finance, fractions down to 1/64th are routinely quoted. Bloomberg internally uses codepoints in the Unicode Private Use Area to represent these fractions, as well as the rest of the legacy character sets. This allows convenient mappings between scripts, treating the private codepage as a distinct Unicode script. This is the intended use of the Private Use Area, ranges of codepoints that will not be assigned meaning by the Unicode Consortium. 4

Bloomberg will generally transliterate private characters when externalizing data. For example, in sending out email:
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4Private Use Area
Transliteration is in wide use, however none of the existing facilities fit well with modern C++ or the current proposals for standardising Unicode text facilities. Providing extensible transliteration facilities will enable transition to the new libraries. Transcoding is also a requirement for dealing with existing fixed APIs, such as OS HMI facilities.

3.1 Issues with existing facilities

- iconv is char* based, and has an impedance mismatch with modern Ranges, as well as with iterators
- iconv relies on an error code return and checking errno as a callback mechanism
- 'Streaming' facilities generally involve block operations on character arrays and handling underflow
- ICU relies on inheritance for the types that can be transformed
- Stringly-typed interfaces that are not at all type safe on the operations being requested

Some initial experiments using the new Ranges facilities suggest that 'streaming' can be externalized without significant cost via iterators over a view::join on a underlying stream of blocks. This would certainly expand the reach of an API, while simplifying the interior implementation. Transcoding and transliteration APIs should generally not operate in place, and should accept Range views as sources and output ranges as sinks for their operations.
3.2 Desired Features

3.2.1 Ranges

It should be possible to apply the any of the transcoding or transliteration algorithms on any range that exposes code units or codepoints. General transformation algorithms may require codepoints. Combining algorithms that transform charset encoded code units to codepoints and feeding that view into an algorithm for further transformation should be both natural and efficient.

3.2.2 Open extension in build time safe way

The set of character sets and scripts is not fixed and must be developer extensible. This extension should not require initialization in main or dynamic loading of modules, as both lead to potentially disastrous runtime errors. It is entirely reasonable to require compile time definitions of character sets or scripts and require that library facilities be linked in if custom encodings are used. Stringly typed entities are problematic, and since the universe of character sets is not fixed, standard library enums are not a good solution either. NTTPs are possible areas of research, as are invocable objects.

3.2.3 Exception neutral error handling

Misencodings of all kinds are, unfortunately, not actually exceptional in text processing, particularly at the input perimeter. APIs that treat the various issues as normal would be preferred. Both specifying typical mechanisms for handling issues, such as substitution characters for un-decodable input, or standardized callback mechanisms. Certainly avoid the pattern of return -1, check errno, fix and resume.