std::assume_aligned

Timur Doumler (papers@timur.audio)
Chandler Carruth (chandlerc@google.com)

Document #: P1007R2 Date: 2018-10-08

Project: Programming Language C++ Audience: Library Working Group

Abstract

We propose a new function template std::assume_aligned. This utility takes a pointer and hints to the compiler that the value of this pointer is a memory address aligned to a certain number of bytes. This will allow the compiler to generate better-optimised code. Currently, compiler vendors offer various built-ins to achieve the same effect. We propose to standardise this existing practice. This paper is a follow-up to [P0886R0]. We propose to add this functionality via a library function instead of a core language attribute.

1 Motivation

Consider a pointer to data allocated at an over-aligned memory address. Such data can be obtained, for example, from the std::align_val_t version of operator new, or from std::align. If such a pointer is passed on to another function, or returned from one, it is often desirable to make the over-alignment property transparent to the compiler. This will allow the compiler to generate better optimised code. Use cases include:

- Often, the compiler will auto-vectorise a loop over a buffer with contiguous data, generating SIMD instructions. We can make such a buffer to be over-aligned to the SIMD register size. If we could make this property visible to the compiler, it could skip the loop prologue and epilogue, resulting in fewer branches and instructions, and generate aligned SIMD move instructions instead of unaligned ones. This results in a significant performance improvement on some platforms.
- To implement low-latency file I/O, it is useful to have pointers to data known to be aligned to the cache line size, the page size, the huge page size and so on. This way the algorithm can make the correct assumptions for data that can be accessed via DMA (direct memory access).

See [P0886R0] for a more detailed discussion of these use cases and motivating code examples.

2 The problem

Consider a function modifying a range of contiguously stored numerical data:

```
void mult(float* x, int size, float factor)
{
    for (int i = 0; i < size; ++i)
        x[i] *= factor;
}</pre>
```

Assume further that a static invariant of the program is that float* x will always point to a buffer aligned to the SIMD register size.

How can we make the over-alignment property transparent to the compiler? In case size is known at compile time, we could wrap the buffer into a class with an alignment specification:

```
template <typename T, std::size_t size, std::size_t alignment>
struct alignas(alignment) aligned_pack
{
    T data[size];
};
```

But what if the data is dynamically allocated and size is not known at compile time?

In this case, even if there is some class wrapping the data, access will ultimately be through a pointer of some type T*. Given such a pointer, the compiler will always assume the natural alignment requirement of type T, because any such pointer value is considered valid. We are missing out on optimisations that would otherwise be easy for the compiler to perform.

How can we fix this? Any attempt to create a wrapper class that works like aligned_pack above, but with a dynamic buffer size, will not work using current standard C++. Existing tools such as alignof and alignas are useless: they only operate on types, but here, alignment is the property of a value. This property is not static, but dynamic — it will cease to be true after the pointer is incremented or assigned to. There is currently no way in C++ to express such a property, and this problem cannot be solved via the type system.

3 Status quo: platform-specific compiler built-ins

Several popular compiler vendors already offer custom built-ins that exist specifically to solve this problem. Unfortunately, the syntax and semantics vary between vendors.

GCC and Clang offer a built-in function

```
void* __builtin_assume_aligned(const void* ptr, size_t N);
```

which returns its first argument, and allows the compiler to assume that the pointer returned is aligned to least N bytes. ICC offers a similar built-in,

```
__assume_aligned(ptr, N);
```

Note that unlike GCC/Clang's built-in, the ICC built-in semantics are that of an assertion on the pointer passed in, and not an assumption on the pointer returned.

MSVC does not have a built-in specifically for the aligned assumption, but instead a more generic built-in

```
__assume(expression)
```

which however can be used to achieve the same effect. Other languages offer similar tools: OpenMP has #pragma omp simd aligned, and Fortran has a compiler directive ASSUME_ALIGNED.

The goal of the paper is to standardise existing practice: we propose to add a standard C++ version of the above built-ins to enable cross-platform use of this feature.

4 Previous work and committee guidance

A previous paper [P0886R0] proposed to add this functionality to C++ through a new standard attribute, [[assume_aligned(N)]]. The guidance given by EWG in Jacksonville (2018) was that having this functionality in C++ is desirable, but not as an attribute. We should not introduce an attribute that appertains to *values*, even though syntactically it appears to appertain to *objects*. We also should not add a core language feature if this can be done in the library via a "magic" function.

We addressed all of EWG's concerns with R0 of this proposal. It was discussed by LEWG in Rapperswil (2018). The design was approved with one modification (adding [[nodiscard]]) and forwarded to LWG for C++20. R1 was discussed by LWG in Batavia (2018). The modifications requested (cleaning up wording and removing noexcept) are in the present R2.

5 Proposed solution

We propose the following new standard library function template:

```
template<size_t N, class T>
[[nodiscard]] constexpr T* assume_aligned(T* ptr);
```

It takes a pointer and returns it unchanged, but allows the compiler to assume that the pointer returned is aligned to at least N bytes. If the pointer passed in is not aligned to at least N bytes, calling assume_aligned results in undefined behaviour. If N is not an alignment requirement (a power of two), the program is ill-formed.

Note that we chose the semantics of an assumption on the pointer returned (like GCC/Clang's built-in), and not that of an assertion on the pointer passed in (like ICC/MSVC's built-in). The reason is that the former can be implemented in terms of the latter (see example implementation in section 6), but not vice versa.

The function proposed here has some differences to GCC and Clang's __builtin_assume_aligned to facilitate its usage in modern, generic C++ code. The alignment N is a non-type template parameter (rather than a function parameter). The function operates on a pointer of some concrete type T* (instead of a void* pointer). We added [[nodiscard]] to emphasise that the alignment guarantee holds for the returned pointer. We further added constexpr. Following the guidelines in [N3279] and [P0884R0], even though this function will never throw, the function is not marked noexcept because it has a narrow contract.

A call to this function in client code (re-using the example from section 2) could look like this:

A function that returns a pointer T*, and guarantees that it will point to over-aligned memory, could return like this:

```
T* get_overaligned_ptr()
{
    // code...
    return std::assume_aligned<N>(_data);
}
```

This technique can be used e.g. in the begin() and end() implementations of a class wrapping an over-aligned range of data. As long as such functions are inline, the over-alignment will be transparent to the compiler at the call-site, enabling it to perform the appropriate optimisations without any extra work by the caller.

6 Possible implementations

std::assume_aligned is implementable today on all major C++ compilers by exploiting the aforementioned compiler built-ins. The following example implementation works for $\mathbb{N} \leq 128$ on current versions of Clang, GCC, MSVC, and ICC:

```
#include <cstddef>
#include <cstdint>
template <std::size_t N, typename T>
#if defined(__clang__) || defined(__GNUC__)
__attribute__((always_inline))
#elif defined(_MSC_VER)
__forceinline
#endif
[[nodiscard]] constexpr T* assume_aligned(T* ptr)
#if defined(__clang__) || (defined(__GNUC__) && !defined(__ICC))
    return reinterpret_cast<T*>(_builtin_assume_aligned(ptr, N));
#elif defined(_MSC_VER)
    if ((reinterpret cast<std::uintptr t>(ptr) & ((1 << \mathbb{N}) - 1)) == 0)
        return ptr;
    else
        __assume(0);
#elif defined( ICC)
    switch (N) {
        case 2:
                 __assume_aligned(ptr, 2);
        case 4:
                 __assume_aligned(ptr, 4);
                 __assume_aligned(ptr, 8);
        case 8:
        case 16: __assume_aligned(ptr, 16);
        case 32: __assume_aligned(ptr, 32);
        case 64: __assume_aligned(ptr, 64);
        case 128: __assume_aligned(ptr, 128); break;
    }
    return ptr;
#else
    // Unknown compiler — do nothing
    return ptr;
#endif
}
```

For compilers that do not support this optimisation at all, we can provide a trivial implementation that does nothing and just returns its argument unchanged. Calling it would have no effect. Such behaviour is conforming to the wording proposed here.

In principle, std::assume_aligned could be implemented using a contract. The following might work on some compilers:

```
#include <cstddef>
#include <cstdint>

template <std::size_t N, typename T>
[[nodiscard]] constexpr T* assume_aligned(T* ptr)
        [[expects: reinterpret_cast<std::uintptr_t>(ptr) & ((1 << N) - 1)) == 0]]
{
    return ptr;
}</pre>
```

However, the expression inside the contract precondition above is not portable. The current definition of [basic.align] does not allow for the conclusion that this expression (or, in fact, any

expression in standard C++) is equivalent to the statement "the value of ptr is an address aligned to N bytes". Further, such an implementation has to rely on the compiler being able to correctly interpret the meaning of such an expression and derive the desired optimisation opportunity from it.

Even though the *implementation* of std::assume_aligned relies on the compiler to work, it would provide a platform-independent *interface*, thus freeing the end user from using compiler-specific built-ins or incantations like the contract expression above.

7 Proposed wording

The proposed changes are relative to the C++ working paper [Smith2018].

Add to **Header memory synopsis** [memory.syn]:

```
// [ptr.aligned], pointer alignment hint
template<size_t N, class T>
   [[nodiscard]] constexpr T* assume_aligned(T* ptr);
```

Add to **Memory** [memory]:

Assume aligned [ptr.aligned]

```
template<size_t N, class T> [[nodiscard]] constexpr T* assume_aligned(T* ptr);
```

Expects: ptr represents the address of an object or array of objects of type T or of a type similar to type T. This address is aligned to at least N bytes.

Mandates: N is a power of two.

Returns: ptr.

Throws: Nothing.

[Note: The alignment assumption expressed by a call to assume_aligned may result in generation of more efficient code. It is up to the program to ensure that the assumption actually holds. The call does not cause the compiler to verify or enforce this. — end note]

Document history

- **R0**, 2018-05-04: Initial version, following EWG guidance on P0886R0.
- R1, 2018-06-25: Revised wording and added [[nodiscard]] following LEWG guidance.
- R2, 2018-10-08: Revised wording and removed noexcept following LWG guidance.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Fabian Renn-Giles for providing the idea and motivation for this work, and to Gašper Ažman, Arthur O'Dwyer, Niall Douglas, Mathias Gaunard, Peter Dimov, Jason McGuiness, Antony Peacock, Bryce Adelstein Lelbach, Robert Schumacher, Daniel Krügler, Graham Haynes, Timothy Mattox, Ville Voutilainen, Tim Song, and Casey Carter for their very helpful comments.

References

[N3279] Alisdair Meredith and John Lakos. Conservative use of noexcept in the library. http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2011/n3279.pdf, 2011-03-25.

[P0884R0] Nicolai Josuttis. Extending the noexcept Policy, Rev0. http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2018/p0884r0.pdf, 2018-02-12.

[P0886R0] Timur Doumler. The assume aligned attribute. http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2018/p0886r0.pdf, 2018-02-12.

[Smith2018] Richard Smith. Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++. https://github.com/cplusplus/draft, 2018-06-25.