Math Constants

0. Abstract

There is a consensus among a C++ standardization community that it would be beneficial to add into the standard library definitions for most commonly used mathematical constants. For this to happen, the following decisions will have to be made:

- Which constants do we want to standardize?
- Which library header the new definitions would be added to?
- Do we want to introduce these definitions into std or into a new namespace nested inside std?
- How these definitions could be expanded to user-defined types with potentially higher precision?

The chapters 2 and 3 introduce the problem and describe prior standardization efforts. The core proposal is in chapter 4, where the abovementioned questions are discussed and answered. The chapter 5 presents decisions made in chapter 4 as a list of modifications to the standard document. Chapter 6 spells out design alternatives to be decided upon by LEWG.

1. Changelog

Changes from R3:

- Several wording changes
- The math constants are moved into a new proposed header <math>
- A spelling error in m_denominator is corrected
- Variable templates now end with _v
- The section 6 added on design decisions to be voted on by LEWG. The abstract is updated accordingly.
- Acknowledgements updated

Changes from R2:

- The variable templates are now named without an underscore
- All definitions are done inside std instead of nested namespaces
- New constructor added to the floating_t class
- An example added of how to create an instance of the floating_t class with higher than double precision
• A possible implementation of std::piv is suggested
• Abstract added
• Chapter 5 is merged as a sub-chapter into chapter 4.

Changes from R1:
• Several typos fixed
• A better URL for Boost math constant
• Design goal 4) is replaced
• Radian, Catalan’s, Apéry’s and Glaisher’s constants are no longer proposed
• Motivation behind Euler-Mascheroni and golden ratio constants added
• The inverse constants now have underscores in their names
• A link to the list of Wolfram constants removed as no longer relevant

Changes from R0:
• Added changelog, header and footer
• Several readability improvements
• Chapters are numbered
• The 4th and 6th chapters subdivided into subchapters
• Design goals stated
• A different set of constants proposed
• Naming conventions are now different
• A drop-in replacement for POSIX constants no longer proposed
• All definitions are in the new math_constants namespace
• Variable template types are inline
• Added new implementation requirements
• float and double typed constants proposed
• Boost constants described in the chapter 3.
• The 5th and 6th chapters reworked according to the abovementioned changes
• Links to the lists of Wolfram and Boosts constants added to the 7th chapter
• The types of constants should be directly or indirectly constexpr constructible from a floating-point type.
• Examples added of user-defined types suitable for instantiation of math constants

2. Introduction

C++ inherited from C a rich library of mathematical functions which continues to grow with every release. Amid all this abundance, there is a strange gap: none of the major mathematical constants is defined in the standard. This proposal is aimed to rectify this omission.

3. Motivation

Mathematical constants such as π and e frequently appear in mathematical algorithms. A software engineer can easily define them, but from their perspective, this is akin to making a reservation at a restaurant and being asked to bring their own salt. The C++ implementers appreciate this need and attempt to fulfil it with non-standard extensions.
The IEEE Standard 1003.1™-2008 a.k.a POSIX.1-2008 stipulates that on all systems supporting the X/Open System Interface Extension, “the <math.h> header shall define the following symbolic constants. The values shall have type double and shall be accurate to at least the precision of the double type.”

- \( M_E \) - value of \( e \)
- \( M_{\log2E} \) - value of \( \log_2 e \)
- \( M_{\log10E} \) - value of \( \log_{10} e \)
- \( M_{\ln2} \) - value of \( \ln 2 \)
- \( M_{\ln10} \) - value of \( \ln 10 \)
- \( M_{\pi} \) - value of \( \pi \)
- \( M_{\pi/2} \) - value of \( \frac{\pi}{2} \)
- \( M_{\pi/4} \) - value of \( \frac{\pi}{4} \)
- \( M_{1/\pi} \) - value of \( \frac{1}{\pi} \)
- \( M_{2/\pi} \) - value of \( \frac{2}{\pi} \)
- \( M_{2/\sqrt{\pi}} \) - value of \( \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \)
- \( M_{\sqrt{2}} \) - value of \( \sqrt{2} \)
- \( M_{\sqrt{1/2}} \) - value of \( \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \)

POSIX.1-2008 explicitly states that these constants are outside of the ISO C standard and should be hidden behind an appropriate feature test macro. On some POSIX-compliant systems, this macro is defined as _USE_MATH_DEFINES, which led to a common assumption that defining this macro prior to the inclusion of math.h makes these constants accessible. In reality, this is true only in the following scenario:

1) The implementation defines these constants, and
2) It uses _USE_MATH_DEFINES as a feature test macro, and
3) This macro is defined prior to the first inclusion of math.h or any header file that directly or indirectly includes math.h.

These makes the availability of these constants extremely fragile when the code base is ported from one implementation to another or to a newer version of the same implementation. In fact, something as benign as including a new header file may cause them to disappear.

The OpenCL standard by the Kronos Group offers the same set of preprocessor macros in three variants: with a suffix _H, with a suffix _F and without a suffix, to be used in fp16, fp32 and fp64 calculations respectively. The first and the last sets are macro-protected. It also defines in the cl namespace the following variable templates:

- \( e_v \), \( \log2e_v \), \( \log10e_v \), \( \ln2_v \), \( \ln10_v \), \( pi_v \), \( pi_2_v \), \( pi_4_v \), \( one_{pi}_v \), \( two_{pi}_v \), \( two_{sqrtpi}_v \), \( sqrt2_v \), \( sqrt1_2_v \)

as well as their instantiations based on a variety of floating-point types and abovementioned macros. An OpenCL developer can therefore utilize a value of cl::\( pi_v<\text{float}> \); they can also access cl::\( pi_v<\text{double}> \), but only if the cl_khr_fp64 macro is defined.
The GNU C++ library offers an alternative approach. It includes an implementation-specific file ext\cmath that defines in the __gnu_cxx namespace the templated definitions of the following constants:

__pi, __pi_half, __pi_third, __pi_quarter, __root_pi_div_2, __one_div_pi, __two_div_pi, __two_div_root_pi, __e, __one_div_e, __log2_e, __log10_e, __ln_2, __ln_3, __ln_10, __gamma_e, __phi, __root_2, __root_3, __root_5, __root_7, __one_div_root_2

The access to these constants is quite awkward. For example, to use a double value of π, a programmer would have to write __gnu_cxx::__math_constants::__pi<double>.

The Boost library has its own extensive set of constants, comprised of the following subsets:
- rational fractions (including 1/2)
- functions of 2 and 10
- functions of π, e, φ (golden ratio) and Euler-Mascheroni γ constant
- trigonometric constants
- values of Riemann ζ (zeta) function
- statistical constants (various values of skewness and kurtosis)
- Catalan's, Glaisher's and Khinchin's constants

Components of their names are subdivided by an underscore, for example: one_div_root_pi. Boost provides their definitions for floating-point types in the following namespaces:

boost::math::constants::float_constants
boost::math::constants::double_constants
boost::math::constants::long_double_constants

For user-defined types, Boost constants are accessed through a function call, for example:

boost::math::constants::pi<MyFPType>();

All these efforts, although helpful, clearly indicate the need for standard C++ to provide a set of math constants that would be both easy to use and appropriately accurate.

4. Design considerations and proposed definitions

4.0. Design goals.

1) The user should be able to easily replace all POSIX constants with standard C++ constants.
2) The constants should be available for all floating-point types without type conversion and with maximum precision of their respective types.
3) It should be possible to easily create a set of values of basic trigonometric functions of common angles, also with their maximum precision.
4) The constants should provide tangible benefits for C++ users interested in numerical analysis.
5) It should be possible to instantiate them for user defined types.

4.1. The set of constants and their names

To achieve the design goals 1), 3) and 4) we need to provide the following three groups of constants:
Group 1:

- e - value of e
- log2e - value of log₂e
- log10e - value of log₁₀e
- ln2 - value of ln2
- ln10 - value of ln10
- pi - value of π
- inv_pi - value of \(\frac{1}{\pi}\)
- inv_sqrtpi - value of \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\)
- sqrt2 - value of \(\sqrt{2}\)

Group 2:

- sqrt3 - value of \(\sqrt{3}\)
- inv_sqrt3 - value of \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\)

Group 3:

- egamma - value of Euler-Mascheroni γ constant
- phi - value of golden ratio constant \(\phi = (1+\sqrt{5})/2\)

The group 1 constants will help us to achieve the design goals 1) and 4), while the group 2 will do the same for the goals 2) and 4). Although the members of group 3 are used less frequently, they are still helpful for the design goal 4). For example, the Euler-Mascheroni constant \(\gamma\) appears in the formula for a Bessel function of a second kind of order \(\nu\) (source: [www.mhtlab.uwaterloo.ca/courses/me755/web_chap4.pdf](https://www.mhtlab.uwaterloo.ca/courses/me755/web_chap4.pdf)):

\[
Y_\nu(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} J_\nu(x) \left( \ln \frac{x}{2} + \gamma \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{(\nu-k-1)!}{k!} \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{2k-\nu} + \\
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k! (k+\nu)!} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k} \right) + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k+\nu} \right) \right] \left( \frac{x}{2} \right)^{2k+\nu}
\]

With the addition of the Euler-Mascheroni constant, it will become possible to numerically calculate the value of \(Y_\nu\) using only the constants presented in this proposal and the C++ 17 standard functions. As to the golden ratio \(\phi\), besides its traditional design applications, it is also used in the golden-section search, a method of finding a function extremum, see [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden-section_search](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Golden-section_search).

It should be noted that all floating-point types are stored internally as a combination of a sign bit, a binary exponent and a binary normalized significand. If a ratio of two floating-point numbers of the same type is an exact power of 2 (within a certain limit), their significands will be identical. Therefore, in order to achieve the design goal 1), we don’t have to provide replacements for both M_PI and M_PI_2 and M_PI_4. The user will be able to divide the M_PI replacement by 2 and by 4 and achieve the goals 2) and 3).
4.2. Where to place the definitions?

None of the existing C++ headers would be ideal to define math constants. The <cmath> header is meant for functionality that is either already in the C standard or could be introduced there at a later date. The proposed definitions however are based on variable templates and therefore are not C compatible. The header <numeric>, like the rest of the C++ algorithm library, is dedicated to operations on containers and other sequences (see 23.1.1); math constants would not be a good fit there either. The new <math> header would give us the flexibility to add mathematical functionality regardless of the dynamics of C standardization. If ISO WG14 ever decides to incorporate some of it into C, we will simply move the related definitions from <math> to <cmath>.

There may be a concern that if we are to introduce std::pi and std::e, our customers could experience name collisions. For example, the following code fragment:

```cpp
#include <math>
using namespace std;
constexpr double e = 2.71828;
constexpr double esqr = e*e;
```

will not be well-formed. For legacy code bases, collisions will be unlikely because few if any existing software systems include a <math> header. If potential collisions are a concern, we may consider as a design option putting math constants into a nested namespace of std, with the name such as std::constants or std::math.

4.3. Definitions

Math constant definitions should begin with the following set of templates:

```cpp
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T e_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T log2e_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T log10e_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T pi_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T inv_pi_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T inv_sqrt3_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T ln2_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T ln10_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T sqrt2_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T sqrt3_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T inv_sqrt3_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T egamma_v;
template<typename T>
inline constexpr T phi_v;
```

The initialization part of these definitions will be implementation-specific. The implementation may at its discretion supply specializations of these variable templates for some or all floating-point types. The following requirements however need to be imposed:

1) Every implementation should guarantee that math constants can be instantiated for all types initializable from a constant expression of a floating-point type. For example, all types from the <complex> header would satisfy this requirement. Another example would be a possible implementation of quaternions:
template<typename T> class quaternion: public std::complex<T>
{
    T m_c;
    T m_d;
public:
    constexpr quaternion(const std::complex<T> value) : std::complex<T>(value),
        m_c(0), m_d(0) {}
    /*
     * A lot of other functionality
     */
};

A yet another example, a high internal precision type:

template<typename N, typename D, typename E, typename F>
class floating_t
{
    N m_numerator;
    D m_denominator;
    E m_exponent;
    static_assert(std::numeric_limits<N>::is_integer);
    static_assert(std::numeric_limits<D>::is_integer);
    static_assert(!std::numeric_limits<E>::is_integer);
    static_assert(!std::numeric_limits<F>::is_integer);
    static_assert(std::numeric_limits<N>::digits ==
        std::numeric_limits<D>::digits - 1);
    static constexpr unsigned exponent_length = CHAR_BIT * sizeof(F) -
        std::numeric_limits<F>::digits;
    static constexpr unsigned mantissa_length = std::numeric_limits<F>::digits - 1;
    static_assert(CHAR_BIT * sizeof(D) > mantissa_length);
    static_assert(std::numeric_limits<E>::digits >= exponent_length);
    static_assert(std::numeric_limits<N>::digits >
        std::numeric_limits<F>::digits);
    constexpr F getFloatingPointValue() const
    {
        F val = static_cast<F>(m_numerator) / static_cast<F>(m_denominator);
        for (E i = std::numeric_limits<F>::max_exponent; i <= m_exponent; i++)
            val *= 2;
        return val;
    }
};

public:

    constexpr floating_t(const N& num, const D& den, const E& e) :
        m_numerator(num), m_denominator(den), m_exponent(e) {}

    constexpr floating_t(F value) :m_numerator(0), m_denominator(1),
        m_exponent(std::numeric_limits<F>::max_exponent - 1)
    {
        m_denominator <<= mantissa_length;
        bool isNegative = false;
        if (value < 0)
            { isNegative = true;
value = -value;
}
if (value < 1)
do
  m_exponent--; 
while (((value = 2 * value) < 1);
else if (value > 2)
do
  m_exponent++;
while (((value = value / 2) > 2);
    m_numerator = static_cast<N>(value*static_cast<F>(m_denominator));
    if (isNegative)
      m_numerator = -m_numerator;
}
constexpr operator F() const
{
  return getFloatingPointValue();
}
constexpr bool validate(F value) const
{ //validate that the supposed value of the class is indeed equal to value
  return value == getFloatingPointValue();
}
/*
  Many other lines of code
 */

The user-defined types may have alternative constructors that would provide higher accuracy than provided by fundamental types. This is particularly relevant on platforms such as Windows where long double is equivalent to double. Let’s consider the following specialization of floating_t:

using myfptype = floating_t<signed long long, unsigned long long, short, double>;

We can instantiate this type as std::pi_v<myfptype> or directly through std::pi, with the same double precision:

constexpr myfptype dp_pi (std::pi);
static_assert(dp_pi.validate(std::pi));

The internal precision of dp_pi is the same as the one of std::pi, i.e. only 16 decimal digits:

static_assert(std::pi == 3.141'592'653'589'793'3);
static_assert(std::pi == 3.141'592'653'589'793'0);

We can however explicitly specialize the std::pi_v template as follows:

template<> inline constexpr myfptype std::pi_v<myfptype>
  {3'141'592'653'589'793'238, 1'000'000'000'000'000'000, 1};
and use it to instantiate `myfptype`:

```cpp
constexpr myfptype hp_pi = std::pi_v<myfptype> ;
```

The internal precision of `hp_pi` is 19 decimal digits.

2) Every implementation needs to ensure that the instantiations of math constants for floating-point types are the most accurate approximations of underlying real numbers for these types (design goal 2)). This entails that if two implementations provide floating-point types with identical lengths of significands, the constants instantiated for these types will be equal. For example, all IEEE-754 compliant implementations will have the value of \( \pi_{\text{double}} \) equal to 0x1.921FB54442D18p+1. All numerical libraries having the same internal precision will therefore have identical values of their respective math constants.

A possible implementation of the template `std::pi_v` could be as follows:

```cpp
template<typename T > inline constexpr T pi_v = (T) 0x1.921fb54442d18469898cc51701b8p+1l;
```

After the templated constants, the following definitions should be made:

```cpp
inline constexpr float ef = e_v<float>;
inline constexpr float log2ef = log2e_v<float>;
inline constexpr float log10ef = log10e_v<float>;
inline constexpr float pif = pi_v<float>;
inline constexpr float inv_pif = inv_pi_v<float>;
inline constexpr float inv_sqrt3f = inv_sqrt3_v<float>;
inline constexpr float ln2f = ln2_v<float>;
inline constexpr float ln10f = ln10_v<float>;
inline constexpr float sqrt2f = sqrt2_v<float>;
inline constexpr float sqrt3f = sqrt3_v<float>;
inline constexpr float egammaf = egamma_v<float>;
inline constexpr float phif = phi_v<float>;
inline constexpr double e = e_v<double>;
inline constexpr double log2e = log2e_v<double>;
inline constexpr double log10e = log10e_v<double>;
inline constexpr double pi = pi_v<double>;
inline constexpr double inv_pid = inv_pid_v<double>;
inline constexpr double inv_sqrt3d = inv_sqrt3d_v<double>;
inline constexpr double ln2d = ln2d_v<double>;
inline constexpr double ln10d = ln10d_v<double>;
inline constexpr double sqrt2d = sqrt2d_v<double>;
inline constexpr double sqrt3d = sqrt3d_v<double>;
inline constexpr double egammad = egammad_v<double>;
inline constexpr double phid = phid_v<double>;
inline constexpr long double el = e_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double log2el = log2e_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double log10el = log10e_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double pild = pi_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double inv_pild = inv_pild_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double inv_sqrt3l = inv_sqrt3l_v<long double>;
```
inline constexpr long double ln2l = ln2_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double ln10l = ln10_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double sqrt2l = sqrt2_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double sqrt3l = sqrt3_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double inv_sqrt3l = inv_sqrt3_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double egammal = egamma_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double phil = phi_v<long double>;

The way these variable and variable template definitions are injected into std will be implementation-specific.

### 4.4. Access patterns

Because the standard won't provide a drop-in replacement for POSIX/OpenCL/GNU constants, it will be up to the user how, or even whether, to transition to standardized constants. Some motivated users may do this via a global search-and-replace. It is likely however that many C++ projects will have the standard constants introduced alongside with the extant POSIX or user-defined constants. This may cause readability problems as well as subtle computational issues. For example, let's consider the following code fragment:

```cpp
#define _USE_MATH_DEFINES
#include "math.h"

template<typename T> constexpr T pi = 3.14159265358979323846L;

constexpr long double MY_OLD_PI = M_PI; // has been here for 10+ years
constexpr long double MY_NEW_PI = pi<long double>;

static_assert(MY_OLD_PI == MY_NEW_PI, "OMG!");
```

It compiles on Windows, where `long double` is 64-bit, but fails on Linux, where it is 128-bit. The users that need to support 128-bit `long double` will have to carefully assess the risk of having slightly different values of math constants in the same project.

If an existing codebase already has user-defined math constants, their definitions can easily be updated with standard constants, for example:

```cpp
const double PI = std::pi;
```

In a more “greenfield” situation, where math constants are just being introduced, they can be imported into a global scope by the `using` directive, for example:

```cpp
using std::pi;
```

If the user decides that in their particular domain, math constants should always have a specific type, they are welcome to redefine them based upon the appropriate standard constants. For example, the following definition will entail that `pi` is thought to be float:

```cpp
constexpr float pi = std::fpi;
```

### 4.5. A “Hello world” program for math constants
#include <math>

using std::pi;
using std::pi_v;

template<typename T> constexpr T circle_area(T r) { return pi_v<T> * r * r; }

int main()
{
    static_assert(!pi);
    static_assert(!circle_area(1.0));
    return 0;
}

5. Proposed changes in the standard

The clause 24.1 General

The subclause 24.1.2 should be updated as follows:

The following subclauses describe components for complex number types, random number generation, numeric (n-at-a-time) arrays, generalized numeric algorithms, mathematical constants and functions for floating-point types, as summarized in Table 82.

In the table 82, the subclause [c.math] should be updated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[c.math]</th>
<th>Mathematical constants and functions for floating-point types</th>
<th>&lt;cmath&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;cstdlib&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;math&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The clause 24.9 Mathematical functions for floating-point types

The clause title should be updated as follows:

24.9 Mathematical constants and functions for floating-point types [c.math]

After the clause 24.9.5, a new clause 24.9.6 should be inserted.

24.9.6 Header <math> synopsis [math.syn]

template<typename T> inline constexpr T e_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T log2e_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T log10e_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T pi_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T inv_pi_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T inv_sqrtpi_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T ln2_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T ln10_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T sqrt2_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T sqrt3_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T inv_sqrt3_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T egamma_v = see below
template<typename T> inline constexpr T phi_v = see below

inline constexpr float ef = e_v<float>;
inline constexpr float log2ef = log2e_v<float>;
inline constexpr float log10ef = log10e_v<float>;
inline constexpr float pif = pi_v<float>;
inline constexpr float inv_pif = inv_pi_v<float>;
inline constexpr float inv_sqrtpi_v<float>;
inline constexpr float ln2f = ln2_v<float>;
inline constexpr float ln10f = ln10_v<float>;
inline constexpr float sqrt2f = sqrt2_v<float>;
inline constexpr float sqrt3f = sqrt3_v<float>;
inline constexpr float ln10f = ln10_v<float>;
inline constexpr float sqrt2f = sqrt2_v<float>;
inline constexpr float sqrt3f = sqrt3_v<float>;
inline constexpr float egammaf = egamma_v<float>;
inline constexpr float phif = phi_v<float>;

inline constexpr double e = e_v<double>;
inline constexpr double log2e = log2e_v<double>;
inline constexpr double log10e = log10e_v<double>;
inline constexpr double pif = pi_v<double>;
inline constexpr double inv_pif = inv_pi_v<double>;
inline constexpr double inv_sqrtpi_v<double>;
inline constexpr double ln2 = ln2_v<double>;
inline constexpr double ln10 = ln10_v<double>;
inline constexpr double sqrt2 = sqrt2_v<double>;
inline constexpr double sqrt3 = sqrt3_v<double>;
inline constexpr double inv_sqrt3_v<double>;
inline constexpr double egamma = egamma_v<double>;
inline constexpr double phi = phi_v<double>;

inline constexpr long double e1 = e_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double log2e1 = log2e_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double log10e1 = log10e_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double p1 = pi_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double inv_p1 = inv_pi_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double inv_sqrtpi_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double ln2l = ln2_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double ln10l = ln10_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double sqrt2l = sqrt2_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double sqrt3l = sqrt3_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double inv_sqrt3l = inv_sqrt3_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double egamma1 = egamma_v<long double>;
inline constexpr long double ph1l = phi_v<long double>;

\[ 1 \text{ Requires:} \text{ The template argument for } T \text{ shall be initializable from a constant expression of a floating-point type.} \]

\[ 2 \text{ Remarks:} \text{ These variable templates are initialized with implementation-defined values of } e, \]
\[ \log_2 e, \log_{10} e, \pi, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \frac{1}{\ln 2}, \ln 10, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \text{ Euler-Mascheroni } \gamma \text{ constant and golden ratio } \phi \text{ constant } \]
\( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \), respectively. \[Note: The implementation may provide their specializations for some or all floating-point types (see 3.9.1). \] For each floating-point type, an instantiation of every variable template is equal to the closest representable value of the respective mathematical constant.

6. Design alternatives

As per section 4.2, the exact placement of the definitions of math constant is still to be finalized. The following design decisions are submitted for LEWG voting:

A) Will we introduce a new <math> header for math constants?

B) If A) is voted negative, will we define them in <cmath> or in <numeric>?

C) Will we define the constants in the std namespace, or will we introduce a new nested namespace for them?

D) If C) is voted as “the latter”, will we name the new namespace std::constants or std::math?

7. References

The POSIX version of math.h is described at
http://pubs.opengroup.org/onlinepubs/9699919799/basedefs/math.h.html.

The OpenCL mathematical constants are defined in a file opencl_math_constants, see
https://raw.githubusercontent.com/KhronosGroup/libclcxx/master/include/opencl_math_constants.

The GNU math extensions: https://gcc.gnu.org/onlinedocs/gcc-6.1.0/libstdc++/api/a01120_source.html

A list of Boost math constants is at
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