Abstract

The phrase “Uses-allocator construction with allocator Alloc” is defined in section [allocator.uses.construction] of the standard (23.10.7.2 of the 2017 DIS). Although the definition is reasonably concise, it fails to handle the case of constructing a std::pair where one or both members can use Alloc. This omission manifests in significant text describing the construct members of polymorphic_allocator [memory.polymorphic.allocator.class] and scoped_allocator_adaptor [allocator.adaptor]. Additionally neither polymorphic_allocator nor scoped_allocator_adaptor recursively pass the allocator to a std::pair in which one or both members is a std::pair.

Though we could add the pair special case to the definition of Uses-allocator construction, the definition would no longer be concise. Moreover, any library implementing features that rely on Uses-allocator construction would necessarily centralize the logic into a function template. This paper, therefore, proposes a set of templates that do exactly this centralization, in the standard. The current uses of Uses-allocator construction could then simply defer to these templates, making those features simpler to describe and future-proof against other changes.

Because this proposal modifies wording in the standard, it is targeted at C++20 (aka, C++Next) rather than at a technical specification.

Changes from R2

- Renamed make_using_allocator to make_obj_using_allocator as per LEWG vote in Jacksonville.
- Added example of use.
- Changed audience to LWG after approval by LEWG in Jacksonville, March 2018.

Changes from R1

- Fix bugs in formal wording. Everything in this paper has been implemented and tested (and a link to the implementation added).
- Explicitly called out recursive handling for a std::pair containing a std::pair. (No change to actual functionality from R0.)
- Update section references to match C++17 DIS.
- Minor editorial changes.
4 Changes from R0

- Fixed function template prototypes, which incorrectly depended on partial specialization of functions.

5 Choosing a direction

Originally, I considered proposing a pair of function templates, `make_obj_using_allocator<T>(allocator, args...)` and `uninitialized_construct_using_allocator(ptrToT, allocator, args...)`. However, implementation experience with the feature being proposed showed that, given a type T, an allocator A, and an argument list Args..., it was convenient to generate a tuple of the final argument list for T's constructor, then use `make_from_tuple` or `apply` to implement the above function templates. It occurred to me that exposing this tuple-building function may be desirable, as it opens the door to an entire category of functions that use tuples to manipulate argument lists in a composable fashion.

6 Example

If we are creating a simple wrapper class around an object of type T, our class might look something like this:

```cpp
template <typename T, class Alloc = std::allocator<T>>
class Wrapper {
    Alloc m_alloc;
    T m_data;
    int m_meta_data;
    ...
public:
    using allocator_type = Alloc;
    Wrapper(const T& v, const allocator_type& alloc = {});
    ...
};
```

This wrapper is intended to work with many types for T, including types that don’t use an allocator at all, types that take an allocator on construction using the `allocator_arg` protocol, and types that take an allocator on construction as a trailing argument. The constructor for `Wrapper` would thus require much metaprogramming in order to handle all of the cases. This metaprogramming is already done by implementers of the standard library, because it is needed by `scoped_allocator_adaptor` and by `pmr::polymorphic_allocator`, but such metaprogramming is beyond the skills of most programmers and is time consuming for even those possessing the skills. The facilities in this proposal make the task almost trivial. The `Wrapper` constructor below takes advantage of the `make_obj_using_allocator` function template to construct the `m_data` member using the supplied allocator. The allocator will be ignored if T does not use an allocator or uses an allocator of a different type.

```cpp
template <typename T, class Alloc>
Wrapper<T, Alloc>::Wrapper(const T& v, const Alloc& alloc) :
    m_alloc(alloc),
    m_data(make_obj_using_allocator<T>(alloc, v)),
    m_meta_data(0),
    etc.
{ ...
}
7 Implementation experience

A working implementation of this proposal can be found on GitHub at https://github.com/phalpern/uses-allocator.git.

8 Proposed wording

Wording is relative to the March 2017 DIS, N4660.

8.1 Header <memory> synopsis [memory.syn]

Add the following new function templates to the to the <memory> synopsis:

```cpp
template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, Args&&... args) -> see below;

template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
T* uninitialized_construct_using_allocator(T* p, 
  const Alloc& a, 
  Args&&... args);

template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
T make_obj_using_allocator(const Alloc& a, Args&&... args);
```

8.2 Uses-allocator construction [allocator.uses.construction]

Add the following descriptions to uses-allocator-construction.

Guidance needed: The wording below expresses uses_allocator_construction_args as a bunch of overloads using “does not participate in overload-resolution” wording. It could also be expressed as a single (variadic) function with a bunch of special cases called out, or it could be described with less code and more descriptive English. Which is better for comprehending the standard?

Guidance needed: The wording uses forward_as_tuple, which prevents copies, and doesn’t require copy- or move-constructibility, but can result in dangling references if the resulting tuple outlives the full expression in which it was created. Should I repeat the cautionary words already found in the description of forward_as_tuple?

```cpp
template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, Args&&... args) -> see below;

Remark: T is not deduced and must therefore be specified explicitly by the caller. This template does not participate in overload resolution if T is a specialization of std::pair.

Returns: A tuple value determined as follows:

- if uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc> is false and is_constructible_v<T, Args...> is true, return forward_as_tuple(std::forward<Args>(args)...).
• otherwise, if `uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc>` is true and `is_constructible_v<T, allocator_arg_t, Alloc, Args...>` is true, return `forward_as_tuple(allocator_arg, alloc, std::forward<Args>(args)...)`.

• otherwise, if `uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc>` is true and `is_constructible_v<T, Args..., Alloc>` is true, return `forward_as_tuple(std::forward<Args>(args)..., alloc)`.

• otherwise, the program is ill-formed. [Note: An error will result if `uses_allocator_v<T, Alloc>` is true but the specific constructor does not take an allocator. This definition prevents a silent failure to pass the allocator to a constructor. — end note]

template <class T, class Alloc, class Tuple1, class Tuple2>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, piecewise_construct_t, Tuple1&& x, Tuple2&& y) -> see below;

Remark: T is not deduced and must therefore be specified explicitly by the caller. This template does not participate in overload resolution unless T is a specialization of `std::pair`.

Returns: For T specified as `pair<T1, T2>`, equivalent to

\[
\text{return make_tuple(piecewise_construct,}
\text{apply([&a](auto&&... args1) -> auto {
\text{return uses_allocator_construction_args<T1>(a,}
\text{std::forward<decltype(args1)>(args1)...);}
\text{, std::forward<Tuple1>(x))},
\text{apply([&a](auto&&... args2) -> auto {
\text{return uses_allocator_construction_args<T2>(a,}
\text{std::forward<decltype(args2)>(args2)...);}
\text{, std::forward<Tuple2>(y))});}
\text{;}
\]

template <class T>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a) -> see below;

Remark: T is not deduced and must therefore be specified explicitly by the caller. This template does not participate in overload resolution unless T is a specialization of `std::pair`.

Returns: For T specified as `pair<T1, T2>`, equivalent to `uses_allocator_construction_args<pair<T1,T2>>(a, piecewise_construct, tuple<>{}, tuple<>{})`

template <class T, class Alloc, class U, class V>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, const pair<U,V>& pr) -> see below;

template <class T, class Alloc, class U, class V>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, const pair<U,V>& pr) -> see below;
Remark: T is not deduced and must therefore be specified explicitly by the caller. This template does not participate in overload resolution unless T is a specialization of std::pair.

Returns: For T specified as pair<T1, T2>, equivalent to uses_allocator_construction_args<pair<T1,T2>>(a, piecewise_construct, forward_as_tuple(pr.first), forward_as_tuple(pr.second)).

```cpp
template <class T, class Alloc, class U, class V>
auto uses_allocator_construction_args(const Alloc& a, pair<U,V>&& pr) -> see below;
```

Remark: T is not deduced and must therefore be specified explicitly by the caller. This template does not participate in overload resolution unless T is a specialization of std::pair.

Returns: For T specified as pair<T1, T2>, equivalent to uses_allocator_construction_args<pair<T1,T2>>(a, piecewise_construct, forward_as_tuple(std::forward<U>(pr.first)), forward_as_tuple(std::forward<V>(pr.second))).

```cpp
template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
T make_obj_using_allocator(const Alloc& a, Args&&... args);
```

Remark: T is not deduced and must therefore be specified explicitly by the caller.

Returns: For T specified as pair<T1, T2>, equivalent to

```cpp
make_from_tuple<T>(
    uses_allocator_construction_args<T>(a, forward<Args>(args)...));
```

```cpp
template <class T, class Alloc, class... Args>
T* uninitialized_construct_using_allocator(T* p,
    const Alloc& a,
    Args&&... args);
```

Remark: T is not deduced and must therefore be specified explicitly by the caller.

Returns: For T specified as pair<T1, T2>, equivalent to:

```cpp
return apply([p](auto&&... args2){
    return ::new(static_cast<void*>(p))
    T(forward<decltype(args2)>(args2)...);
}, uses_allocator_construction_args<T>(a, forward<Args>(args)...));
```

Guidance Needed: Should we consider adding uninitialized_construct_from_tuple as a separate (non-exposition) function, since it appears to be useful and would simplify (or perhaps eliminate the need for) uninitialized_construct_using_allocator.

8.3 Changes to polymorphic_allocator and scoped_allocator_adaptor

Rewrite the construct methods of polymorphic_allocator [mem.poly.allocator.mem] and scoped_allocator_adaptor [allocator.adaptor.members] to simply call uninitialized_construct_from_tuple.

Consider replacing all uses of uses_allocator_construction with references to these functions and removing uses_allocator_construction from the standard.