Feedback on P0214R6

Abstract

We investigated some of our SIMD applications and have some feedback on P0214R6.

This proposal does not intend to slow down P0214R6 from getting into the TS, but points out the flaws that are likely to encounter sooner or later. Fixing these flaws now is supposed to save time for the future.

Revision History

P0820R0 to P0820R1

- Rebase onto P0214R6.
- Added reference implementation link.
- For concat() and split(), instead of making them return simd types with implementation defined ABIs, make them return rebind_abi_t<...>, which is an extension and replacement of original abi_for_size_t.
- Removed the default value of `n` in split_by().
- Proposed change to fixed_size from a struct to an alias, as well as guaranteeing the alias to have deduced-context.

Is abi_for_size_t the right way to specify the ABIs for split() and concat()?

Currently, the return types of split() and concat() don't depend on the input ABI(s) other than for calculating sizes. This limits the implementation by enforcing the following expressions to produce the same type of objects:

- concat(native_simd<int32>())
- concat(compatible_simd<int32>(), compatible_simd<int32>())
Suppose that \texttt{compatible\_simd\textless int32\textgreater} is implemented by 16-bytes, XMM registers on x86; and 
\texttt{native\_simd\textless int32\textgreater} is implemented by 32-bytes, YMM registers on x86. Ideally, we’d like both 
\texttt{concat()}s to be no-ops, if they are allowed to return different types: in the first case the return 
value stays in the same YMM register; in the second case, the returned values still stay in the 
same XMM registers.

To make both calls no-ops, the return types of those two need to be different.

That said, it may not practically matter \textbf{in the function body}, if the optimizer is smart enough. It 
always affects \textbf{function call boundaries}, though. Example of a function call boundary: 
\url{https://godbolt.org/g/6EEE8H}.

The fundamental issue is that \texttt{abi\_for\_size} only depends on the element type and the size. 
Since it is only used by \texttt{concat()} and \texttt{split()}, we propose to drop \texttt{abi\_for\_size} and 
\texttt{abi\_for\_size\_t}, and let the implementation pick the returned ABI(s) for \texttt{concat()} and \texttt{split()}.

**Proposed Change**

```cpp
template <class T, size_t N, typename... As>
    struct abi_for_size_rebind_abi { using type = implementation-defined; };

template <class T, size_t N, typename... As>
    using abi_for_size_rebind_abi_t =
        typename abi_for_size_rebind_abi<T, N, As...>::type;

template <size_t... Sizes, class T, class A>
    tuple<simd<T, abi_for_size_rebind_abi_t<T, Sizes, A>>...> 
        split(const simd<T, A>&);

tuple<simd_mask<T, abi_for_size_rebind_abi_t<T, Sizes, A>>...> 
        split(const simd_mask<T, A>&);

Returns: A tuple of simd/simd\_mask objects with the \textit{i}-th simd/simd\_mask element of the \textit{j}-th 
tuple element initialized to the value of the element in \textit{x} with index \textit{i}+ partial sum of the first \textit{j} 
values in the \texttt{Sizes} pack.

```cpp
template <class T, class... As>
    simd<T, abi_for_size_rebind_abi_t<T, (simd_size_v<T, As> + ...), As...>>
    concat(const simd<T, As>&...);

template <class T, class... As>
    simd_mask<T, abi_for_size_rebind_abi_t<T, (simd_size_v<T, As> + ...), As...>>
concat(const simd_mask<T, As>&...);

**concat() doesn't support std::array**

We propose it for being consistent with split(). Users may take the array from split(), do some operations, and concat back the array. It'd be hard for them to use the existing variadic parameter concat().

**Proposed Change**

```cpp
template <class T, class Abi, size_t N>
simd<T, rebind_abi_t<T, N, Abi>> concat(const std::array<simd<T, Abi>, N>&);
```

```cpp
template <class T, class Abi, size_t N>
simd_mask<T, rebind_abi_t<T, N, Abi>> concat(const std::array<simd_mask<T, Abi>, N>&);
```

Returns: A simd/simd_mask object, the i-th element of which is initialized by the input element, indexed by \(i / \text{simd}\_\text{size}\_v<T, Abi>\) as the array index, and \(i \% \text{simd}\_\text{size}\_v<T, Abi>\) as the simd/simd_mask array element index. The returned type contains \((\text{simd}\_\text{size}\_v<T, Abi> \times N)\) number of elements.

**split() is sometimes verbose to use**

It is sometimes verbose and not intuitive to use the array version of split(), e.g.

```cpp
template <typename T, typename Abi>
void Foo(simd<T, Abi> a) {
    auto arr = split<simd<T, fixed_size<a.size() / 4>>>(a);
    // auto arr = split_by<4>(a) is much better.
    /* … */
}
```

and it's even more verbose for non-fixed_size types. We propose to add split_by() that splits the input by an `n` parameter.

**Proposed Change**

```cpp
template <size_t n, class T, class A>
array<simd<T, rebind_abi_t<T, simd_size_v<T, A> / n, A>>, n> split_by(
    __const simd<T, A>& x);
```
const simd_mask<T, A>& x);

Remarks: The calls to the functions are ill-formed unless simd_size_v<T, A> is a multiple of n.

Returns: An array of simd/simd_mask objects with the i-th simd/simd_mask element of the j-th array element initialized to the value of the element in x with index i + j*(simd_size_v<T, A> / n).
Each element in the returned array has size simd_size_v<T, A>::size() / n elements.

fixed_size<N> is not an alias

One possible implementation of ABI is to create a centralized ABI struct, and specialize around it:

```cpp
enum class StoragePolicy { kXmm, kYmm, /* ... */ };
template <StoragePolicy policy, size_t N> struct Abi {};

template <typename T> using native = Abi<kYmm, 32 / sizeof(T)>;
template <typename T> using compatible = Abi<kXmm, 16 / sizeof(T)>;
```

Then every operation is implemented and specialized around the centralized struct Abi.

Unlike native and compatible, fixed_size is not an alias, which requires extra specializations other than the ones on struct Abi.

Proposed Change

template <int N> struct using fixed_size {} = /* implementation defined */;

Remark: fixed_size shall not introduce a non-deduced context.

Reference

- The original paper: P0214R6
- Experimental implementation: https://github.com/google/dimsum