# std::function move operations should be noexcept

Document number: P0771R0

Date: 2017-10-16

Project: Programming Language C++, Library Working Group

Reply-to: Nevin "" Liber, <u>nliber@ocient.com</u> or <u>mailto:nevin@cplusplusguy.com</u>

#### **Table of Contents**

1
1
2
2
2
3
3

#### Introduction

The move constructor and move assignment operator for std::function should be noexcept.

# **Motivation and Scope**

It is highly desirable to have noexcept move operations, especially when it does not impose an undue burden on implementers or a high cost for users.

The other type-erased standard libraries any and shared\_ptr already require this. function is very similar to any in that both encourage the small object optimization.

It appears that function is required to use the small object optimization, at least to hold a reference\_wrapper object or function pointer [func.wrap.func.con#4], and this proposal is compatible with that.

Both libstdc++ and libc++ already implement this.

## Impact on the Standard

Impact on the standard is minor. The declarations for the move constructor and move assignment operator for function have to have noexcept added, and the throws clause for the move constructor has to be deleted.

#### **Design Decisions**

A possible implementation technique: if the object either is too big to fit inside the small object optimization space inside function or the object has a noexcept (false) move constructor or noexcept (false) assignment operator, then store it in the heap; otherwise, store it in the small object optimization space.

Because default construction and swap are already noexcept, it is very likely that a currently conforming implementation of function already does something like this under the covers, even if they don't declare their move constructor and move assignment operator as noexcept.

# **Technical Specifications**

Changes relative to <u>n4687</u>:

```
[func.wrap.func]
function() noexcept;
function(nullptr_t) noexcept;
function(const function&);
function(function&&) noexcept;
template<class F> function(F);
function& operator=(const function&);
function& operator=(function&&) noexcept;
function& operator=(nullptr_t) noexcept;
template<class F> function& operator=(F&&);
template<class F> function& operator=(reference_wrapper<F>) noexcept;
~function():
[func.wrap.func.con]
function(function&& f) noexcept;
Postconditions: If !f, *this has no target; otherwise, the target of *this is equivalent to the target of
f before the construction, and f is in a valid state with an unspecified value.
Throws: Shall not throw exceptions if f's target is a specialization of reference_wrapper or a function
pointer. Otherwise, may throw bad_alloc or any exception thrown by the copy or move constructor
of the stored callable object. [ Note: Implementations should avoid the use of dynamically allocated
memory for small callable objects, for example, where f's target is an object holding only a pointer or
reference to an object and a member function pointer. —end note ]
```

function& operator=(function&& f) <u>noexcept</u>; Effects: Replaces the target of \*this with the target of f. Returns: \*this.

## **Acknowledgements**

Special thanks to Ion Gaztañaga, Gabriel Dos Reis, Pete Becker, Bjarne Stroustrup, Jonathan Wakely, and Stephan T. Lavavej for the discussion on this way back when; Howard Hinnant for that as well as answering a theoretical design question on function, Billy O'Neal for pointing out on an LEWG thread that the small object optimization is required (as well as Stephan and Billy informing me how their version of function is implemented), and Geoffrey Romer for recently implicitly reminding me that no one had actually submitted a paper on this yet. Thank them or blame me for the content of this paper.

#### References

 $\underline{\mathsf{n4687}}$  - Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++, Richard Smith

std\_function.h, libstdc++ (gcc)

<u>functional</u> – libc++ (clang)