C++17 introduced the [[nodiscard]] attribute. The question is, where to apply it now in the standard library.

We suggest a conservative approach:

It should be added where:

- For existing API's
  - not using the return value always is a "huge mistake" (e.g. always resulting in resource leak)
  - not using the return value is a source of trouble and easily can happen (not obvious that something is wrong)
- For new API's (not been in the C++ standard yet)
  - not using the return value is usually an error.

It should not be added when:

- For existing API's
  - not using the return value is a possible/common way of programming at least for some input
    - for example for realloc(), which acts like free when the new site is 0
  - not using the return value makes no sense but doesn't hurt and is usually not an error (e.g., because programmers meant to ask for a state change).
  - it is a C function, because their declaration might not be under control of the C++ implementation

For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>[[nodiscard]] ?</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>malloc()</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>expensive call, usually not using the return value is a resource leak. However, a C function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>realloc()</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>realloc() with new size 0 acts like free()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>async()</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>not using the return value makes the call synchronous, which might be hard to detect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>launder()</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>new API, where not using the return value makes no sense, because launder() does not white-wash. It just the return value allows to use the corresponding data &quot;white washed&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allocate()</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>same as malloc()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unique_ptr::release()</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Titus: at Google 3.5% of calls would fail, but analysis showed that it was correct (but weird ownership semantics). See reflector email.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>printf(), sprint()</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>too many code not using the return value (which also is not always necessary according to programming logic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>top()</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>not very useful, but no danger and such code might exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>empty()</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>doesn't hurt, but (as reported by multiple parties) not using the return value often is an error, because programmers meant clear()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
So, `[[nodiscard]]` should not signal bad code if this:

\begin{itemize}
  \item can be useful not to use the return value
  \item is common not to use the return value
  \item doesn't hurt and probably no state change was meant that doesn't happen
\end{itemize}

Or as Andrew Tomazos wrote in an email:

1. You almost never want to discard the return value. (Using the return value is almost always an essential part of the interface of the given function.)

and

2. People do sometimes discard the return value of that function by accident. (They do so because they misunderstand the interface of the function, incorrectly thinking it doesn't return a value, or the return value is non-essential extra information.)

As a result, initially I see the following modifications for C++17:

- add `[[nodiscard]]` to:
  - async()
  - allocate(), operator new
  - launder(), empty()

**Proposed Wording**

(All against N4700)

**async():**

33.6.1 Overview [futures.overview]

\begin{verbatim}
template <class F, class... Args>
[[nodiscard]] future<result_of_t<decay_t<F>(decay_t<Args>...)>>
async(F&& f, Args&&... args);
\end{verbatim}

Also in the corresponding definitions in 33.6.8 Function template async [futures.async]

\begin{verbatim}
template <class F, class... Args>
[[nodiscard]]
future<invoke_result_t<decay_t<F>, decay_t<Args>...>>
async(launch policy, F&& f, Args&&... args);
\end{verbatim}

**launder():**

21.6.1 Header <new> synopsis [new.syn]:

\begin{verbatim}
template <class T> [[nodiscard]] constexpr T* launder(T* p) noexcept;
\end{verbatim}

Also in the corresponding definitions in 21.6.4 Pointer optimization barrier [ptr.launder]:

\begin{verbatim}
template <class T> [[nodiscard]] constexpr T* launder(T* p) noexcept;
\end{verbatim}
allocate():

17.5.3.5 Allocator requirements [allocator.requirements]
§9, in the example:

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] Tp* allocate(std::size_t n);
```

23.10.8 Allocator traits [allocator.traits]

```cpp
static [[nodiscard]] pointer allocate(Alloc& a, size_type n);
static [[nodiscard]] pointer allocate(Alloc& a, size_type n, const_void_pointer hint);
```

Also in the corresponding definitions in 23.10.8.2 Allocator traits static member functions [allocator.traits.members].

23.10.9 The default allocator [default.allocator]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] T* allocate(size_t n);
```

Also in the corresponding definition in 23.10.9.1 allocator members [allocator.members].

23.12.2 Class memory_resource [mem.res.class]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] void* allocate(size_t bytes, size_t alignment = max_align);
```

Also in the corresponding definition in 23.12.2.1 memory_resource public member functions [mem.res.public].

23.12.3 Class template polymorphic_allocator [mem.poly.allocator.class]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] Tp* allocate(size_t n);
```

Also in the corresponding definition in 23.12.3.2 polymorphic_allocator member functions [mem.poly.allocator.mem].

23.13.1 Header <scoped_allocator> synopsis [allocator.adaptor.syn]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] pointer allocate(size_type n);
[[nodiscard]] pointer allocate(size_type n, const_void_pointer hint);
```

Also in the corresponding definition in 23.13.4 Scoped allocator adaptor members [allocator.adaptor.members].

operator new():

6.7.4 Dynamic storage duration [basic.stc.dynamic]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new(std::size_t);
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new(std::size_t, std::align_val_t);
...
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new[](std::size_t);
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new[](std::size_t, std::align_val_t);
```

21.6.1 Header <new> synopsis [new.syn]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new(std::size_t size);
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new(std::size_t size, std::align_val_t alignment);
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new(std::size_t size, const std::nothrow_t&) noexcept;
[[nodiscard]] void* operator new(std::size_t size, std::align_val_t alignment, const std::nothrow_t&) noexcept;
```
Also in the corresponding definitions in the subsections of 21.6.2 Storage allocation and deallocation [new.delete].

empty():

In 24.3.2 Class template basic_string [basic.string]:

    [[nodiscard]] bool empty() const noexcept;
Also in the corresponding definitions in 24.3.2.4 basic_string capacity [string.capacity].

In 24.4.2 Class template basic_string_view [string.view.template]:

    [[nodiscard]] constexpr bool empty() const noexcept;
Also in the corresponding definitions in 24.4.2.3 Capacity [string.view.capacity].

In 26.2.4.1 node_handle overview [container.node.overview]:

    [[nodiscard]] bool empty() const noexcept;
Also in the corresponding definitions in 26.2.4.4 node_handle observers [container.node.observers].

In 26.3.7.1 Class template array overview [array.overview] and
26.3.9.1 Class template forward_list overview [forwardlist.overview] and
26.3.10.1 Class template list overview [list.overview] and
26.3.11.1 Class template vector overview [vector.overview] and
26.3.12 Class vector<boo> [vector.bool] and
26.4.4.1 Class template map overview [map.overview] and
26.4.5.1 Class template multimap overview [multimap.overview] and
26.4.6.1 Class template set overview [set.overview] and
26.4.7.1 Class template multiset overview [multiset.overview] and
26.5.4.1 Class template unordered_map overview [unord.map.overview] and
26.5.5.1 Class template unordered_multimap overview [unord.multimap.overview] and
26.5.6.1 Class template unordered_set overview [unord.set.overview] and
26.5.7.1 Class template unordered_multiset overview [unord.multiset.overview]:

    [[nodiscard]] bool empty() const noexcept;

In 26.6.4.1 queue definition [queue.defn] and
26.6.5 Class template priority_queue [priority.queue] and
26.6.6.1 stack definition [stack.defn]:

    [[nodiscard]] bool empty() const { return c.empty(); }
In 27.3 Header <iterator> synopsis [iterator.synopsis]:

```cpp
template <class C> [[nodiscard]] constexpr auto empty(const C& c) -> decltype(c.empty());
template <class T, size_t N> [[nodiscard]] constexpr bool empty(const T (&array)[N]) noexcept;
template <class E> [[nodiscard]] constexpr bool empty(initializer_list<E> il) noexcept;
```

Also in the corresponding definitions in 27.8 Container access [iterator.container].

In 30.10.7 Class path [fs.class.path]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] bool empty() const noexcept;
```

Also in the corresponding definitions in 30.10.7.4.10 path query [fs.path.query].

In 31.10 Class template match_results [re.results]

```cpp
[[nodiscard]] bool empty() const;
```

Also in the corresponding definitions in 31.10.3 match_results size [re.results.size]

**Feature Test Macro**

No feature test applied because for the caller nothing changed regarding what can be called or not. Only a warning might be emitted.