# Accessing the target of a tr1::function object

**Douglas** Gregor

Document number: N1667=04-0107 Date: July 16, 2004 Project: Programming Language C++, Library Working Group Reply-to: Douglas Gregor <dgregor at cs.indiana.edu>

## 1 Introduction

Class template tr1::function stores function objects of arbitrary types. While these function objects are copied, invoked, and destroyed by the implementation as needed, their identities are completely lost to the user: there is no way to access the stored function object or determine its type.

Both of these abilities are desirable, especially when using tr1::function to build higher-level callback constructs such as delegates or signals/slots. In particular, these capabilities are required to implement delegates that can ignore duplicate targets and remove targets based on their function object values. For instance, one can imagine creating a delegate class template that operates like this (and is implemented with a container of tr1::function objects):

```
enum mouse_button { mb_left, mb_middle, mb_right };
delegate<void(int x, int y, mouse_button)> on_click;
on_click += display_context_menu();
// Say we want to record clicks for playback later...
on_click += record_macro(macro_name);
// We're done recording the macro: remove the function object
on_click -= record_macro(macro_name);
```

Here, the implementation of -= needs to compare function object wrappers for equality. Herb Sutter discusses these limitations in much more detail [1] and provides additional motivation for these features.

## 2 Target access

I propose to introduce two member functions to class template tr1::function, type and target. The type member function returns an std::type\_info object referring to the type of the target function object (or typeid(void) if there is no target):

```
tr1::function<int(int, int)> f = std::plus<int>();
assert(f.type() == typeid(std::plus<int>));
tr1::function<int(int, int)> g;
assert(g.type() == typeid(void));
```

#### Doc. no: N1667=04-0107

The target member function is templated on the type of the target and returns a pointer to the actual target function object (if the type matches) or a null pointer (if the type does not match), e.g.:

```
std::plus<int>* fp = f.target<std::plus<int> >(); // OK, fp points to stored object
std::minus<int>* nfp = f.target<std::minus<int> >(); // OK, NULL pointer
```

There are several alternatives to the member functions proposed, the most popular of which is some form of operator== for tr1::function. I am not proposing any variant of operator== because:

- We would still require the type and target member functions (or something like them) to have full access to the function object targets.
- operator== is unimplementable for tr1::function within the C++ language, because we do not have a reliable way to detect if a given type T is EQUALITY COMPARABLE without user assistance.
- A more limited form of operator==, which can compare a tr1::function object to any potential target, is implementable but less intuitive.<sup>1</sup> We need more experience before we can commit to such a strange form of operator.
- Adding operator== is not useful unless all of the standard binders also add operator==.

## 3 Proposed Text

Add to the end of the class definition in 3.4.3 [tr.func.wrap.func]:

```
// function target access
type_info type() const;
template<typename T> T* target();
template<typename T> const T* target() const;
```

Add a new subsection to 3.4.3 titled "function target access" [tr.func.wrap.func.target]:

type\_info type() const;

**Returns**: If **\*this** has a target of type T, **typeid(T)**; otherwise, **typeid(void)**. **Throws**: will not throw.

template<typename T> T\* target(); template<typename T> const T\* target() const;

**Requires**: T must be a function object type callable with parameter types T1, T2, ..., TN and return type R.

**Returns**: If type() == typeid(T), a pointer to the stored function target; otherwise, the NULL pointer. Throws: will not throw.

### References

 H. Sutter. Generalizing observer. C/C++ Users Journal, 21(9), September 2003. Available online at http://www.cuj.com/documents/s=8840/cujexp0309sutter/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Peter Dimov noted that this form of operator== is sufficient to implement delegates.