

C Library and Namespaces

Steve Clamage

Criteria

- Easy for programmers to understand, use.
- Easy to teach to new and old programmers.
- Compatible with old C and C++ code. K&R “Hello, world” should still work.

Current situation

- `<name.h>` headers put names in `std` and in global namespaces.
- `<cname>` headers put names in `std` namespace, but not in global namespace. (?)
- All names always reserved in global namespaces.

Why are we here?

- Uncertainty about `<cname>` headers and global namespace.
- Vendor concern about implementation difficulty.

The Four Questions

- May or must `<cname>` headers put names in global namespace. (Must not.)
- May or must `<name.h>` headers put names in `std` namespace. (Must.)
- How names get into global namespace: declaration or `using`? Mandatory?
- Ditto `std` namespace.

Decision criteria

- Determine what kinds of programs we want to allow and disallow.
- Implementations must be possible, but not necessarily easy.

We must allow these

- ```
#include <stdio.h>
int main() { printf("Hello"); }
```
- ```
#include <cstdio>
int main() { std::printf("Hello"); }
```
- Current draft allows them.

Consistency requires these

- ```
#include <cstdio>
using namespace std;
int main() { printf("Hello"); }
```
- ```
#include <cstdio>
using std::printf;
int main() { printf("Hello"); }
```
- Current draft allows them.

What about these?

- ```
#include <stdio.h>
int main() { std::printf("Hello"); }
```
- ```
#include <stdio.h>
using std::printf;
int main() { printf("Hello"); }
```
- Current draft allows them.

Global namespace and `<cname>`

- 1 Disallow C names in global.
- 2 Require C names in global.
- 3 Optional C names in global.

1. Disallow global

- Contortions for some implementors.
 - Real-world C headers include POSIX and other declarations.
 - Must coordinate two sets of C headers if C and C++ are not integrated.

3. Optionally global

- ```
namespace A { void free(void*); }
#include <cstdlib>
using namespace A;
...
free(x); // which free?
```
- If implementation puts C lib `free` in global namespace, calls that; else calls `A::free`.

## Implementation-dependent semantics

- Confusing for programmers, hard to teach.
- Exists elsewhere in language.
- Conclusion: Good to avoid, but not a show-stopper.

### 2. Mandatory global

- `<cname>` and `<name.h>` headers become functionally identical.
- Implementors have much easier task:

```
#include "/usr/Cinclude/stdlib.h"
namespace std {
 using free; using malloc;
 ... }
```
- But ...

## Example: Substitute functionality

- ```
#include <cstdlib>
namespace MyClib {
void* malloc(size_t);
void free(void*); }
using namespace MyClib;
...
void* calloc(1000); // OK?
```
- Forgot to supply `MyClib::calloc`. Error if no globals in `cstdlib`, else compiles.

C or C++ Linkage?

- Not addressed by this proposal; orthogonal issue.
- One restriction: Cannot directly declare a function or object with C++ linkage in both name spaces, since it leads to ambiguity. Direct declaration in one namespace, `using` declaration in the other.

Proposal 1

- Status quo: `<cname>` headers do not put names in global namespace.
- Be sure the DIS is clear on that point.

Proposal 2

- Both forms of C library header files declare names in both global and `std` namespace.
- Names with C++ linkage may be directly declared in only one of the namespaces.