

Doc. No.: X3J16/96-0093  
WG21/ N0911  
Date: May 28, 1996  
Project: Programming Language C++  
Reply To: Richard K. Wilhelm  
Strategic Technology Resources  
rwillhelm@str.com

## **Clause 21 (Strings Library) Issues List Revision 16**

### **Revision History**

Version 1 - January 30, 1995: Distributed in pre-Austin mailing.  
Version 2 - March 6, 1995: Distributed at Austin meeting.  
Version 3 - March 24, 1995: Distributed in post-Austin mailing. Several issues added. Several issues updated to reflect decisions at Austin meeting.  
Version 4 - May 19, 1995: Distributed in pre-Monterey mailing.  
Version 5 - July 9, 1995: Distributed at the Monterey meeting. Includes many issues added from public comments.  
Version 6 - July 11, 1995: Distributed at the Monterey meeting. Added no new issues from previous version. Included issues prepared for formal vote. Added solutions for issues 8, 21,31, 38, 69, 71. Made only changes to reflect the decisions of the string sub-group, correct working paper text and to correct typographical errors.  
Version 7 - July 27, 1995: Distributed in the post-Monterey mailing. Reflects the resolutions and discussions of the Monterey meeting.  
Version 8 - September 24, 1995: Distributed in the pre-Tokyo mailing. Some new issues added.  
Version 9 - November 2, 1995: Distributed at the Tokyo meeting. Added issue 79. Added solutions for issues: 29, 30, 61, 62, and 63.  
Version 10 - November 8, 1995: Distributed at the Tokyo meeting. Contains resolutions for issues to be closed by a vote.  
Version 11 - December 2, 1995: Distributed in the post-Tokyo mailing. Updated issues closed in Tokyo. Added several new issues  
Version 12 - January 29, 1996: Distributed in the pre-Santa Cruz mailing.  
Version 13 - March 10, 1996: Distributed at the Santa Cruz meeting.  
Version 14 - March 13, 1996: Distributed at the Santa Cruz meeting. Reflects changes to resolutions make by the library group.  
Version 15 - March 28, 1996: Distributed in the post-Santa Cruz mailing. Updated issues closed in Santa Cruz.  
Version 16 - May 28, 1996: Distributed in the pre-Stockholm mailing.

### **Introduction**

This document is a summary of the issues identified in Clause 21. For each issue the status, a short description, and pointers to relevant reflector messages and papers are given. This evolving document will serve as a basis of discussion and historical record for Strings issues and as a foundation of proposals for resolving specific issues.

For clarity, active issues are separated from issues recently closed. Closed issues are retained for one revision of the paper to serve as a record of recent resolutions. Subsequently, they will be

removed from the paper for brevity. Any issue which has been removed will include the document number of the final paper in which it was included.

## Active Issues

### Issue Number: 21-062

Title: Missing explanation of requirements on `charT`.

Section: 21.1.1.3 [lib.basic.string]

Status: active

Description:

A public comment noted:

Paragraph 1 doesn't say enough about the properties of a "char-like object." It should say that it doesn't need to be constructed or destroyed (otherwise, the primitives in `string_char_traits` are woefully inadequate). `string_char_traits::assign` (and `copy`) must suffice either to copy or initialize a char-like element. The definition should also say that an allocator must have the same definitions for the types `size_type`, `difference_type`, `pointer`, `const_pointer`, `reference`, and `const_reference` as class `allocator::types<charT>` (again because `string_char_traits` has no provision for funny address types).

Proposed Resolution:

Add the following text after paragraph 1 in 21.1.1.3 [lib.basic.string]

A "char-like type" does not need to be constructed or destroyed. A string's allocator shall have the same definitions for the types `size_type`, `difference_type`, `pointer`, `const_pointer`, `reference`, `const_reference` as class `allocator::types<charT>`.

In private email, P.J. Plauger wrote:

"In reviewing my code, I realize that I overstated the case here. It is more accurate to say that the `basic_string` class presumes that `charT` has a default constructor (and a destructor), which the class uses to construct (and destroy) all elements of the controlled sequence. Whenever the class is asked to copy out elements, as with the `copy` member function, it assumes that it need only assign to previously constructed elements.

"A better design of `string_char_traits` would probably include `uninitialized_copy` and `uninitialized_fill` members, but I feel it's way too late to propose such additions."

Requester: Public comment T21 (p. 108).

Owner:

Emails: (none)

Papers: (none)

### Issue Number: 21-085

Title: Awkward argument order for `basic_string` traits.

Section: 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members]

Status: active

Description:

Two `string_char_traits` members have the following signatures:

```
static const char_type*
find(const char_type* s, int n, const char_type& a)
```

```
static char_type*
assign(char_type* s, size_t n, const char_type& a)
```

The semantics of these members emulate `memchr()` and `memset()`. However, the argument order is slightly different. In the interest of consistency, the order of these arguments should be corrected.

Additionally, change the type of the `find()` member's 'n' argument to `size_t`  
Proposed Resolution:

In section 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members] change the signatures of `find()` and `assign()` as follows:

```
static const char_type*
find(const char_type* s, const char_type& a, size_t n)
```

```
static char_type*
assign(char_type* s, const char_type& a, size_t n)
```

Requester: LWG  
Owner:  
Emails: (none)  
Papers: (none)

**Issue Number: 21-090**

Title: operator>> consuming whitespace  
Section: 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.io]  
Status: active  
Description:

From a public comment:

“It seems to me that, to be useful, `operator>>()` must eat zero or more delimiters specified by `basic_string<...>::traits::is_del()` prior to reading each string. This should be specified in the standard, to prevent varying implementations. If that is not the committee's intent, it should be explicitly stated in the standard what the intent is.”

Judy Ward ([j\\_ward@decc.enet.dec.com](mailto:j_ward@decc.enet.dec.com)) commented that `operator>>` should call `is.ipfx()` not `is.ipfx(true)`; calling `ipfx(true)` does not skip white space.

Proposed Resolution:

In 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.io], change the call to `is.ipfx(true)` to `is.ipfx()`.

Requester: John Mulhern ([jmulhern@empros.com](mailto:jmulhern@empros.com)).  
Owner:  
Emails: (none)  
Papers: (none)

**Issue Number: 21-092**

Title: Incorrect description for `traits::compare()`  
Section: 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members]  
Status: active  
Description:

At the end of the second sentence of the member's description, the description of the range for `i` is incorrectly stated as `[0, n)`.

Proposed Resolution:

In the second sentence of the description for `traits::compare()`, change:

...and for each `i` in the range `[0, n)`...

to:

...and for each *i* in the range  $[0, j)$ ...

Requester: Judy Ward (j\_ward@decc.enet.dec.com).  
Owner:  
Emails: (none)  
Papers: (none)

**Issue Number: 21-093**

Title: Clarify return value for traits::find()  
Section: 21.1.1.2 [lib.string.char.traits.members]  
Status: active

Description: The description of the function does not define what should be returned if the character cannot be found

Proposed Resolution:

Add the following to the end of the Returns section:

..., zero otherwise

Requester: Judy Ward (j\_ward@decc.enet.dec.com).  
Owner:  
Emails: (none)  
Papers: (none)

**Issue Number: 21-094**

Title: Description for operator>> does not cleanse string  
Section: 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.io]  
Status: active

Description: The description of the stream extraction operator states:  
The function extracts characters and appends them to *str* as if by calling  
`str.append(1, c)`.  
This incorrectly implies that extracting into a string which already contains data would append the data to the string

Proposed Resolution:

In the description for operator>>() in 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.io] change:

The function extracts characters and appends them to *str* as if by calling  
`str.append(1, c)`.

to:

The string is initially made empty by calling `str.erase()`. Then the function extracts characters and appends them to *str* as if by calling  
`str.append(1, c)`.

Requester: Judy Ward (j\_ward@decc.enet.dec.com).  
Owner:  
Emails: (none)  
Papers: (none)

**Issue Number: 21-095**

Title: `basic_string::getline()` cannot set the count in `basic_istream`  
Section: 21.1.1.10.8 [lib.string.io]  
Status: active

Description: The description of the `getline()` member states:  
The function ends by storing the count in `is...`

There is no `basic_istream` member which would allow this to happen.

Proposed Resolution:

None yet.  
Requester: Judy Ward (j\_ward@decc.enet.dec.com).  
Owner:  
Emails: (none)  
Papers: (none)

**Issue Number: 21-096**

Title: Add several headers to `basic_string`  
Section: 21.1 [lib.string.classes]  
Status: active  
Description: The declaration of the `basic_string` template does not include all headers required.

Proposed Resolution:

Add the following headers to the declaration of `basic_string`:

```
#include <stdexcept>
#include <iterator>
#include <locale>
#include <cwchar>
#include <cwctype>
```

(The addition of `iterator` has also been recommended by the German delegation.)

Requester: Judy Ward (j\_ward@decc.enet.dec.com).  
Owner:  
Emails: lib-4691  
Papers: (none)

## Closed Issues

Issues which have been recently closed are included in their entirety. Issues which have appeared in a previous version of the issues list as “closed” have the bulk of their content deleted for brevity. The document number of the paper in which they last appeared is included in parentheses for reference.

- 21-001 Should `basic_string` have a `getline()` function? (N0721=95-0121)
- 21-002 Are `string_traits` members `char_in()` and `char_out()` necessary? (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-003 Character-oriented `assign` function has incorrect signature (N0721=95-0121)
- 21-004 Character-oriented `replace` function has incorrect signature (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-005 How come the `string` class does not have a `prepend()` function? (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-006 Should the `Allocator` be the last template argument to `basic_string`? (N0721=95-0121)
- 21-007 Should the `string_char_traits` speed-up functions be specified as `inline`? (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-008 Should an `istream inserter` and `extractor` be specified for `basic_string`? (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-009 Why are character parameters passed as “`const charT`”? (N0721=95-0121)
- 21-010 Should member parameters passed as “`const_pointer`”? (N0721=95-0121)
- 21-011 Why are character parameters to the `string_traits` functions passed by reference? (N0721=95-0121)
- 21-012 Why are character parameters to the `string` functions passed by value? (N0800=95-0200)
- 21-013 There is no provision for errors caused by implementation limits. (N0815=95-0215)

- 21-014 Argument order for `copy()` is incorrect. (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-015 The `copy()` member should be `const`. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-016 The error conditions are not well-specified for the `find()` and `rfind()` functions. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-017 Can `reserve()` cause construction of characters? (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-018 Specification of traits class is constraining. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-019 The `Allocator` template parameter is not reflected in a member typedef. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-020 Header for Table 42 is incorrect. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-021 `compare()` has unexpected results (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-022 `s.append('c')` appends 99 nulls. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-023 Non-conforming default `Allocator` arguments (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-024 Name of traits delimiter function is confusing (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-025 Does `string_char_traits` need a locale? (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-026 Description of `string_char_traits::compare()` is expressed in code. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-027 Description of `string_char_traits::compare()` overspecifies return value. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-028 Description of `string_char_traits::length()` is expressed in code. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-029 Description of `string_char_traits::copy()` is overconstraining. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-030 Description of `string_char_traits::copy()` is silent on overlapping strings. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-031 Copy constructor takes extra argument to switch allocator but does not allow allocator to remain the same. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-032 Description for `operator+()` is incorrect (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-033 Requirements for `const charT*` arguments not specified (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-034 Inconsistency in requirements statements involving `npos` (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-034a Expand ability to throw `length_error` (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-035 Character replacement does not change length. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-036 Character case disregarded during common operations. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-037 Traits needs a `move()` for overlapping copies. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-038 Operator `<` clashes cause ambiguity (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-039 Iterator parameters can get confused with `size_type` parameters. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-040 Repetition parameter non-intuitive (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-041 Assignment operator defined in terms of itself (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-042 Character assignment defined in terms of non-existent constructor (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-043 Character append operator defined in terms of non-existent constructor (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-044 Character modifiers defined in terms of non-existent constructor (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-045 Iterator typenames overspecified (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-046 `basic_string` type syntactically incorrect in some descriptions (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-047 Error in description of `replace()` member (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-048 Inconsistency in `const`-ness of `compare()` declarations (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-049 Inconsistency constructor effects and semantics of `data()` (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-050 Incorrect semantics for `operator+()` (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-051 Incorrect return type for `insert()` member (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-052 Unconstrained position arguments for `find` members. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-053 Semantics of `size()` prevents null characters in string (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-054 Change the semantics of `length()` (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-055 `append()`, `assign()` have incorrect requirements (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-056 Requirements for `insert()` are too weak. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-057 `replace` has incorrect requirements (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-058 Description of `data()` is over-constraining. (N0759=95-0159)

- 21-059 String traits have no relationship to iostream traits. (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-060 `string_char_traits::ne` not needed (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-061 Missing explanation of traits specialization (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-063 No constraints on constructor parameter. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-064 Miscellaneous errors in `resize(size_type n)` (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-065 Incorrect return value for `insert()` (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-066 Description of `remove()` is over-specific (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-067 Traits specializations are over-constrained for `eos()` member (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-068 What is the proper role of the “Notes” section in Clause 21. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-069 Swap complexity underspecified. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-070 `operator>=` described incorrectly (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-071 Does `getline()` have the correct semantics? (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-072 Incorrect use of `size_type` in third table in section (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-073 Add overloads to functions that take default character object. (N0759=95-0159)
- 21-074 Should `basic_string` have a member semantically equivalent to `strlen()` (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-075 Incomplete specification for assignment operator (N0800=95-0200)
- 21-076 Inconsistent pattern of arguments in `basic_string` overloads (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-077 `basic_string` not identified as a Sequence. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-078 Possible problem with reference counting and strings. (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-079 Possible problem with `operator<<()` (N0815=95-0215)
- 21-080 Allow template specialization for `basic_string` and `string_char_traits`?
- 21-082 Typedef for `reverse_iterator` is incorrect. (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-083 Traits member `eos()` is not forced to return the same value every time. (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-084 Specialize `swap()` algorithm for `basic_string`. (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-086 New type added to table (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-087 Different return values for index operations (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-088 Slight glitch in return value for `find()` (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-089 Should `basic_string` have a `release()` member. (N0899=96-0081)
- 21-091 More specific description for `capacity()` and `reserve()` (N0899=96-0081)