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Introduction
A proposal for C++ translation limits is presented, following the mandate from the Dallas meeting, and using ANSI/ISO C 2.2.4.1/5.2.4.1 as a starting point. I hope that the definition is nearly complete, and that we can proceed with debate on specific values.

Abstract
ANSI/ISO C specified translation limits for C implementations. This C++ proposal expands on the C specification in three ways:
Individual (solo) limits specification,
C++ specific parameters,
Twofold conformance definition.
The table below incorporates C and C++ limits.
Legend and Rationale

1. You may notice the temporary introduction of what look like macro names, i.e., NEST_COMPOUNDS, as abbreviations for each item. This is only to aid in our discussion, which may last quite a while. The names will not appear in the Draft Document. A glossary is attached. The numbers preceding (leftmost column) are also for the ease of the reader, but are more subject to change than the macro names.

2. The third column "C combo" holds the value defined for ANSI/ISO C 2.2.4.1/5.2.4.1. These values are given for historic reference, are not subject to debate, and will not appear in the Draft Document. The abbreviation "combo" stands for "combined limits" --- the "rubber teeth" test program that contains an instance of each of the limits within one strictly conforming C program.

3. The fourth column "C++ combo" lists the proposed values for the same kind of combined limits, rubber teeth test, as defined for ANSI C, but as applied to C++. All of these values are subject to committee scrutiny --- we propose that they appear in the Draft Document. The "C++ combo" values, en masse, define a "least common denominator" of program portability. For sake of discussion, the values shown currently mirror the ANSI/ISO C standard, and are probably too low, especially if individual testing is rejected by committee (see "C++ solo").
Legend and Rationale, cont.

4. The fifth column "C++ solo" lists the proposed values for separately tested translation limits. This column is provided in order to fulfill the second criterion of Andrew Koenig's proposal.

The purpose of the "C++ solo" tests is twofold. "Minima become maxima", Bjarne has observed of the ANSI C translation limits. For instance, corporate policy may dictate that all C programs not exceed the translation limits (be strictly conforming programs), for fear of non-portability. We cannot not dismiss such a policy as being totally misguided. "We should pick unreasonably large values for the individual tests [C++ solo] such that an implementation may not impose arbitrary fixed limits."

The use of the words minimum and maximum has been avoided because it is misleading. All values shown in all three columns should be thought of as minima.

5. A C++ implementation that does not accept programs within the specified limits is "non-conforming", but the deficiency is easily quantified. An implementation that is otherwise conforming could still be regarded as excellent for many applications. This difficulty is addressed by a supplemental proposal called Twofold Conformance.

Note: The first three entries were treated as one limit by ANSI C.
### Table of Translation Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Name</th>
<th>C combo</th>
<th>C++ combo</th>
<th>C++ solo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 NEST_COMPOUNDS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 NEST_ITERATIONS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 NEST_SELECTIONS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 NEST_CONDITIONAL_INCLUSION</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 DECL_PTR_ADDR_FUNC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 NEST_PAREN_DECL</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 NEST_PAREN_EXPR</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 SIGNIFICANT_INTERNAL</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 SIGNIFICANT_EXTERNAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 EXTERNAL_IDENTIFIERS</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>65532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 BLOCK_IDENTIFIERS</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 MACRO_IDENTIFIERS</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 FUNCTION_PARAMETERS</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 FUNCTION_ARGUMENTS</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 MACRO_PARAMETERS</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 MACRO_ARGUMENTS</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 LINE_LENGTH</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>65532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 LITERAL_LENGTH</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>65532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 OBJECT_SIZE</td>
<td>32767</td>
<td>32767</td>
<td>1048575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 NEST_INCLUDES</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 CASE_LABELS</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 STRUCT_MEMBERS</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>4095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 ENUM_CONSTANTS</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>4095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 NEST_STRUCTS</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 AT_EXIT_FUNCTIONS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C++-specific limits:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Name</th>
<th>C combo</th>
<th>C++ combo</th>
<th>C++ solo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26 ALL BASES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>16384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 DIRECT_BASE_CLASSES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 NEST_CLASSES</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 CLASS_MEMBERS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>4095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 ABSTRACT_FUNCTIONS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 CONVERSION_FUNCTIONS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 OVERLOADED_FUNCTIONS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 OVERLOADED_CONSTRUCTORS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 VIRTUAL_FUNCTIONS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 VIRTUAL_BASE_SUBOBJECTS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 STATIC_MEMBERS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 FRIENDS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 ACCESS_DECLARATIONS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 MEM_INITIALIZERS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>32768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 SCOPE_QUALIFIERS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>4096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 NEST_EXTERN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 TEMPLATE_ARGUMENTS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 HANDLERS_PER_TRY_BLOCK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 EXCEPTION_SPECS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glossary with Notations (order of previous appearance)

NEST_COMPOUNDS
Nesting levels of compound statements.
Note: NEST_COMPOUNDS, NEST_ITERATIONS & NEST_SELECTORS
entries were treated as one limit by ANSI C.

NEST_ITERATIONS
Nesting levels of iteration control structures.

NEST_SELECTIONS
Nesting levels of selection control structures.

NEST_CONDITIONAL_INCLUSION
Nesting levels of conditional inclusion.

DECL_PTR_ADR_FNC
Pointer, array, and function declarators
(in any combinations) modifying an arithmetic,
a structure, a union, or an incomplete type
in a declaration.

NEST_PARENDECL
Nesting levels of parenthesised declarators within
a full declarator.

NEST_PAREN_EXPR
Nesting levels of parenthesised expressions within
a full expression.

SIGNIFICANT_INTERNAL
Significant initial characters in an internal identifier
or macro name.

SIGNIFICANT_EXTERNAL
Significant initial characters in an external identifier.

EXTERNAL IDENTIFIERS
External identifiers in one translation unit.

BLOCK_IDENTIFIERS
Identifiers with block scope declared in one block.

MACRO_IDENTIFIERS
Macro identifiers simultaneously defined in one
translation unit.

FUNCTION_PARAMETERS
Parameters in one function definition.

FUNCTION_ARGUMENTS
Arguments in one function call.

MACRO_PARAMETERS
Parameters in one macro definition.

MACRO_ARGUMENTS
Arguments in one macro invocation.

LINE_LENGTH
Characters in a logical source line.

LITERAL_LENGTH
Characters in a character string literal or wide string
literal (after concatenation).
OBJECT_SIZE
Bytes in an object (in a hosted environment only).
NEST_INCLUDES
Nesting levels for #included files.
CASE_LABELS
Case labels for a switch statement (excluding those for any nested switch statements).
STRUCT_MEMBERS
Members in a single structure or union.
ENUM_CONSTANTS
Enumeration constants in a single enumeration.
NEST_STRUCTS
Levels of nested structure or union definitions in a single struct-declaration-list.
AT_EXIT_FUNCTIONS
Functions registered by atexit().
See ANSI C X3.159-1989, 4.10.4.4.
Note: This is a runtime, rather than translation, limit.

C++-specific limits:

ALL_BASES
Direct and indirect base classes
(count of edges in the inheritance graph).
DIRECT_BASE_CLASSES
Direct bases classes per class.
NEST_CLASSES
Depth of nested class definitions, ie,
class S1 { class S2 { class S3 { int i; }; }; }; }
Note: NEST_CLASSES may be redundant with NEST_STRUCTS.
CLASS_MEMBERS
Class members in a single class object.
Note: May be redundant with STRUCT_MEMBERS.
ABSTRACT_FUNCTIONS
Abstract functions in one class.
CONVERSION_FUNCTIONS
Type conversions 'operator T()' in one class.
OVERLOADED_FUNCTIONS
Overloaded functions for a given name.
OVERLOADED_CONSTRUCTORS
Overloaded constructors in one class.
VIRTUAL_FUNCTIONS
Virtual functions per class.
VIRTUAL_BASE_SUBOBJECTS
Virtual base subobjects per class object.
STATIC_MEMBERS
Static members of one class.
FRIENDS
Friend declarations in one class.
ACCESS_DECLARATIONS
Access control declarations in one class.
MEM_INITIALIZERS
   mem-initializers. Initializations of base classes
   or members in a constructor definition, e.g.,
   T::T() : a(1), b(2), ... { }
SCOPE_QUALIFIERS
   Scope qualifications of one identifier, e.g.,
   BASE1::BASE2::BASE3::id
NEST_EXTERN
   "extern "lang" { }" nesting levels.
TEMPLATE_ARGUMENTS
   Template arguments in a template declaration.
HANDLERS_PER_TRY_BLOCK
   Handlers per try block.
EXCEPTION_SPEC
   Throw specifications on a single function declaration;
   that is, the number of type-id's in the type-id-list
   of an exception-specification.
Twofold Conformance

The following is a twofold conformance definition of Translation Limits for C++ as applied to the ANSI/ISO C (X3.159-1989), since this area has not yet been addressed by the ISO C++ Draft. Twofold conformance is a subproposal, to be considered on its own merits.

1.7 Compliance
   The definition of "strictly conforming program" is unchanged.

Add to the second paragraph in 1.7 Compliance:
   Additionally, both hosted and freestanding conforming implementations are categorized as "language conforming" and "environment conforming", where
   a "language conforming implementation" is specified exclusive of an "environment conforming implementation";
   and
   an "environment conforming implementation" shall be able to translate and execute the program(s) of section 2.2.4.1 Translation Limits that contain instances of the specified limits.

Rationale for Twofold Conformance

There are several motivations for isolating conformance specification of translation limits. The primary intent is to use a more detailed specification of compliance so as to promote understanding and acceptance of C++ implementations with limited resources --- implementations that would otherwise be blindly labeled non-conformant.

The C++ user community needs to know the bounds of a portable C++ program. This is the "strictly conforming program" as defined for C in 1.7 Compliance. The proposed C++ Translation Limits definition extends the C limits boundary in efforts to
   1) define realistic bounds for C++ program portability, and
   2) prevent implementations from imposing arbitrary limits.

An undesirable side effect of translation limits specification is that some implementations could be deemed "non-conforming" merely due to skimpy underlying resources, such as a shortage of memory, or segmented memory architecture. Yet for specific applications the same resources may known to be adequate, so it is somewhat unfair and misleading to classify them solely as non-conforming.
By separating "environment conforming" from "language conforming" it becomes possible to address these issues. Of course, implementations that are conforming in both criteria will have a marketing edge over those that are conforming in just one or none. Yet for some platforms, environment conformance may not be achievable by anyone. In such cases, each limits parameter should be individually reported and evaluated.

I believe that procurement specialists may sometimes have to specify more about their C++ needs than that it be "conforming". The "one size fits all" criterion is appropriate for the language specification, and for defining a maximally portable program, but is too restrictive to be applied to all aspects of the environment.
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Straw Vote Ballot

Recommended by author

A. C++ Translation Limits, combo and solo. Exact values to be determined.

B. Twofold conformance definition. Separates language from environment. Implies A.

Not recommended by author

C. Mere upgrade of C limits (combo limits only) Exclusive of A and D.

D. No specification of translation limits. Exclusive of A, B, C.