1 Opening activities

1.1 Opening Comments
Benito reminded the committee that under the timetable established two years ago that it was time for the Technical Report to enter the ballot process. Therefore, the committee should expedite producing a document for initial balloting.

1.2 Introduction of Participants/Roll Call
John Hauser, Berkeley Design Tech
Tom Kremer, Cray
Frank Farance, Farance Inc.
Raymond Mak, IBM
David Keaton
David Schwab, Oracle
John Benito, Perennial (Convener)
Peter Seebach
Bill Seymour
Randy Meyers, Silverhill Systems
Douglas Walls, Sun (HOD)
Fred Tydeman, Tydeman Consulting

Canada:
Raymond Mak, IBM (HOD)
Walter Banks, ByteCraft

Denmark:
Allan Frederiksen, Nokia
Jan Kristoffersen, RAMTEX (HOD)
Peder Mousten, RAMTEX

Netherlands:
Randy Marques, Atos Origin (HOD)
Willem Wakker, ACE

Norway:
Keld Simonsen, RAP (HOD)

UK:
Francis Glassborow (HOD)

1.3 Selection of Meeting Chair
Benito was selected as Meeting Chair.
1.4 Procedures for this Meeting
Meyers was selected as secretary.

1.5 Approval of Previous Minutes (N928)
The minutes were missing the following action item:
Action: Benito will produce TC1 and submit it for balloting.

The minutes were approved with the above amendment.

1.6 Review of Action Items and Resolutions

1.6.1 The following action items are closed:
Action: Benito will produce Technical Corrigendum 1 and submit it for balloting.
Action: Benito will register the C locale.
Action: Mak Write up alternative algorithm for answering YES/NO question intended to be answered by N925. (The result is N926.)

1.6.2 The following action items are still open:
Action: Keaton will coordinate review of rationale. Helpers Gwyn, Larry Jones, Schwab, Farance, Peterson, Leca, Tydeman (floating point).

ACTION Benito: Talk to WG14 members who are involved in following the time issues within WG15, to find somebody willing to summarize differences from the WG14 specification and write them up for distribution.

ACTION Tydeman: To update N919 in light of committee discussion.

1.7 Approval of Agenda (N939)
Lunch is 1PM-2PM.

The issues from Japan send to the email reflector (N942) are to be processed during the defect report agenda items.

1.8 Distribution of New Documents

1.9 Information on Next Meeting
See document N941.

Benito reported that less expensive hotels for those seeking alternate lodging can be found using the web site referenced in N941.

1.10 Identification of National Bodies
Six countries: Canada, Denmark, the Netherlands, Norway, United Kingdom, United States.

1.11 Identification of J11 voting members
Meyers informed Cray that they would become voting members immediately after the meeting was adjourned.

Meyers apologized for missing the last meeting due to a back injury.
J11 Walls/Tydeman: Move to restore vote to Meyers. No objections.

Out of 15 voting members, 9 members were present, which is a quorum. (Later, Farance attended the meeting, bringing the number of members present to 10.)

2 Rationale Editors report (N940)
N940 is a list of edits made in producing N937, the Rationale. Keaton is no longer Rationale Editor. Benito is acting editor. Edits should go to him.

The Rationale is currently in Word, which has trouble editing such a large document. Benito reported that Larry Jones suggested moving it to troff, which is used for the Standard. Jones has tools to allow a troff document to be published in text, postscript, or PDF.

Action: Francis Glassborow will investigate whether the use of style sheets would improve the ability of Word to handle the Rationale.

Action: Benito and Keaton will run long long words by Japan.

3 TC1 Status Report (N932) (SC22 N3207) (Benito)
TC1 is undergoing SC22 ballot (ending May 20). All national bodies should have seen it. TC1 is expected to be approved.

Action: Benito will ask Larry about incorporating TC1 into the body of the Standard.

There were no objections to Benito asking SC22 for permission to publish TC1 freely.

Action: Benito will ask permission to publish TC1 freely.

4 Liaison Activities

4.1 J11
TC1 is in letter ballot, and will be discussed during the TAG meeting.

4.2 WG14 (SC22 N3206)
WG14 in Toronto asked Benito to forward C locale for registration with ISO/IEC 15897.

4.3 J16/WG21
Meeting next week in Copenhagen.

Working on TR on performance. First draft in mailing. Second draft coming soon, and will be discussed at meeting.

Working on TC1, expected to vote out at the next meeting.

Vote is expected on new work item for library extensions.

4.4 WG15 (POSIX)(N933, N934, N935) (Simonsen)
Action: Banks and Kristoffersen will review device I/O proposal.

POSIX Standard incorporating C99 and TC. Final Standard out for ballot June or July. One big standard for IEEE, Open Group, ISO.
4.5  **WG20 (internationalization) (Simonsen)**  
Unicode 3.1 published in March contains some issues for C.  

No objection to an agenda change to talk with representative of Unicode

4.6  **Other Liaison Activities**

4.6.1  **Austin Group (formerly X/Open, ) (Tydeman)**  
Tydeman has been working on updated math documentation for the Austin Group, and several issues arose which are being presented to J11/WG14.

4.6.2  **WG11 (Wahher)**  
LID revision ISO 11404 is up for revision.  Farance is project editor.  
LIA, part 2 out for FDIS ballot.  
LIA, part 3 work expected to start before SC22 plenary.

5  **Fixed Point Arithmetic (N938) (Hauser)**  
SV Should overflow behavior (saturation, “mod”) be part of the type?  
lots  
A few people express vague concerns about wrap around arithmetic.  

SV Who is in favor of prohibiting mixing fixed point and floating point?  
7-3-7  

SV Who is in favor of integer operands in + - * / not promoting to fixed point before the operation?  
15-0-1  

SV Who is in favor of requiring the basic arithmetic operations to calculate the exactly  
14-0-2  

SV Who is in favor of special exception for multiply result of 1 or –1  
15-0-2  

SV In favor of using type generic functions?  
11-0-6  

No objections to round  
No objections bits like functionality  

SV In favor of function like syntax on left hand side of assignment for bits()?  
1-12-4  

SV In favor of making it a constraint to arithmetically combine an accum and a frac when the fractional part of the accum is not big enough to hold all of the bits of fracc?  
9-4-4

6  **WDTR 18037 (N936) (Wakker, Kristoffersen, Banks)**  
N936 was presented.
7 Sequence Points N926 (Mak)
Meyers and Mak were in agreement that we should be careful to specify the semantics we want rather than the semantics that is simplest in the notation.

Meyers, Benito, Seymour found Mak’s approach easier to understand than Feather’s.

Glassborow reported that the UK desired a technical report with a formal, understandable model of sequence points, but did not have an official presence.

Action: Tydeman, Seymour, Seebach, Meyers will review/help.

ISO Web site has templates for the format of a technical report.

8 Defect Reports

8.1 DR 201
Accept the Proposed Committee Response and close DR.

8.2 DR 205
Accept the Proposed Committee Response and close DR.

8.3 DR 206
Accept the Proposed Committee Response and close DR.
Action: Benito make Rationale edit.

8.4 DR 207
Change the Proposed Technical Corrigendum: from: 6.4.1 append to paragraph 2:

“The keyword _Imaginary is reserved for specifying imaginary types such as described in Annex G.”
to

“The keyword _Imaginary is reserved for specifying imaginary types.(footnote)
(footnote) One possible specification for imaginary types is Annex G.”

Accept the Proposed Technical Corrigendum after edits and close DR.

8.5 DR 211
Accept the Proposed Technical Corrigendum and close DR.

8.6 DR 212
Action: Benito will reword the Committee Discussion to be more appropriate for the Record of Response, and will then make if available for review.

8.7 DR 214
Accept the Proposed Committee Response and close DR.

8.8 DR 215
Accept the Proposed Technical Corrigendum and close DR.

8.9 DR 217
Accept the Proposed Committee Response and close DR.
8.10 DR 218
Accept Proposed Committee Response and Proposed Technical Corrigendum and close DR.
Action: Benito make Rationale edit.

8.11 DR 219
Action: Meyers will write paper.

8.12 DR 221
Accept Proposed Committee Response and close DR.

8.13 DR 222
Spelling errors to correct: “shold” for “should” and “memeber” for “member”
Accept Proposed Technical Corrigendum. Do not include the Committee Discussion in the Record of Responses. Close DR.

8.14 DR 223
Action: Tydeman will revise Proposed Technical Corrigendum for later review.
Done.

8.15 DR 224
Action: Tydeman will revise Proposed Technical Corrigendum for later review.
Done.

8.16 DR 225
Accept Proposed Technical Corrigendum and close DR.

8.17 DR 226
Accept Proposed Committee Response and close DR.

8.18 DR 227
Accept Proposed Committee Response and close DR.

8.19 DR 229
Accept Proposed Technical Corrigendum and close DR.

8.20 DR 230
Action: Meyers will review.

8.21 DR 231
Accept Proposed Committee Response and close DR.
Action: Benito make Rationale edit.

8.22 DR 233
Spelling Correction: “otherwizw” for “otherwise”.
Change:
“Let P equal the precision of non-zero, 6 if the precision is omitted, of 1 if the precision is zero.”
to
“Let P equal the precision if non-zero, 6 if the precision is omitted, or 1 if the precision is zero.”
Accept Proposed Technical Corrigendum and close DR.
8.23 DR 235
Simonsen reported that on EBCDIC systems that strcoll did not behave like strcmp.

Action: Benito will contact vendors to ask if their library behaves as proposed.
Done: Compaq reported that their implementation behaves differently.

Action: Mak will investigate whether IBM’s libraries behave as proposed.
Done: IBM reported that their implementation behaves differently.

Close: No change will be made.

8.24 DR 236
Committee believes that Example 2 violates the aliasing rules in 6.5 paragraph 7:

"an aggregate or union type that includes one of the aforementioned types among its members (including, recursively, a member of a subaggregate or contained union)."

In order to not violate the rules, function f in example should be written as:

```c
union tag {
    int mi;
    double md;
} u;

void f(int *qi, double *qd) {
    int i = *qi + 2;
    u.md = 3.1;   // union type must be used when changing effective type
    *qd *= i;
    return;
}
```

Example 1 is still open. The committee does not think that the suggested wording is acceptable.

8.25 Email Message 8335 (Issues from Japan)
Accept the answer to Item A in message 8336 from Jones as a proposed TC.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item B in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item C in message 8340 from Feather is acceptable to the Japanese.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item D in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese. Note that the same notation is also used in paragraph 4 of Standard.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item E in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the following answer to Item F is acceptable to the Japanese:
“Normally, semantics rules only apply to the section that contain them. However, note that 6.5.16.2 paragraph 3 says that compound assignment is like simple assignment, and so this semantics rule applies to compound assignment as well. Rules for overlap for other contexts are given elsewhere in the Standard.”

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item G in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese. Note that the corresponding binary operator is specified in 6.5.16.2 paragraph 3.
Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item H in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese. See also N813 from the post-Colorado mailing.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the following answer to Item I is acceptable to the Japanese: “Unless the implementation produces a result that is mathematically correct, the expression is not a constant expression. If overflow prevents the correct evaluation of the expression, the constraint is violated, and a diagnostic must be issued. The implementation is under no obligation to continue translating the program. A conforming compiler must issue a diagnostic or evaluate the expression correctly.”

Accept the answer to Item J proposed by the Japanese as a proposed TC.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item K in message 8336 from Jones with the example from 8340 from Feather is acceptable to the Japanese.

Accept the answer to Item L in message 8336 from Jones as a proposed TC.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item M in message 8364 from MacDonald is acceptable to the Japanese.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item N-1 in message 8336 from Jones with the example from 8340 from Feather is acceptable to the Japanese.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item N-2 in message 8340 from Feather is acceptable to the Japanese.

Proposed TC for Item O:

Change 6.10.3 paragraph 4:
If the identifier-list in the macro definition is not followed by an ellipsis, the number of arguments (including those arguments consisting of no preprocessing tokens) in an invocation of a function-like macro shall equal the number of parameters in the macro definition. Otherwise, there shall be more arguments in the invocation than there are parameters in the macro definition (note the ... is not a parameter). There shall exist a ) preprocessing token that terminates the invocation.

Change 6.10.3 paragraph 12 to read:
If there is a ... following the identifier-list in the macro definition, then the trailing arguments, including any separating comma preprocessing tokens, are merged to form a single item: the variable arguments. The number of arguments so combined is such that, following merger, the number of arguments is one more than the number of parameters in the identifier list in the macro definition.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item P in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese.

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item Q in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese.

Action: Benito will revise the following answer for Item R and present it to the Japanese: “This is a syntax error since an undeclared identifier is not a primary-expression, it will cause a syntax error somewhere in the grammar because there is no place that the grammar allows either an identifier or a primary-expression.”

Action: Benito will inquire whether the answer to Item S in message 8336 from Jones is acceptable to the Japanese.
9  Fixed Point Issues
SV Who is in favor of prohibiting mixing fixed point and floating point (both constants or variables)?
4-12-3

SV Who is in favor of adopting a principle of range preserving rules for the usual arithmetic conversions
(that it is better to preserve range at the expense of precision)?
lots-0-1

10  N945 (Tydeman)
Distributed as email message 8375.
The committee elected to make no change. The paper will not become a DR.

11  N943 (Tydeman)
Distributes as email message 8374.
Action: Benito will turn this document into DRs.
Action: Tydeman will contact IEEE arithmetic committee regarding remainder when dividing by zero.

Later in the meeting:
Walls provided feedback in message 8377.

Item 6 will become a DR to allow further discussion.

12  Benito Issues
Action: Benito will produce a DR about forming a composite type for array types using [static].

Meyers explained why bounds in variably modified types in casts must be evaluated. Consider expressions
like: (int (*)[m]) ptr + 1. The value of m is needed so that the pointer can be incremented by the size of m
ints.

13  TR Subgroup
Action: Wahher will produce the next version of the TR.

Banks presented background on multiple address spaces as segmented address spaces, and suggested that
type qualifiers allowed elegant support in C.

SV Should work continue on named address space qualifiers for possible inclusion in the TR.
lots-0-1

14  Character Set Issues
Kent Karlsson, Specialist member, Unicode consortium and Member SC2/WG2, as representative from
Sweden, discussed Unicode issues.

One issue was the Unicode consortium wanted to use wchar_t as a “multibyte-style” encoding for UTF16.
(Microsoft has an API for this.)

Meyers and Mak said that was a violation of the programming model of wide characters, since a single
wchar_t always represents a single character.

The committee also discussed that combining characters, and that uppercasing and lowercasing a string in
some languages changes the number of characters in the string.
15 Specification-Based Datatypes (Farance)
Farance presented an overview of specification-based datatypes.

SV In favor of further investigation of specification based types?
12/1/4

16 Language Independent Datatypes (Farance)
Farance presented an overview of LID.

17 Reflector
SV Who is in favor of adding antispam software to the WG14 mail reflector?
12/2/6

18 Future Meetings
2001-10-15/19 Redmond, WA, USA (Microsoft)

See the following for more information:
http://www.dkug.dk/jtc1/sc22/wg14/www/meetings

Action: Schwab will contact Peterson to suggest a combined Oracle/Compaq sponsored meeting in Nashua, New Hampshire, USA during October 2002.

19 Mailings
The post-Copenhagen mailing deadline is May 25, 2001 at 5PM PDT.
The pre-Seattle mailing deadline is September 14, 2001 at 5PM PDT.
Documents for the mailing should be emailed to Benito. (jb@peren.com).

20 Adjournment
The meeting adjourned at 2:50pm, Thursday.
1 J11 TAG

1.1 Agenda
Appoint delegation and HOD for future WG14 meetings.
Discuss position on SC22 N3207 (TC 1)
Discuss WG14 N944 (WG20 N822)

1.2 Delegation
J11 (Walls/Tydeman) Approve Jones, Walls, Tydeman, Seymour as delegation to the October 2001 meeting.
10/0/0/5/15

Meyers appointed Walls Head of Delegation.

1.3 Discuss position on SC22 N3207 (TC 1)
The issue is topic of a current letter ballot. Members who had not yet replied to the letter ballot communicated their votes to Walls. The letter ballot is expected to pass.

1.4 Discuss Syntactic metalanguage—Extended BNF (ISO/IEC 14977:1996)
2/2/6/5/15

1.5 Discuss Specification Method for Cultural Conventions (N944)
The committee chose not to take a position at this time.

1.6 Adjournment
The US TAG adjourned.