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We propose the inclusion of optional macros for time bases that are modelled after ISO 9945’s CLOCK_MONOTONIC, CLOCK_PROCESS_CPU_ID, and CLOCK_THREAD_CPU_ID.

History: This is one part of a follow-up of N2402 and N2417, which had been denied adequate treatment in the Ithaca 2019 meeting of WG14.

1. INTRODUCTION

The interfaces in time.h to manipulate time values have grown mostly unattended over the years and present several problems that could be easily avoided with more modern, redesigned interfaces. This paper is concerned with the following problem:

— The standard allows implementations to add more time bases than TIMEUtc but gives no guidance in which direction to go with such new base values.
— POSIX already provides normalized semantics for some other time bases than TIMEUtc, and it would be good if we could avoid that practices with similar named time base emerge that diverge from these.

1.1. Strategy

C11 and C17 left the addition of new time bases completely to the implementation. Although it is a good principle to leave room for extensions, certain of them already have a connotation in other normative context. In particular, ISO 9945 already provides specifications for four different time bases, two for elapsed time measurement (CLOCK_REALTIME and CLOCK_MONOTONIC), and two for CPU time (CLOCK_PROCESS_CPUTIME_ID and CLOCK_THREAD_CPUTIME_ID). C11’s timespec_get and TIMEUtc are modeled after ISO 9945’s clock_gettime and CLOCK_REALTIME, so we propose not handle the latter, and to suppose that the specification for TIMEUtc is sufficient.

For the other three, we propose to add optional macros to the standard, such that the names, if defined, bind implementations to a particular semantic. ISO 9945 and ISO 9899 differ slightly in their interfaces and have different terminology, so we propose to have macro names according to C’s terminology with a prefix TIME:

— TIME_MONOTONIC for a time base that is not affected by changes to the time environment, if such a change is possible on the platform. The intent is to provide a measure of time as perceived by the execution platform in its current physical reference system. (This is in contrast to calendar time as measured by TIMEUtc which is subject to normative and cultural adjustments.)
— TIME_CPU which is the cpu time that is accounted for the whole execution. The intent is to provide a value that is consistent with the return of the clock function as specified by the C standard.
— TIME_THREAD_CPU which is the same, but accounted on a per thread base.

Since these macros will generally have different values from the ones provided by ISO 9945 (there the constants have the opaque type clockid_t) we can impose positive values without invalidating components of ISO 9945.

As a general strategy we propose to modify 7.27.1 p2 as follows:
...  
\texttt{TIME\_UTC}  
\texttt{TIME\_MONOTONIC}  
which expands to integer constants, designating the \texttt{UTC}, real time and  
monotonic time base, respectively; and  
\texttt{TIME\_CPU}  
\texttt{TIME\_THREAD\_CPU}  
which expand to integer values, designating overall and thread-specific cpu-time  
bases, respectively.

And then to add a new paragraph:

3 The definition of macros for time bases other than \texttt{TIME\_UTC} are optional. If  
defined, the corresponding time bases are supported by \texttt{timespec\_get} and their  
values are positive.

1.2. Elapsed time
ISO 9945 has two different “clocks” for measurement of elapsed time, \texttt{CLOCK\_REALTIME} and  
\texttt{CLOCK\_MONOTONIC}. They differ eventually in the starting point of the measurement (\textit{epoch}  
vs. boot time) and, more importantly, concerning their behavior when the system time is  
set:

- \texttt{CLOCK\_REALTIME} changes when the clock is set to a new value, \textit{e.g.} if a background time  
daemon adjusts to a drift indicated by a time servers, or if calendar time is adjusted with  
a leap second. This is the only clock in ISO 9945 that is mandatory, and as such plays a  
similar role as \texttt{TIME\_UTC} for ISO 9899.
- \texttt{CLOCK\_MONOTONIC} is guaranteed not to be affected by such changes of the system clock and  
to measure physical time as perceived by the platform.

We propose to model the latter by \texttt{TIME\_MONOTONIC} and to add text to the end of 7.27.2.5 p3  
(\texttt{timespec\_get}):

\texttt{TIME\_MONOTONIC} is the same, but the reference point may or may not be the  
same epoch as for \texttt{TIME\_UTC} or any other implementation-defined time point;  
this point shall not change during the program execution and the result shall  
not be affected by any implementation-specific functions or external events that  
set the system time; it is implementation-defined if this base accounts for time  
during which the execution platform is suspended.\footnote{An execution platform may for example not be able to track physical time  
that elapsed during suspension in a low power consumption mode.}

With the attached footnote:

\footnote{An execution platform may for example not be able to track physical time  
that elapsed during suspension in a low power consumption mode.}

\textbf{QUESTION 1. Shall we adopt \texttt{TIME\_MONOTONIC} as proposed in N2460?}

1.3. CPU time
In C17, CPU time of a program execution can be measured by means of the \texttt{clock} function.  
Unfortunately this functions has several problems, the most severe being that it may overflow  
without notice. Another disadvantage of \texttt{clock} is that there is one legacy C implementation  
that gets this function fundamentally wrong when compared to the C standard: it accounts  
for elapsed (wallclock) time instead of CPU time. This repeatedly leads to confusion when  
code is ported from or to conforming platforms. For these reasons we think that \texttt{clock} is  
best deprecated and replaced by an appropriate time base for \texttt{timespec\_get}.
ISO 9945 has two such “clocks” which we propose to adapt to the needs of the C standard. Because implementations might need to dynamically distinguish different values for these bases for concurrent program executions (processes) or threads, the specifications of the values exempts them from being compile time constants (see above) and we add in 7.25.1 p3:

The value of TIME_CPU shall be different from the constants TIME.Utc and TIME_MONOTONIC and shall not change during the same program execution. The macro TIME_THREAD_CPU shall not be defined if the implementation does not support threads; its value shall be different from the above, shall be the same for all invocations from the same thread, and the value provided for one thread shall not be used by a different thread as base argument of timespec_get.

For timespec_get itself the text proposal in 7.27.2.5 is then quite simple:

For base set to TIME_CPU and TIME_THREAD_CPU the result is similar, but the call measures the amount of processor time associated with the program execution or thread, respectively.

Calls with TIME_PROCESS_CPU could replace calls of clock, provided we know the resolution of this time base.
If supported, calls with TIME_THREAD_CPU implement a new feature that allows to distinguish the cost of threads individually.

**Question 2.** Shall we adopt TIME_CPU as proposed in N2460?
**Question 3.** Shall we adopt TIME_THREAD_CPU as proposed in N2460?