<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MB/NC¹</th>
<th>Line number  (e.g. 17)</th>
<th>Clause/Subclause  (e.g. 3.1)</th>
<th>Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)</th>
<th>Type of comment²</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Proposed change</th>
<th>Observations of the secretariat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| NL 1   | 2                      |                             |                                        | te               | The Netherlands votes NO on the PDTS 17961 ballot for the following reason: the Conformance Section is formulated too weak, it would allow products without sufficient quality to call themselves 'a conforming implementation' thereby possibly giving a false sense of security to its users. | The Netherlands will reverse its vote if the following (NL 1, NL 2, NL 3) changes are implemented:  
- remove the penultimate paragraph of Section 2 ('For each rule, the analyzer shall report ...'). | |
| NL 2   | 2                      |                             |                                        | te               | - remove the last paragraph of Section 2 ('For each rule, the analyzer shall document ...'). | |
| NL 3   | 2                      |                             |                                        | te               | - replace the 3rd paragraph of Section 2 ('A conforming analyzer shall produce ...') by the following text:  
When analyzing a program text, a conforming analyzer shall, except for the special cases specified in this section, produce a diagnostic message for each occurrence of a violation of a rule specified in this Technical Specification.  
The special exceptional cases are:  
- when a code fragment in a program text violates multiple rules simultaneously, a conforming analyzer may aggregate diagnostic messages but shall produce at least one diagnostic message;  
- when a code fragment in a program text violates the same rule repeatedly, a conforming analyzer may aggregate diagnostic messages but shall produce at least one diagnostic message;  
- when the analyzer decides for whatever reason (size, complexity) not to (fully) analyze parts of the program text, a conforming analyzer shall have the option to report on this fact. | |

¹ MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)  
² Type of comment:  
ge = general  
et = technical  
ed = editorial
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