Daveed Vandevoorde (<u>daveed@edg.com</u>)

Core issues 743 and 950: Additional decltype(...) uses (revision 1)

Notes

The wording changes proposed in this paper address national body comment JP 8 (Core issue 743) to allow decltype(...) as a name qualifier. In addition, they also address Core issue 950 (allowing decltype(...) as a base-specifier) and the CWG's decision to allow the construct when forming destructor calls. For consistency's sake, the proposed wording also enabled decltype(...) for mem-initializer-ids and pseudo-destructor calls.

I made an attempt to fold decltype-specifier into class-name, but that doesn't fit well with existing uses of that grammar term (which often assume that a class-name is indeed a "name"). In the end, I just modified the grammar terms for the specific constructs that are being augmented.

The changes are against N3035.

Wording Changes

In 3.4.3 [basic.lookup.qual] paragraph 1 change the first two sentences as follows:

The name of a class or namespace member or enumerator can be referred to after the :: scope resolution operator (5.1) applied to a *nested-name-specifier* that nominates denotes its class, namespace, or enumeration. During the lookup for a name preceding the If a :: scope resolution operator, object, function, and enumerator names are ignored in a *nested-name-specifier* is not preceded by a *decltype-specifier*, lookup of the name preceding that :: considers only namespaces, types, and templates whose specializations are types.

Add a production to the grammar rule for unqualified-id in the introduction of 5.1.1 [expr.prim.general] as follows:

...

unqualified-id:
 identifier
 operator-function-id
 conversion-function-id
 literal-operator-id
 ~ class-name
 ~ decltype-specifier
 template-id

Change the indicated sentence in 5.1.1 [expr.prim.general] paragraph 6 as follows:

6 ... A class-name or decltype-specifier prefixed by ~ denotes a destructor; see 12.4.

Add a production to the grammar rule for nested-name-specifier in 5.1.1 [expr.prim.general] paragraph 6 as follows:

6 ...

```
nested-name-specifier:
    type-name ::
    namespace-name ::
    decltype-specifier ::
    nested-name-specifier identifier ::
    nested-name-specifier template<sub>opt</sub> simple-template-id ::
```

Change the first sentence following this grammar rule as follows:

A *nested-name-specifier* that names denotes a class, optionally followed by the keyword template ...

In 5.1.1 [expr.prim.general] paragraph 6 insert the following sentence before the final note:

... The form ~ *decltype-specifier* also denotes the destructor, but it shall not be used as the *unqualified-id* in a *qualified-id*.

In 5.1.1 [expr.prim.general] paragraph 8 change the first sentence as follows:

8 A nested-name-specifier that names denotes an enumeration ...

In 5.2 [expr.post] paragraph 1, add the following production to the grammar rule for *pseudo-destructor-name*:

```
pseudo-destructor-name:\\
```

~ decltype-specifier

In 5.2.4 [expr.pseudo] paragraph 1 change the first sentence as follows:

1 The use of a *pseudo-destructor-name* after a dot . or arrow -> operator represents the destructor for the non-class type named denoted by *type-name* or *decltype-specifier*.

In 5.3.1 [expr.unary.op] paragraph 10, change the following sentence as indicated:

There is an ambiguity in the *unary-expression* $\sim X()$, where X is a *class-name* or *decltype-specifier*.

In 7.1.6.2 [dcl.type.simple] paragraph 1 replace the production

```
simple-type-specifier:
...
```

decltype (expression)

by

simple-type-specifier:

... decltype-specifier

and add the following rule:

In 8.3 [dcl.meaning] paragraph 1 insert the following sentence before the note:

The nested-name-specifier of a qualified declarator-id shall not begin with a decltype-specifier.

In 8.3.3 [dcl.mptr] paragraph 1 change the following phrase as indicated:

the *nested-name-specifier* names denotes a class (one occurrence).

In 9 [class] paragraph 10, append the following sentence:

In such cases, the *nested-name-specifier* of the *class-head* of the definition shall not begin with a *decltype-specifier*.

In 10 [class.derived] paragraph 1, replace the grammar rule for base-specifier:

base-specifier:

```
**: opt nested name specifier opt class name attribute specifier opt

virtual access specifier opt ** opt nested name specifier opt class name

attribute specifier opt

access specifier virtual opt ** opt nested name specifier opt class name

attribute specifier opt attribute specifier opt
```

by

base-specifier:

```
base-type-specifier attribute-specifier<sub>opt</sub>
virtual access-specifier<sub>opt</sub> base-type-specifier attribute-specifier<sub>opt</sub>
access-specifier virtual<sub>opt</sub> base-type-specifier attribute-specifier<sub>opt</sub>
```

class-or-decltype:

 $::_{opt}$ nested-name-specifier $_{opt}$ class-name decltype-specifier

base-type-specifier:

class-or-decltype

In 10 [class.derived] paragraph 2, change the first sentence as follows:

The class name in a base-specifier type denoted by a base-type-specifier shall not be a class type that is not an incompletely defined class (Clause 9); this class is called a direct base class for the class being defined.

In 11.2 [class.access.base] paragraph 5 change the following phrase as indicated:

class nameddenoted by the nested-name-specifier (one occurrence).

In 11.5 [class.protected] paragraph 1 change the following phrase as indicated:

the *nested-name-specifier* shall namedenote (one occurrence).

In 12.4 [class.dtor] paragraph 10, change the first sentence as follows:

10 In an explicit destructor call, the destructor name appears as a ~ followed by a *type-name* or *decltype-specifier* that names denotes the destructor's class type.

In 12.6.2 [class.base.init] paragraph 1, change the grammar rule for mem-initializer-id as follows:

mem-initializer-id:

:: opt nested-name-specifier opt class-name
class-or-decltype
identifier

In 12.6.2 [class.base.init] paragraph 2, change the first sentence as follows:

2 Names iIn a mem-initializer-id are an initial unqualified identifier is looked up in the scope of the constructor's class and, if not found in that scope, are it is looked up in the scope containing the constructor's definition.

In 12.6.2 [class.base.init] paragraph 3, change the first sentence as follows:

3 A *mem-initializer-list* can initialize a base class using any nameclass-or-decltype that denotes that base class type.

In 12.6.2 [class.base.init] paragraph 6, change the first sentence as follows:

6 A *mem-initializer-list* can delegate to another constructor of the constructor's class using any nameclass-or-decltype that denotes the constructor's class itself.

In 12.6.2 [class.base.init] paragraph 7, change the following sentence as indicated:

A *mem-initializer* where the *mem-initializer-id* names denotes a virtual base class is ignored during execution of a constructor of any class that is not the most derived class.

In 12.6.2 [class.base.init] paragraph 8, change the first sentence as follows:

8 If a given non-static data member or base class is not nameddesignated by a meminitializer-id ...

In 12.6.2 [class.base.init] paragraph 10, change the first bullet as follows:

— First, and only for the constructor of the most derived class (1.8), virtual base classes are initialized in the order they appear on a depth-first left-to-right traversal of the directed acyclic graph of base classes, where "left-to-right" is the order of appearance of the base classes names in the derived class base-specifier-list.

In 12.9 [class.inhctor] paragraph 8 change the following phrase as indicated:

the base class named denoted in the nested-name-specifier (one occurrence).

In 14.7.2.4 [temp.dep.temp] change paragraph 4 as follows:

4 A template *template-argument* is dependent if it names a *template-parameter* or is a *qualified-id* with a *nested-name-specifier* which contains a *class-name* or a *decltype-specifier* that names denotes a dependent type.