



doc. nr.	ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS N 703	
date	1992-10-29	total pages
Item nr.		supersedes document

Secretariat: Nederlands Normalisatie-Instituut (NNI)
Kalffjeslaan 2 P.O. box 5059
2600 GB Delft
Netherlands

telephone: + 31 15 890390
telefax: + 31-15 890190
telex: 38144 nni nl
telegrams: Normalisatie Delft

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS
Title: ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Group on Functional
Standardization

Secretariat: NNI (Netherlands)

Title : Liaison Statement to the JTC 1/Special Group on
Functional Standardization Regarding Registration by
Standard (JTC1 N2128)

Source : ISO/IEC JTC 1/SWG on Registration Authorities

Status : Liaison Statement

Note :



ISO/IEC JTC 1 N 2128

Date: 1992-09-21

ISO/IEC JTC 1
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
Secretariat: USA (ANSI)

TITLE: Liaison Statement to the JTC 1/Special Group on Functional Standardization Regarding Registration by Standard

SOURCE: ISO/IEC JTC 1/SWG on Registration Authorities

PROJECT: - -

STATUS: Liaison Statement.

REQUESTED ACTION: For review and response by the ISO/SGFS.

DISTRIBUTION: P and L Members
SGFS Secretariat

Address reply to:

Secretariat ISO/IEC JTC 1—American National Standards Institute, 11 West 42nd Street, New York, NY 10036

Tel: 212 642-4934, 212 642-4884; TX: 42 42 96 ANSI UI; FAX: 212 398-0023

SEPTEMBER 1992

TITLE: LIAISON TO SGFS ON REGISTRATION BY STANDARD

SOURCE: ISO/IEC JTC1 SWG-RA

STATUS: FOR INFORMATION AND ACTION

1. INTRODUCTION

During its meeting in New York City on September 21st, 1992, the ISO/IEC JTC1 SWG on Registration Authorities (SWG-RA) reviewed the material received from the SGFS and distributed as part of JTC1 N 2053.

2. ACTIONS BY THE SWG-RA

The SWG-RA decided to recommend the following course of action to resolve associated ballot comments in JTC1 N 1838 as part of phase 1 of the publication of revised JTC1 Directives:

- a) The SWG-RA will propose to the SWG-P that the following change be incorporated into the JTC 1 Directives Annex H1.4, third paragraph, at the end of the fourth sentence.

"and action on an exception basis, as required."

- b) Add a note at the end of Annex H 1.4 as follows:

Note: The application of these requirements to registration performed in ISPs is currently under review by SWG-RA and SGFS.

3. IMPLICATION OF PROPOSED CHANGES

The SWG-RA has made the proposals above based on the understanding that ISPs have already and may continue to have occasional requirements to record object definitions. It is our understanding that the SGFS has indicated that these definitions will usually be contained in a specific part of an ISP and constitute that act of registration within a standard. SWG-RA has no desire to impact the approval cycles of ISPs but does have to continue to discharge its responsibility to maintain the integrity of the registration process, even when this is performed within a standard such as an ISP. To ensure that these dual goals are accomplished, the SGFS is requested to observe the mode of working proposed below.

4. SGFS/SWG-RA INTERACTION

- a) When the SGFS becomes aware that registration may become necessary in a part or parts of a forthcoming ISP, it should:
- (i) assess that the object definitions involved are appropriate for inclusion in an ISP as opposed to one or more base standards
 - (ii) inform the SWG-RA and provide a straight-forward explanation of the need for the registration and an outline of the mechanism to be used.

NOTE: It may be appropriate and effective for the SGFS to develop a generalized statement which constrains the circumstances under which it would consider the use of an ISP part for registration as being allowable. Such constraints might be documented in a future edition of ISO/IEC TR 10000 as well as in SWG-RA documents.

- b) When the PDISP of the ISP in question is received, it should be forwarded to the SWG-RA for review of the need for, and the procedures involved in any registration aspect of the ISP.
- c) At point a), if practical, or no later than point b) above, the SGFS should indicate whether the mechanism is similar to those used in previous ISPs, or whether it is different, and if so why.
- d) SWG-RA will inform the SGFS, on an exception basis, of any comments they may have in respect to a registration mechanism.

NOTE: The SWG-RA considers that it would be wholly inappropriate for new functionality to be introduced into ISPs by such registration mechanisms. When new functionality is required it should be accomplished by revision or amendment of the base standards involved.

5. FUTURE PROCEDURES UPDATE

If SGFS find the proposed method of interaction above acceptable, SGFS and SWG-RA will work together to develop a set of coordinated procedures which may result in updates to future editions of:

- a) The SWG-RA Guidelines [JTC 1 Directives, Annex H]
- b) The SGFS procedures [JTC 1 Directives, Annex C]
- c) The SGFS Framework [TR 10000]

The SWG-RA looks forward to working with the SGFS on this topic.