Remove Deprecated `shared_ptr` Atomic Access APIs from C++26

Contents

1   Abstract

Annex D of the C++ Standard that covers deprecated features maintains an easily misused API for atomic access to `shared_ptr` objects. This paper proposes removing that deprecated API from the C++ Standard Library.

2   Revision History

R4: March 2024 (Tokyo mailing)

— Assigned to LWG following LEWG electronic polling, [P3053R1]
— Wording updates
   — Rebases onto latest working draft, [N4971]
   — Weakened the rationale for how frequently UB occurred with the removed API

R3: December 2023 (post-Kona mailing)

— Applied another round of editorial corrections
— Amended for review comments by LEWG
   — Make no attempt to provide free function interface in `<memory>` header
— Sent to electronic polling for forwarding to LWG
— Added post-review feedback provided by Jonathan Wakely
— Wording updates
   — Rebased onto latest Working Draft, N4964
   — Removed edits to the `<memory>` header synopsis

R2: 2023 September (midterm)

— Removed revision history's redundant subsection numbering
— Applied numerous editorial corrections
— Add a new option to resolve header issue with new function overloads
— Wording updates
   — Rebased onto latest Working Draft, N4958
   — Updated stable label cross-reference to C++23

R1: 2023 August (midterm)

— Recorded review feedback from SG1, recommending removal
— Moved from SG1 to LEWG queue
— Fixed grammar and presentation of some rationale, no functional change
— Revised rationale in Annex C
— Validated wording against latest Standard Working Draft, N4950

R0: 2023 May (pre-Varna)

Original version of this document, extracted from the C++23 proposal [P2139R2].

Key changes since that earlier paper:

— Rebased wording onto Working Draft N4944
— Added examples of how to update deprecated code
— Considered proposals to minimize impact on header usage
— Added Annex C wording

3 Introduction

At the start of the C++23 cycle, [P2139R2] tried to review each deprecated feature of C++ to see which we would benefit from actively removing and which might now be better undeprecated. Consolidating all this analysis into one place was intended to ease the (L)EWG review process but in return gave the author so much feedback that the next revision of the paper was not completed.

For the C++26 cycle, a much shorter paper, [P2863], will track the overall analysis, but for features that the author wants to actively progress, a distinct paper will decouple progress from the larger paper so that the delays on a single feature do not hold up progress on all.

This paper takes up the deprecated C-style API for race-free access to `shared_ptr` objects, D.21 [depr.util.smartptr.shared.atomic].

4 History

This removal was originally suggested for C++23 as part of [P2139R2] and at the LEWG telecon of 2020/07/13 was deferred (without technical discussion) to SG1 for its initial review, after which the removal discussion would have come back to LEWG. That initial review did not occur, so this paper has been produced for C++26 to enable easier tracking of each deprecated topic.

4.1 Origin

The free function API for atomic access to `shared_ptr` was introduced with C++11, which introduced both the concurrency-aware memory model (including atomics) and `shared_ptr`.

4.2 Deprecation

The API was first deprecated by C++20, along with the introduction of its type-safe replacement, `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>`.

5 Proposal

The time has arrived to complete the cycle and remove the original fragile facility.

The legacy C-style atomic API for manipulating shared pointers, provided since C++11, is subtle and frequently misunderstood: A `shared_ptr` object that is to be used with the atomic API can never be used directly and (other than construction and destruction) may be manipulated **only** through the atomic API. Its failure mode on misuse (any direct use of that `shared_ptr` object before, after, or concurrent with the first use of the atomic access API) is silently undefined behavior, typically producing a data race.

C++20 provides `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>`, a type-safe alternative that encapsulates its `shared_ptr` object, safely providing a complete replacement for the original functionality. Additionally, C++20 also provides support for `atomic<weak_ptr<T>>`.

5.1 Impact of removal

The Standard contains no other overloads for the C-style atomics interface taking pointers to `T` rather than pointers to `atomic<T>`, so all existing usage should be easily diagnosed by recompiling (if not already diagnosed by a deprecation warning today). The fix for old code should be as simple as replacing `shared_ptr<T>` with `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>` in the affected places. The existing C-style atomic interface should then pick up support for the `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>` type.

For example, consider migrating this legal (but deprecated) program from the original C++11 API to the type-safe C++20 form.

Deprecated	Supported
```#include <memory>```	```#include <memory>``` ```#include<atomic>```
```std::shared_ptr<int> x;```	```std::atomic<std::shared_ptr> x;```

```int main() {```
``` std::shared_ptr<int> y =```
```   std::atomic_load(&x);```
``` y.reset(new int(42));```
``` std::atomic_store(&x, y);```
```}```
	```int main() {```
	``` std::shared_ptr<int> y =```
	``` std::atomic_load(&x);```
	``` y.reset(new int(42));```
	``` std::atomic_store(&x, y);```
	```}```

Observe that the only code change is to wrap the type declared for global variable `x` with `std::atomic`. No further changes to the code are necessary since the existing overloads for the C-style API expect `std::atomic<T>` pointers in the same argument positions and, those calls provide the correct behavior.

Note we must also `#include` the `<atomic>` header as the (never-deprecated) C-style API for atomics is defined in that header, once the deprecated overloads for `shared_ptr` have been removed from `<memory>`.

Alternatively, the user may prefer to further refactor the code to use the `std::atomic` member functions directly.

Deprecated	Refactored
| ```cpp
#include <memory>

std::shared_ptr<int> x;

int main() {
  std::shared_ptr<int> y = std::atomic_load(&x)
  y.reset(new int(42));
  std::atomic_store(&x, y);
}
``` | ```cpp
#include <memory>

std::atomic<std::shared_ptr<int>> x;

int main() {
  std::shared_ptr<int> y = x.load();
  y.reset(new int(42));
  x.store(y);
}
``` |

While this refactored example contains more changes, one might argue that the example shows more idiomatic C++. Also, the header dependencies remain the same as the original code since the full specification for `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>` is in the `<memory>` header needed for the original use of `shared_ptr`.

5.2 Addressing the header dependency

One concern when migrating to type-safe use of `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>` is that the overloaded functions for atomic types are declared only in the `<atomic>` header. The supposedly obvious solution would be to add the relevant atomic overloads that correspond to the old `<shared_ptr>` API. Wording for this solution is provided below, but what are the precedents and concerns? The following directions are considered, in order of increasing visibility of declarations though the `<memory>` header. Note that none of these concerns apply when importing the Standard Library modules.

5.2.1 Leave to user

The simplest option is to take no action in the Standard specification, and leave the workaround to end users including additional headers as required.

If we review the QoI of existing implementations, we find that MSVC already implicitly provides the API from just including `<memory>`; the GCC libstdc++ library strictly requires users to include `<atomic>` for themselves; and the LLVM libc++ library does not yet implement this C++20 library.

We recommend against this direction. The author has an aesthetic distaste for the way the container API has leaked across headers, but in practice the wording below seems like a practical solution to simplify the process of updating code when the deprecated API is removed.

5.2.2 Add new `atomic<shared_ptr>` free functions to `<memory>`

The free function interface for `atomic<shared_ptr` is a subset of the free function interface for `atomic` objects in general, due to the lack of support for `volatile` overloads in the `shared_ptr` interface.

Rather than import the generic overloads from the `<atomic>` header, we could add new function template overloads to the `<memory>` header alongside the declaration of `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>` that specifically take `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>` parameters rather than `atomic<T>`. These overloads would be defined to have the same behavior as the corresponding overloads for `atomic<T>`.

5.2.3 Add minimal `atomic` free functions to `<memory>`

The subset of atomic overloads could be added to the `<memory>` header alongside the declaration of `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>`, while the specification remains untouched in the atomics part of the library.

The obvious precedent for declaring a set of functions in multiple headers is the set of container overloads in the `<iterator>` header, such as `begin`, `end`, and `data`. The same overloads are present in each container header

so that clients of that container can easily use these functions; however, the specification for these functions remains in the iterators part of the Standard.

5.2.4 Add all `atomic` free functions to `<memory>`

The chief concern with adding just the minimal set of overloads is that set, while containing all the overloads necessary to support the `shared_ptr` API, is just a subset of the complete set of overloaded declarations in the `<atomic>` header. Notably all the pointer-to-volatile overloads are missing; note that those functions would be ill-formed for `atomic<shared_ptr>` and so constrained to be absent from overload sets anyway, and regardless of whether `std::atomic<shared_ptr<T>>::is_lock_free` is `true`.

If we are worried about that partial overload set, another option would be to add the whole free function interface of the `<atomic>` header to `<memory>`. The author believes that to be an excessive creep of unnecessary functionality into another header.

Given that the primary template `atomic<T>` cannot be instantiated for types other than instantiations of `shared_ptr` and `weak_ptr` without also including the `<atomic>` header, abusing this partial overload set seems tricky in practice.

5.2.5 Include `<atomic>` from `<memory>`

A simpler and more practical approach might be to simply mandate that the `<memory>` header directly includes `<atomic>`, just as it already includes `<compare>`. While this solution seems to be a bigger leak of excessive functionality through an unrelated header, in practice the implementation of `shared_ptr` requires the use of atomic integers to handle the strong and weak reference counts. Nevertheless, this approach does seem to be a more impactful change than necessary, with the potential to impact compile times.

6 Reviews

6.1 SG1 review : Varna 2023

SG1 reviewed this paper at the 2023 Varna meeting, and saw no concerns.

Poll: Remove deprecated `shared_ptr` atomic access APIs from C++25, with any of the library options listed in P2869.

SF	F	N	A	SA
2	4	1	1	0

The one vote against was a principled concern about any removal of deprecated features being a breaking change, not a special concern about this specific paper.

Result: forward P2869 to LEWG to make the final design decisions on how best to handle the header compatibility issue.

6.2 LEWG Review : Kona 2023

LEWG reviewed the paper, and approved forwarding the removal to LWG (subject to electronic polling) with no opposition.

Some time was spent reviewing the open design question of how best to handle the removal of the free function interface from the `<memory>` header and there was strong consensus to not specify any support in the Standard, as users are free to `#include <atomic>` if they want to retain the old free function style of call.

6.3 Post-review feedback at Kona

Jonathan Wakely pointed out by email that risk of undefined behavior is overstated in the paper, although he does not object to the paper itself. The undefined behavior in the standard is simply the risk of a data race when accessing a non-atomic object at the same time as calling one of the atomic free function APIs, not a universal risk of UB any time a non-atomic call was made to such a `shared_ptr` object.

The author has consistently (and erroneously) made the original claim since working on the `atomic<shared_ptr>` paper for C++20, and this is the first time he has been corrected, which may be due to many folks unfamiliarity if the free functions APIs have few consumers in the first place. However, it seems best to call out this fresh information before the document reaches electronic polling.

7 Wording

Make the following changes to the C++ Working Draft. All wording is relative to [N4971], the latest draft at the time of writing.

7.1 Update Annex C

Annex C (informative) Compatibility [diff]

C.1.7 [diff.cpp23.depr] Annex D: compatibility features

x **Change:** Removal of atomic access API for `shared_ptr` objects.

Rationale: The old behavior was brittle. `shared_ptr` objects using the old API were not protected by the type system, and certain interactions with code not using this API would, in some cases, silently produce undefined behavior. A complete type-safe replacement is provided in the form of `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>`.

Effect on original feature: Deletion of an old feature where a superior replacement exists within the standard.

Difficulty of converting: Violations will be diagnosed by the C++ translator, as there are no remaining overloads that would match such calls. Violations are addressed by replacing affected `shared_ptr<T>` objects with `atomic<shared_ptr<T>>`.

7.2 Strike wording from Annex D

D.21 [depr.util.smartptr.shared.atomic] Deprecated `shared_ptr` atomic access

1 The header `<memory>` (20.2.2 [memory.syn]) has the following additions:

```
namespace std {
template <class T>
  bool atomic_is_lock_free(const shared_ptr<T>* p);

template <class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_load(const shared_ptr<T>* p);
template <class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_load_explicit(const shared_ptr<T>* p, memory_order mo);

template <class T>
  void atomic_store(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r);
template <class T>
  void atomic_store_explicit(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r, memory_order mo);

template <class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_exchange(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r);
template <class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_exchange_explicit(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r, memory_order mo);

template <class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_weak(
    shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w);
template <class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_strong(
    shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w);
template <class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_weak_explicit(
    shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w,
    memory_order success, memory_order failure);
```

```
template <class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_strong_explicit(
    shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w,
    memory_order success, memory_order failure);
}
```

2   Concurrent access to a `shared_ptr` object from multiple threads does not introduce a data race if the access is done exclusively via the functions in this section and the instance is passed as their first argument.

3   The meaning of the arguments of type `memory_order` is explained in 33.5.4 [atomics.order].

```
template<class T>
  bool atomic_is_lock_free(const shared_ptr<T>* p);
```

4   *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

5   *Returns:* `true` if atomic access to `*p` is lock-free, `false` otherwise.

6   *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_load(const shared_ptr<T>* p);
```

7   *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

8   *Returns:* `atomic_load_explicit(p, memory_order::seq_cst)`.

9   *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_load_explicit(const shared_ptr<T>* p, memory_order mo);
```

10   *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

11   *Preconditions:* `mo` shall not be `memory_order::release` or `memory_order::acq_rel`.

12   *Returns:* `*p`.

13   *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  void atomic_store(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r);
```

14   *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

15   *Effects:* As if by `atomic_store_explicit(p, r, memory_order::seq_cst)`.

16   *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  void atomic_store_explicit(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r, memory_order mo);
```

17   *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

18   *Preconditions:* `mo` shall not be `memory_order::acquire` or `memory_order::acq_rel`.

19   *Effects:* As if by `p->swap(r)`.

20   *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_exchange(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r);
```

21   *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

22   *Returns:* `atomic_exchange_explicit(p, r, memory_order::seq_cst)`.

23 *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  shared_ptr<T> atomic_exchange_explicit(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T> r, memory_order mo);
```

24 *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

25 *Effects:* As if by `p->swap(r)`.

26 *Returns:* The previous value of `*p`.

27 *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_weak(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w);
```

28 *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null.

29 *Returns:* `atomic_compare_exchange_weak_explicit(p, v, w, memory_order::seq_cst, memory_order::seq_cst)`.

30 *Throws:* Nothing.

```
template<class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_strong(shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w);
```

31 *Returns:* `atomic_compare_exchange_strong_explicit(p, v, w, memory_order::seq_cst, memory_order::seq_cst)`.

```
template <class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_weak_explicit(
    shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w,
    memory_order success, memory_order failure);
template <class T>
  bool atomic_compare_exchange_strong_explicit(
    shared_ptr<T>* p, shared_ptr<T>* v, shared_ptr<T> w,
    memory_order success, memory_order failure);
```

32 *Preconditions:* `p` shall not be null and `v` shall not be null. The `failure` argument shall not be `memory_order::release` nor `memory_order::acq_rel`.

33 *Effects:* If `*p` is equivalent to `*v`, assigns `w` to `*p` and has synchronization semantics corresponding to the value of `success`, otherwise assigns `*p` to `*v` and has synchronization semantics corresponding to the value of `failure`.

34 *Returns:* `true` if `*p` was equivalent to `*v`, `false` otherwise.

35 *Throws:* Nothing.

36 *Remarks:* Two `shared_ptr` objects are equivalent if they store the same pointer value and share ownership. The weak form may fail spuriously. See 33.5.8.2 [atomics.types.operations].

7.3 Update cross-reference for stable labels for C++23

Cross-references from ISO C++ 2023

All clause and subclause labels from ISO C++ 2023 (ISO/IEC 14882:2023, *Programming Languages — C++*) are present in this document, with the exceptions described below.

container.gen.reqmts *see*
    container.requirements.general

depr.arith.conv.enum *removed*
depr.codecvt.syn *removed*
depr.default.allocator *removed*
depr.locale.stdcvt *removed*
depr.locale.stdcvt.general *removed*
depr.locale.stdcvt.req *removed*
depr.res.on.required *removed*
depr.string.capacity *removed*
depr.util.smartptr.shared.atomic *removed*

mismatch *see* alg.mismatch

8 Acknowledgements

9 References

[N4971] Thomas Köppe. 2023-12-18. Working Draft, Programming Languages — C++.
https://wg21.link/n4971

[P2139R2] Alisdair Meredith. 2020-07-15. Reviewing Deprecated Facilities of C++20 for C++23.
https://wg21.link/p2139r2

[P2863] Alisdair Meredith. Review Annex D for C++26.
https://wg21.link/p2863

[P3053R1] LEWG chairs. 2023-12 Library Evolution Polls.
https://wg21.link/d3053r0