Universal Template Parameters

Contents

1 Introduction

We propose a universal template parameter. This would allow for a generic `apply` and other higher-order template metafunctions, as well as certain type traits.

2 Motivation

Imagine trying to write a metafunction for apply. While `apply` is very simple, a metafunction like `bind` or `curry` runs into the same problems; for demonstration, `apply` is clearest.

It works for pure types:

```cpp
template <template <class...> class F, typename... Args>
using apply = F<Args...>;

template <typename X>
class G { using type = X; };
```

```
static_assert(std::is_same<apply<G, int>, G<int>>{}); // OK
```

As soon as `G` tries to take any kind of NTTP (non-type template parameter) or a template-template parameter, `apply` becomes impossible to write; we need to provide analogous parameter kinds for every possible combination of parameters:

```
template <template <class> class F>
using H = F<int>;
apply<H, G> // error, can't pass H as arg1 of apply, and G as arg2
```

3 Proposed Solution

Introduce a way to specify a truly universal template parameter that can bind to anything usable as a template argument.

Let's spell it `template auto`. The syntax is the best we could come up with; but there are plenty of unexplored ways of spelling such a template parameter.

As an example, let's implement `apply` from above:

```
template <template <template auto...> class F, template auto... Args>
using apply = F<Args...>;

apply<G, int>; // OK, G<int>
apply<H, G>;   // OK, G<int>
```

4 Implications

The new universal template parameter introduces similar generalizations as the `auto` universal NTTP did; in order to make it possible to pattern-match on the parameter, class templates need to be able to be specialized on the kind of parameter as well:

```
template <template auto>
struct X;

template <typename T>
struct X<T> {
  // T is a type
  using type = T;
};

template <auto val>
struct X<val> : std::integral_constant<decltype(val), val> {
  // val is an NTTP
};

template <template <class> F>
struct X<C> {
  // C is a unary metafunction
  template <typename T>
  using func = F<T>;
};
```

This alone allows building enough traits to connect the new feature to the rest of the language with library facilities, and rounds out template parameters as just another form of a compile-time parameter.

5 Example Applications

This feature is very much needed in very many places. This section lists examples of usage.

5.1 Enabling higher order metafunctions

This was the introductory example. Please refer to the Proposed Solution.

5.2 Making dependent `static_assert(false)` work

Dependent static assert idea is described in [P1936R0] and [P1830R1]. In the former the author writes:

> Another parallel paper [P1830R1] that tries to solve this problem on the library level is submitted. Unfortunately, **it cannot fulfill all use-case since it is hard to impossible to support all combinations of template template parameters in the dependent scope**.

The above papers are rendered superfluous with the introduction of this feature. Observe:

```cpp
// stdlib
template<bool value, template auto Args...>
inline constexpr bool dependent_bool = value;
template<template auto... Args>
inline constexpr bool dependent_false = dependent_bool<false, Args...>;

// user code
template<template <class> Arg>
struct my_struct {
  // no type template parameter available to make a dependent context
  static_assert(dependent_false<Arg>, "forbidden instantiation.");
};
```

5.3 Checking whether a type is an instantiation of a given template

When writing template libraries, it is useful to check whether a given type is an instantiation of a given template. When our templates mix types and NTTPs, this trait is currently impossible to write in general. However, with the universal template parameter, we can write a concept for that easily as follows.

```cpp
// is_instantiation_of
template<typename T, template<template auto...> typename Type>
inline constexpr bool is_instantiation_impl = false;

template<template auto... Params, template<template auto...> typename Type>
inline constexpr bool is_instantiation_impl<Type<Params...>, Type> = true;

template<typename T, template<template auto...> typename Type>
concept is_instantiation_of = is_instantiation_impl<T, Type>;
```

With the above we are able to easily constrain various utilities taking class templates:

```cpp
template <auto N, auto D>
struct ratio {
  static constexpr decltype(N) n = N;
  static constexpr decltype(D) d = D;
};

template<is_instantiation_of<ratio> R1, is_instantiation_of<ratio> R2>
using ratio_mul = simplify<ratio<
```

```
    R1::n * R2::n,
    R1::d * R2::d
  >>;
```

or create named concepts for them:

```
template<typename T>
concept is_ratio = is_instantiation_of<ratio>;

template<is_ratio R1, is_ratio R2>
using ratio_mul = simplify<ratio<
    R1::n * R2::n,
    R1::d * R2::d
  >>;
```

This concept can then be easily used everywhere:

```
template <is_instantiation_of<std::vector> V>
void f(V& v) {
  // valid for any vector
}
```

6 Other Considered Syntaxes

In addition to the syntax presented in the paper, we have considered the following syntax options:

6.1 . and ... instead of `template auto` and `template auto ...`

```
template<template<...> class F, . x, . y, . z>
using apply3 = F<x, y, z>;
```

The reason we discarded this one is that it is very terse for something that should not be commonly used, and as such uses up valuable real-estate.

7 Acknowledgements

8 References

[P1830R1] Ruslan Arutyunyan. 2019. std::dependent_false.
   https://wg21.link/p1830r1

[P1936R0] Ruslan Arutyunyan. 2019. Dependent Static Assertion.
   https://wg21.link/p1936r0

:::