# **Proposal for C2Y**

## WG14 N 3262

Title:	Usability of a byte-wise copy of va_list
Author, affiliation:	Robert C. Seacord, Woven by Toyota, United States <u>rcseacord@gmail.com</u>
Date:	2024-05-10
Proposal category:	Feature
Target audience:	Implementers
Abstract:	Assign a disposition to the usability of a byte-wise copy of a va_list object
Prior art:	C23

# Usability of a byte-wise copy of va\_list

Reply-to: Robert C. Seacord (rcseacord@gmail.com)

Document No: N 3262

Reference Document: N 3220

Date: 2024-04-01

Proposal to allow arrays of non-atomic character type (coined byte arrays) to be accessed as other object types.

### Change Log

2024-5-10:

• Initial version

#### **Table of Contents**

Proposal for C2Y	1
WG14 N 3262	1
Change Log	2
Table of Contents	2
1 Problem Description	2
2 Proposed Text	3
3 Acknowledgements	

### **1 Problem Description**

It is unclear in C23 if certain objects are usable after being byte-wise copied by calls to functions such as memopy or memset. A va\_list object is usable after initialization by the va\_start macro, but the standard is silent about whether an initialized va\_list object is usable after a byte-wise copy.

A va\_list can be just a pointer and copying it should have similar consequences to copying any other object types as provided by a library. On the other hand, all complete structure types can have members that deal with offsets from their own address or contain pointers or indices into some global structure (such as FILE) that would prevent them from being copied or result in dangling pointers after the original copy has been destroyed. Having a complete type only guarantees that such an object can be declared, not that you can be used without calling a setup function. C23 doesn't disallow

implementations of va\_list that cannot be copied, so passing a byte-wise copy to a standard function is implicitly undefined behavior.

C23 specifies the  $va\_copy$  macro for copying a  $va\_list$  object, suggesting that functions such as memcpy or memset should not be used for this purpose. A  $va\_list$  function parameter can be a  $va\_list$  or, if implemented as an array, a pointer. Consequently, taking the address of such a parameter to pass to memcpy is unreliable.

The solution proposed by this paper is to eliminate the implicit undefined behavior by making the usability of a byte copy of a  $va_list$  implementation-defined behavior.

### **2** Proposed Text

Text in green is added to the N3220 working draft. Text in red that has been struck through is removed from the N3220 working draft.

Make the following modification to Subclause 7.16 Variable arguments <stdarg.h>, paragraph 4:

The type declared is

#### va\_list

which is a complete object type suitable for holding information needed by the macros va\_start, va\_arg, va\_end, and va\_copy. If access to the varying arguments is desired, the called function shall declare an object (generally referred to as ap in this subclause) having type va\_list. The object ap may be passed as an argument to another function; if that function invokes the va\_arg macro with parameter ap, the representation of ap in the calling function is indeterminate and shall be passed to the va\_end macro prior to any further reference to ap.<sup>295)</sup> Whether a byte copy of va\_list can be used in place of the original is implementation-defined.

### **3 Acknowledgements**

I would like to recognize the following people for contributing to this work: Jens Gustedt, Alex Celeste, and Joseph Myers.