EDITORS NOTES ON COMMENTS ON 3rd WDTR 10000-1.3 (N817) MARCH 1993

The following contributions have been received on the third working draft of TR 10000-1.3, and on the related output papers of the SGFS December 1993 Authorized Subgroup Meeting. Editor's comments on them and his suggestions for their disposition are appended. Under "Action" the editors notes on the discussion of the comments during the Seoul meeting are given. As this document was not yet available during the Seoul meeting, the actions are not formally approved by SGFS.

Origin (N###)	Item	Also see:	Type	Page/ Line	Clause	Subject	Proposal	Action
EWOS N929	EW-01					Initially discuss parts 1 and 3 together	Accept recommendation	Parts 1 and 3 were discussed together
N929	EW-02		M		1	Revise clause 1 based on SGFS/N928	For consideration	New text incorporated with many updates/changes
N929	EW-03		m		3	Revise terminology based on new text for clause 6	For consideration	Accepted in principal.
N929	EW-04		m		4	Add abbreviations for PC and PS Taxonomy classes	Depends on EW-09	Accepted
N929	EW-05		M		5	Need for generic text on User Requirements	For consideration	Accepted in principal, without precise instructions
N929	EW-06		E	12/13 -16	6.3 .2	Alignment of terminology	For consideration	Accepted
N929	EW-07		m	12	6.3 .3	Alignment of terminology	For consideration	Accepted
N929	EW-08		M	13-15	6.4 -6.7	Revision of text on generic conformance requirements, based on SC21 NWI	Add placeholder/remi nder/ reference to SC21 work to text	No text change needed; liaison statements to SC21/WG1 and SC22/15 (SGFS Seoul 22)
N929	EW-09	US-03d	M	17-18	7.3	Alignment of terminology, skeleton taxonomy for AEPs (See N928)	For consideration	Withdrawn for earlier agreement
N929	EW-10		m	20	8.3	Add requirement of "audit trail"	For consideration	Comment not discussed in Seoul Proposed new text added, with note.
N929	EW-11		M	20-22	8.4	Revision of text on generic conformance requirements, based on SC21 NWI	Add placeholder/remi nder/ reference to SC21 work to text	Comment not discussed in Seoul Note added to 8.4
EWOS N924	EW-12	US-11		19/4-8	8.2	Adopt proposed text for ISPs containing more than 1 profile	Text is already there; for consideration	See US-11
Italy N911	I-01			2/35	1	Usage of term "ODP" in Scope section	For consideration; 1b seems to be the correct way	The relationship with ODP needs further investigation; an issue on this topic is added to the issues list

Page

N911	I-02					2a) Functional Requirements as in ODP RM	For consideration	See I-01
N911	I-03					2b) Engineering Model of OSE is needed	For consideration	Not discussed in Seoul
N911	I-04			4/5-7	3.1 .1	2c) Correlate definition of AEP with SC21 work	For consideration	Not discussed in Seoul; see also Issue 15 of issues list
N911	I-05				6.5 6.6 6.7	2d) Align definition of Conformance with ODP	For consideration	See I-01
USA N918	US-01		e	iv/7	Int ro	Remove comma	Accept comment	Accepted
N918	US-02	EW-02		1/16-30, fig 1	1	Does not represent relationships	For consideration	Accepted, incorporated in EW-02
N918	US-03a			2/5-9	1	Scope could vary	Accept replacement text	Accepted
N918	US-03b	US-02, EW-02		1/19-30,2/ 17-19	1	Remove parenthetical expression	For consideration	Accepted, incorporated in EW-02
N918	US-03c			13/1-2	6.3 .3	Industry-specific profiles are within the scope	Accept replacement text	Accepted solution: remove the note completely
N918	US-03d	EW-09		17/26 -29	7.3 .1	Extended partitioning of OSE Profiles	For consideration; relation with US-04?	Covered by other points
N918	US-03e			17/30 -32	7.3 .1	Industry specific profiles	For consideration	Covered by other points
N918	US-03f	EW-09		17/33 -35	7.3 .1	Functional profiles should be evolved to interface profiles	For consideration	Accepted
N918	US-04	EW-02		2/28 - 3/3	1	Replace ad-hoc list	For consideration; relation with US-03d?	Replace suggested text by note that the POSIX/ODP issue need to be resolved (ref to issues list)
N918	US-05			9/30	6.1 .4	New functionality cannot be defined in an ISP	For consideration	Accepted; replacement text: "in a set of approved base standards cited by an ISP"
N918	US-06			10/3-4	6.1 .4	"in order to make good the omission"	Deletion for consideration.	Accepted
N918	US-07			10/37 -38	6.2	Relationship to Registration Authorities	For consideration	Insert US text (or text from Brian Wood) with note that it is no consensus text.
N918	US-08			15/27 -29	7.1	Nature and Purpose of Taxonomy definition problem	For consideration	a) accepted b) no "unique" nor "ambiguous" add reference to issues list
N918	US-09			16/43	7.2 .2	Confusing sentence	For consideration	Accepted, replacement text: "identify new profile identifiers in the taxonomy"
N918	US-10			16/43	7.2 .2	Replace "increase" with "change"	For consideration	Accepted

WDTR 10000-1.3: Editors Notes on Comments on N817 JTC1/SGFS N1021

N918	US-11	EW-12		18/32 -35, 19/1-16	8.2	Multi-part ISPs	Contradicts EW-12; for consideration	It was incorrect to include the new text without consensus or clear instruction. The new text is kept, with a note on its status.
N918	US-12			24/25	A.3 .4	Explanatory reports are for review; not for approval	For consideration	Comment not discussed; proposed change implemented with note on status
N918	US-13	US-14		24/25 -26	A.3 .4	Compliance statement not appropriate	For consideration	Comment not discussed; proposed change implemented with note on status
N918	US-14	US-13		26/10 -12	A.4 .3	See US-13	For consideration	Comment not discussed; proposed change implemented with note on status
Germ any N922	D-01	EW-02		1/19-30	1	Align figure 1 with figure 1 from part 3	Is proposed new text in N928 sufficient?	Accepted, incorporated in EW-02
N922	D-02	EW-02		1/11-15	1	Add reference to OSI and part 2	Is proposed new text in N928 sufficient?	Accepted, incorporated in EW-02
N922	D-03	EW-02		2-3/ 28-3	1	OSI covers more than only OSI; text should reflect this.	Is proposed new text in N928 sufficient?	Accepted, incorporated in EW-02
N922	D-04			5/5	3.2 .1	Improve definition of Conformance Testing	For consideration	Accepted
N922	D-05			4	3.1	Add definition of taxonomy	For consideration	Accepted
N922	D-06			10/7	6.2	Replace title by "Registration of object types"	For consideration	Replacement title: "Registration in ISPs"
N922	D-07			11/34	6.3 .2	Replace "function" by "Profile"	For consideration	Accepted
N922	D-08	D-9		15/31	7.1	Replace "first elements of the structure" (??) by "classification scheme (taxonomy classes)"	For consideration	Accepted
N922	D-09	D-8		16/5	7.1	Replace "further levels of structure" by "further level elements (sub-classes)"	For consideration	Accepted
N922	D-10			16/14 -21	7.2 7.2 .1	Removal of redundant text	For consideration	Accepted
UK N932	UK-1	EW-01 EW-02				Support of EWOS proposals		Noted
Fran ce N937	FR-1			5	3.2	Comparison of 9646 and POSIX 1003.3	Adaption of terminology? Also applies to section 6.4-6.7	?? No change noted
Neth erla nds N943	NL-1			4	3.1	Add definition of **Application**	**For consideration**	**Definitions will be updated**
N943	NL-2			4	3.1	Add definition of **Application Platform**	**For consideration**	Definitions will be updated
N943	NL-3			4	3.1	Add definition of **Application Portability**	**For consideration**	Definitions will be updated

Page

N943	NL-4			4	3.1	Add definition of **Application Software**	For consideration	Definitions will be updated
N943	NL-5			4	3.1	Add definition of **Interface**	For consideration	Definitions will be updated
N943	NL-6			4	3.1	Add definition of **Service**	For consideration	Definitions will be updated
N943	NL-7			4	3.1	Add definition of **Software**	For consideration	Definitions will be updated
N943	NL-8			4	3.1	Add definition of **Standard**	For consideration	Definitions will be updated
N943	NL-9			4	3.1	Revise definition of **Base Standard**	For consideration	Not discussed; note added to text
EDITOR	ED-1					Change "CCITT" by "ITU-TS"		Accepted
EDITOR	ED-2					Capitalization		Make capitalization consistent for Taxonomy and Technical Report