



doc. nr.	ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS N 603	
date	1992-08-11	total pages
item nr.	supersedes document	

Secretariat: Nederlands Normalisatie-instituut (NNI)
Kalfjeslaan 2 P.O. box 5059
2600 GB Delft
Netherlands

telephone: + 31 15 690390
telefax: + 31-15 690190
telex: 38144 nni nl
telegrams: Normalisatie Delft

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SGFS
Title: ISO/IEC JTC 1 Special Group on Functional
Standardization

Secretariat: NNI (Netherlands)

Title : Handling of defect reports in a consistent manner in ISP documents

Source : SGFS Washington meeting, June 1992

Status : This is a proposed change which SGFS intends to introduce. Washington Resolution applies

Note :

SGFS N603

TITLE: Handling of defect reports in a consistent manner in ISP documents

SOURCE: SGFS Washington meeting, June 1992

STATUS: This is a proposed change which SGFS intends to introduce. Washington Resolution – applies

DATE: June 15, 1992

According to SGFS N389 (which gives clarification for the developers of ISPs), an ISP lists all base standard amendments and technical Corrigenda that are applicable to the profile. The explanatory report, on the other hand, lists all amendments and technical corrigenda that have been considered, whether they are applicable or not.

But when an ISP is amended, according to SGFS N415, the status of all amendments and technical corrigenda to the base standard has to be indicated, not just that of those applicable to the profile.

For consistency it is proposed that the guidance in N389 should be modified, so that even when first published, prior to any amendments, an ISP contains a list of all base standard amendments and technical corrigenda that have been considered in development of the ISP, and identifies their disposition. This is more useful than having the information in the explanatory report, which is not part of the published ISP.

Moreover, to ensure consistency between ISPs, it is suggested that the procedures should identify specific statements to be used in ISPs and amendments to describe the disposition of base standard amendments and technical corrigenda in the ISP. Possible statements could be:

-The amendment or TC applies to a part of base standards that is out-of-scope in the profile definition. Therefore the amendment or TC does not change the profile definition.

-The amendment or TC applies to a part of base standards that is used in the profile definition. Therefore the amendment or TC shall be taken into account in implementations claiming conformance to the profile.