## CTM

October 14, 2007

## Issues relating to TMQL (1)

- Clause 3.1 Syntax conventions
- Put them in CTM instead? Discuss with Robert and Lars Marius
- See LMGs meeting notes on TMQL
- Clause 4.1 Constants
- QIRI and IRI stuff must be aligned between TMQL and CTM
- Clause 4.2 Atoms
- Data types must be aligned between TMQL and CTM
- True and false can cause problems in TMQL
- Does CTM really need them? If not, remove.
- In TMQL: null should be xs:nil
- In CTM (and TMQL): null should be undef
- Discuss whether CTM really needs undef


## Issues relating to TMQL (2)

- Item identifiers
- In TMQL bare identifiers will always expand to subject identifiers
- New syntax for item identifiers using the tilde, e.g. $\sim$ file:/C:/topicmaps/myDoc.ctm\#language
- Add to CTM
- NOTE: This conflicts with reification and needs to be reassessed
- Clause 4.10 Topic Maps content
- TQML needs to modify the syntax productions of CTM in order to define embedding of CTM
- This should be coordinated with the CTM editors


## Issues relating to TMQL (3)

- Multiline comments should be reintroduced
- Use \#( .....) \# unless TMQL editors come up with reasons why this would interfere with TMQL [31] tm-content
- Objections were raised at the end of the meeting to this syntax
- A couple of people thought it was just ugly and could cause confusion with one-line comments consisting of a parenthesis:
- \#(this is an example)
- /* ... */ would conflict with path expression language
- \#/ ... /\# might work instead
- Other suggestions:
- \#\#\# ... \#\#\# (problematic w.r.t. to single line comments or not?)
- (-: ... :-) (too puerile?)
- (0-...-0) (ditto?)
- Check SQL, XPath, Xpointer and XQuery for alternatives


## Escape syntax for Unicode chars

- CTM Clause 3.4.1 Escape syntax
- Unicode characters:
- must support up to 8 hex digits (lu0088AAFF)
- May require two different syntaxes, e.g.
- lu00FF
- lU00FF00FF

