

CTM

October 14, 2007



Issues relating to TMQL (1)

Clause 3.1 Syntax conventions

- Put them in CTM instead? Discuss with Robert and Lars Marius
- See LMGs meeting notes on TMQL

Clause 4.1 Constants

QIRI and IRI stuff must be aligned between TMQL and CTM

Clause 4.2 Atoms

- Data types must be aligned between TMQL and CTM
- True and false can cause problems in TMQL
 - Does CTM really need them? If not, remove.
- In TMQL: null should be xs:nil
- In CTM (and TMQL): null should be undef
 - Discuss whether CTM really needs undef



Issues relating to TMQL (2)

Item identifiers

- In TMQL bare identifiers will always expand to subject identifiers
- New syntax for item identifiers using the tilde, e.g.
 ~file:/C:/topicmaps/myDoc.ctm#language
- Add to CTM
 - NOTE: This conflicts with reification and needs to be reassessed

Clause 4.10 Topic Maps content

- TQML needs to modify the syntax productions of CTM in order to define embedding of CTM
- This should be coordinated with the CTM editors



Issues relating to TMQL (3)

Multiline comments should be reintroduced

- Use #(.....)# unless TMQL editors come up with reasons why this would interfere with TMQL [31] tm-content
- Objections were raised at the end of the meeting to this syntax
 - A couple of people thought it was just ugly and could cause confusion with one-line comments consisting of a parenthesis:
 - #(this is an example)
 - /* ... */ would conflict with path expression language
 - #/ ... /# might work instead
 - Other suggestions:
 - ### ... ### (problematic w.r.t. to single line comments or not?)
 - (-: ... :-) (too puerile?)
 - (o- ... -o) (ditto?)
- Check SQL, XPath, Xpointer and XQuery for alternatives



Escape syntax for Unicode chars

- CTM Clause 3.4.1 Escape syntax
 - Unicode characters:
 - must support up to 8 hex digits (\u0088AAFF)
- May require two different syntaxes, e.g.
 - \u00FF
 - \U00FF00FF