
COMMENT Enhancement Request Number 45
ajosey:rdg.opengroup.org Bug in XBD (C99 TC2) (rdvk# 4)
[ISO C TC2 #34] Thu, 24 Feb 2005 17:17:40 GMT

Accept_____ Accept as marked below_____ Duplicate_____ Reject_____OPEN
Rationale for rejected or partial changes:

Page: 210 Line: 7419 Section: complex.h

Problem:
ISO C TC2 #34

Action:

Change From:

imaginary
 Expands to `_Imaginary`.
`_Imaginary_I`
 Expands to a constant expression of type `const float` `_Imaginary` with
 the value of the imaginary unit.

To:

I
 Expands to `_Complex_I`

[Note from gwc:

I think there may be a problem here with C99 (as amended by TC2).
As far as I can see there is no longer any mention of the imaginary
macro in normative text, which means implementations are not allowed
to define it (because it is not reserved for use by the
implementation). Yet in Annex G it still recommends (in informative
text) that implementations which define `__STDC_IEC_559_COMPLEX__`
should define the imaginary macro. It also recommends that these
implementations should define I "to be `_Imaginary_I` (not `_Complex_I`
as stated in 7.3)". Yet implementations that do so would not comply
with the normative text in 7.3 which requires I to be defined as
`_Complex_I`.

Assuming that the intention was to allow implementations to follow
the recommendations in Annex G, but by an oversight the necessary
normative text to allow them to do so was omitted from TC2, perhaps
in POSIX we should keep the current text but mark some of it CX?]

COMMENT Enhancement Request Number 46
ajosey:rdg.opengroup.org Bug in XBD (C99 TC2) (rdvk# 5)
[ISO C TC2 #35] Thu, 24 Feb 2005 17:17:40 GMT

Accept_____ Accept as marked below_____ Duplicate_____ Reject_____OPEN
Rationale for rejected or partial changes:

Page: 210 Line: 7424 Section: complex.h

Problem:
ISO C TC2 #35

Action:

Delete the text

"I
Expands to either `_Imaginary_I` or `_Complex_I`. If `_Imaginary_I` is
not defined, I expands to `_Complex_I`.

The macros `imaginary` and `_Imaginary_I` shall be defined if and only if
the implementation supports imaginary types."

COMMENT	Enhancement Request Number 47
ajosey:rdg.opengroup.org	Bug in XBD (C99 TC2) (rdvk# 6)
[ISO C TC2 #36]	Thu, 24 Feb 2005 17:17:40 GMT

Accept_____ Accept as marked below_____ Duplicate_____ Reject_____OPEN
Rationale for rejected or partial changes:

Page: 210 Line: 7428 Section: complex.h

Problem:
ISO C TC2 #36

Action:

Change From:

An application may undefine and then, perhaps, redefine the `complex`,
`imaginary`, and `I` macros.

To:
An application may undefine and then, perhaps, redefine the `complex`,
and `I` macros.