Adding the u8 character prefix	
Aaron Ballman, GrammaTech	
2017-12-31	
New features	
C programmers using the UTF-8 character set	
<b>Abstract:</b> C++17 adopted the u8 character literal prefix as complement to u8 string literal prefixes.	

# Adding the u8 character prefix

Reply-to: Aaron Ballman (aaron@aaronballman.com) Document No: N2198 Date: 2017-12-31

## Introduction and Rationale

In C17, there are four encoding prefix spellings for string literals: u8, u, U, and L, but only three encoding prefixes for character literals: u, U, and L. C++17 adopted a feature adding the u8 prefix for character literals to represent a UTF-8 encoding [WG21 N4267]. This is a useful feature in that it allows a programmer working in a narrow character set other than ASCII to obtain ASCII characters by using the u8 prefix because the single code unit UTF-8 encodings are identical to ASCII. It is also useful due to making character literal prefixes more consistent with string literal prefixes, and by making C and C++ align more closely with their literal syntax.

One thing to note is that C and C++ have diverged in their treatment of character literals. The C standard relies on the environment macros defined in 6.10.8.2 to determine the encodings of character values in their corresponding types. The C++ standard, in [lex.ccon]p3-5 require the character literals u8, u, and U to correspond exactly to an ISO 10646 code point. Because of this requirement, C++ is able to specify the mapping between the single multibyte character and the execution character set wide character by deferring to another standard. C uses the multibyte APIs from the C Standard Library to perform this mapping, but I do not see any existing facilities that will suffice for UTF-8. Should I also consider adding an mbrtoc8 function as part of this proposal, or should I use a formulation similar to how we treat UTF-8 string literals? Do I need to add an environment macro to 6.10.8.2 for UTF-8 encodings?

# **Proposed Wording**

The wording proposed is a diff from the committee draft of ISO/IEC 9899-2017. Green text is new text, while red text is deleted text.

```
Modify 6.4.4.4p1:
```

```
character-constant:

<u>- c-char-sequence-</u>

<u>- c-char-sequence-</u>
```

u'-*c-char sequence* ' U'-*c-char sequence* ' *encoding-prefix<sub>opt</sub>* ' *c-char-sequence* '

```
encoding-prefix: one of
u8 u U L
```

### Modify 6.4.4.4p2:

An integer character constant is a sequence of one or more multibyte characters enclosed in single-quotes, as in 'x'. A wide character constant is the same, except prefixed by the letter u8, L, u, or U. With a few exceptions detailed later, the elements of the sequence are any members of the source character set; they are mapped in an implementation-defined manner to members of the execution character set.

Add the following row to the table in 6.4.4.4p9:

u8 unsigned char

#### Modify 6.4.4.4p11:

A wide character constant prefixed by the letter L has type wchar\_t, an integer type defined in the <stddef.h> header; a wide character constant prefixed by the letter u or U has type char16\_t or char32\_t, respectively, unsigned integer types defined in the <uchar.h> header. A wide character constant prefixed with u8 has type char. The value of a wide character constant containing a single multibyte character that maps to a single member of the extended execution character set is the wide character corresponding to that multibyte character, as defined by the mbtowc, mbrtoc16, or mbrtoc32 function as appropriate for its type, with an implementation-defined current locale. The value of a wide character or a single multibyte character that maps to multiple members of the extended execution character or a single multibyte character or escape sequence not represented in the extended execution character set, is implementation-defined.

Modify 6.4.5p1:

#### string-literal:

encoding-prefix<sub>opt</sub> " s-char-sequence<sub>opt</sub> "

encoding-prefix:

<del>u용</del> <del>법</del> 단

Ŧ

## References

[WG21 N4267] Adding u8 character literals. Richard Smith. http://www.openstd.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2014/n4267.html